## ראוהו בית דין פרק שלישי ראש השנה

(fol.58c) משנה אּ רָאוּהוּ בֵית דִּין וְכָל־יִשְׂרָאֵל נָחְקְרוּ הָעֵדִים וְלֹא הִסְפִּיקוּ לוֹמֵר מְקוּדָּש עֵד שֶׁחָשֵיכָה הֲרֵי זֶה מְעוּבָּר. רָאוּהוּ בֵית דִּין בָּלְבֵד יַעַמְדוּ שְׁנֵים וַיָּעִידוּ לְפְנֵיהֶם וְיֹאמְרוּ מְקוּדָּש מְקוּדָּש. רָאוּהוּ שְלשָה וְהֵן בֵּית דִּין יַעַמְדוּ שְׁנֵים וְיוֹשִׁיבוּ מֵחַבִירֵיהֶם אֵצֶל הַיָּחִיד וְיָעִידוּ בִּפְנֵיהֶן וְיֹשִׁיבוּ מַקוּדָּש מַקוּדָּש שָאֵין הַיָּחִיד נַאָמָן עֵל יִדֵי עַצְמוֹ:

**Mishnah 1:** If the Court and all of Israel saw it, the witnesses were interrogated but they did not manage to say "sanctified" before nightfall, it is prolonged<sup>1</sup>. If the Court alone saw it, two of them shall stand and testify before them, and they shall say "sanctified, sanctified". If three who form a court<sup>2</sup> saw it, two of them shall stand up, let two of their colleagues sit with the single one, testify before them, so they can say "sanctified, sanctified", since no single individual is empowered by himself<sup>3</sup>.

1 Even though everybody knows that day 30 of the preceding month should have been declared as first of the new month, if it is not declared so by the Court empowered to establish the calendar then automatically day 31 will be the first of the next month.

- 2 Three duly ordained members of the Calendar Court.
- 3 Mishnah Sanhedrin 1:2.

(35 line 33) רָאוּהוּ בֵּית דִּין וְכָל־יִשְׂרָאֵל כול'. כֵּינִי מֵתְנִיתָּה. אוֹ בֵית דִּין אוֹ כָל־יִשְׂרָאֵל.

רְבִּי זְעוּרָה רְבִּי אִימִי בְשָׁם רְבִּי יְהוֹשַׁעַ בֶּן לֵוִי. מְעַמְעֲמִין עַל הַנִּרְאָה לְעַבְּרוֹ. אֵין מְעַמְעֲמִין עַל שָׁלֹא נִרְאָה לְעַבְּרוֹ. רַבִּי בָּא וְרְבִּי חִייָה בְשַׁם רְבִּי יְהוֹשַׁעַ בֶּן לֵוִי. מְעַמְעֲמִין עַל שָׁלֹא נֵרְאָה לְעַבְּרוֹ. מַתְנִיתָה פְלִיגָא עַל רְבִּי זְעוּרָא. רְאוּהוּ בֵית דִּין לְקַדְּשׁוֹ וְאֵין מְעַמְעֲמִין עַל הַנִּרְאָה לְעַבְּרוֹ. מַתְנִיתָה פְלִיגָא עַל רְבִּי זְעוּרָא. רָאוּהוּ בִית דִּין וְלָּל־יִשְׂרָאֵל. נָחְקְרוּ הָעַדִים וְלֹא הִסְפִּיקוּ לוֹמֵר. מְקוּדְשׁ. עַלְישָׁר, עַל הַנִּרְאָה לְעַבְּרוֹ. מַתְנִיתָה שְׁלִינְא עַל רְבִּי בָּא אם לֹא חָשִׁיכָה לֹא. הָדָא אֱמְרָה. אֵין מְעַמְעָמִין עַל הַנִּרְאָה לְעַבְּרוֹ. מַתְנִיתָה פְּלִיגָא עַל רְבִּי בָּא. יָכוֹל אִם הָיָה צָרִידְּ שְׁנֵי יָמִים נוֹתְנִין לוֹ שְׁנֵי יָמִים. תַּלְמוּד לוֹמֵר אוֹתוֹ. אֵין לוֹ אֶלָא יוֹם אֶחָד בִּלְבַד. הָדָא אֵמְרָה. אֵין מְעַמְעֲמִין עַל שֶׁלֹא נְרָאָה לְקַדְּשׁוֹ. מַתְנִיתָה פְלִינָא עַל רְבִּי בָּא. יָכוֹל בְּשֹׁם שְׁמְעַבְּרִין אֶת הַשְּׁנָה הַבְּי הָבּא אֲמְרָה. אִין מְעַמְעְמֵין עַל שָׁלִּלּא נִרְאָה לֹּבִי הַחוֹדָשׁ הַבְּרִין אֶת הַחוֹדֶשׁ הֵן הוֹלְכִין. הָדָא אֵמְרָה. אִין מְעַמְעְמֵין עַל שָׁלִּא עָל רְבִּי בָּא. צְחַרֹּה לִשְׁנִי יָמִים. הַחוֹדֶשׁ הָּן הוֹלְכִין. הָדֹץ אִם לֹא הם לֹא נִרְאָתָה הַלְּנָה לִשְׁנִי יָמִים. כָּדְּ יְּבָּי הִינֹע לְּבִי בָּא לִינִי מִים. בָּּבּי בָּא נִילִיגָא עַל רְבִּי בָּא. יָכוֹל אִם לֹא הִם לֹא נִרְאָה הֹל עִיבְיה הַחוֹדָשׁ מִלּנִי הַמִּל הִית הִיוֹם אָחָר. הַחוֹבָּשׁ בִּיל בְּיבּי בָּא. מִיכוֹל אִם לֹא הִם לֹא נְרְשִׁר לוֹעִדִיי. מִבּני שֹׁבּלּי שֹׁלּא עִלִים בּעל שִׁלּא נִימִים. בּּלְּיל הִילּת אוֹתם אלא הם. אלא הָם. אִין אַלְא מוֹעדִיי. מִפנּי שׁלֹל אַל הִיל הִי הַבּי בָּא בּי בִּיל בִּי בָּים בּיִּבְי בָּים בּיוֹ שִׁלּא הַם אלא הם. אוֹן אַלְא מִילְעִיי מִימֹם. בּּלִּלּי שִׁלֹח לוֹמר אוֹתם אלא הם. אלא הם. אלא הַבּי בָּיִּי בְּיִבּי בְּיִבּי בְּיִּים בְּיִבּים בְּיִבּי בְּבְים בּיִי בְּיִּים בְּיִבְּים בּיִּים בּי בִּיִים

אָם נְרְאָה לֹא. הָדָא אֶמְרָה. אֵין מְעַמְעֵמִין עַל שְׁלֹא נִרְאָה לְקַדְּשׁוֹ. הַוֹּון בָּעֵיי מֵימַר וְלָא פְליגִין. מַה דְאָמַר רְבִּי זְענְרָה בִּשְׁאָר כָּל־הַחֶדְשׁים. מַה דְאָמַר רְבִּי בָּא עַל נִיסָן וְעַל תִּשְׁרֵי. "If the Court and all of Israel saw it," etc. So is the Mishnah: Either the court or all of Israel."

Rebbi Ze`ira, Rebbi Immi in the name of Rebbi Joshua ben Levi: One entangles one who was seen to prolong it; one does entangle one who was not seen to sanctify it<sup>5</sup>. Rebbi Abba and Rebbi Hivva in the name of Rebbi Joshua ben Levi: One entangles one who was not seen to sanctify it, one does not entangle one who was seen to prolong it<sup>6</sup>. The Mishnah disagrees with Rebbi Ze'ira: "If the Court and all of Israel saw it, the witnesses were interrogated but they did not manage to say "sanctified" before nightfall, it is sanctified<sup>7</sup>." Because of nightfall. Therefore not if there was no nightfall. This implies that one does not entangle one who was seen to prolong it<sup>8</sup>. A baraita disagrees with Rebbi Abba: "I could think that if two days were needed, one adds two days. The verse says, it. There is authorization only for one day<sup>9</sup>." This implies that one does not entangle one who was not seen to sanctify it. A baraita disagrees with Rebbi Abba: "I could think that just as one intercalates a year according to necessity so one would sanctify the month according to necessity. The verse says, the new moon, one follows the new moon. 10, This implies that one does not entangle one which was not seen to sanctify it. A baraita disagrees with Rebbi Abba: "I could think that if the moon was not seen for two days, they should sanctify the next month for two The verse says, they, there are, those would not be My festive seasons<sup>11</sup>". Because it was not seen; therefore not if it had been seen<sup>12</sup>. This implies that one does not entangle one who was not seen to sanctify it. They wanted to say that they do not disagree. What Rebbi Ze'ira said, about all other months; what Rebbi Abba said, about Nisan and Tishre<sup>13</sup>.

- 4 The statement that the day is not consecrated as New Moon unless the authorized Court pronounces it sanctified applies to all cases, whether there are outside witnesses or not.
- 5 Babli 20a. "Entangle" in this case means that one conducts the

cross-examination of the witnesses in such a way that they get confused and confess that they cannot exactly testify to the appearance of the new moon, leaving the Court without witnesses. In this version, one may confound witnesses about what they saw, but not about what they did not see.

- 6 In this version one may not interfere with true testimony, but the court always may declare the 30<sup>th</sup> day as belonging to the next month.
- 7 As noted at the end of Halakhah 2:6, the 31<sup>st</sup> day is automatically sanctified at sundown after the 30<sup>th</sup>.
- 8 The Mishnah implies that the 31<sup>st</sup> day becomes consecrated only because of the slowness of the Court; no intentional dragging of feet.
- 9 Sifra Emor Pereq 10(6); The verse referred to should be Lev. 23:4, where one

- reads אוֹתָם. There does not exist a verse referring to the first of a month using the expression אותו.
- 10 Sifra Emor Pereq 10(5). There never can be a month of 28 or 31 days.
- 11 Tosephta 2:3, the verse referred to in the Tosephta is *Lev* 23:34 but here it is *Lev*. 23:4.
- 12 If it was not seen one could not retroactively declare the month to start from day 30.
- 13 Babli 20a, minority opinion of Abbai.

לא נְתְעַבֵּר מִיּמֶיוֹ. וְהָא תַנִּינֶן. אָם בָא חֹדֶשׁ בִּזְמֵנּוֹ. אָם בָּא. לֹא (58b line 53) רָבִּי אוֹמֶר.נִיסָן לֹא נִתְעַבֵּר מִיָּמֶיוֹ. וְהָא תַנִּינֵן. אָם הַיָּה הַחֹדֵשׁ מִעוּבַּר. אָם הַיָּה. לֹא הַיָּה. בָא. רַב אַמֵּר. תִּשְׁרֵי לֹא נְתַעַבֵּר מִיָּמֵיוֹ. וְהָא תַנִּינֵן. אָם הַיָּה הַחֹדֵשׁ מִעוּבַּר. אָם הַיָּה. לֹא הַיָּה.

<sup>14</sup>Rebbi says, Nisan was never lengthened. But did we not state<sup>15</sup>: "If the New Moon appeared in time"? If it would appear; it did not appear. Rav said, Tishre was never lengthened. But did we not state<sup>16</sup>: "If the month was long"? If it would be; it never was.

וּרָשֶׁקִידְּשׁוּ אֶת הַשְּׁנָה בְּאוֹשֶׁה בַּיּוֹם הָרִאשׁוֹן עָבַר רְבִּי יִשְׁמָעֵאל בְּנוֹ שֻׁלְרְבִּי יוֹחָנֶן בֶּן בְּרִוֹקָה. אוֹמֵר כְדְבְרֵי רְבִּי יוֹחָנֶן בֶּן נוּרִי. אָמֵר רַבָּן שִׁמְעוֹן בֶּן גַּמְליאֵל. לֹא הָיִינוּ נוֹהַגִּין כֵּן בְּיַבְנֶה. בַּיּוֹם הַשִּׁינִי עָבַר רְבִּי חֲנִינָה בְּנוֹ שֶׁלְרְבִּי יוֹסֵי הַגָּלִילִי וְאָמֵר כְּדְבְרֵי רְבִי עֲקִיכָה. אָמֵר רַבָּן שִׁמְעוֹן בֶּן הַשִּׁינִי עָבַר רְבִּי חֲנִינָה בְּנוֹ שֶׁלְרְבִּי יוֹסֵי הַגָּלִילִי וְאָמֵר כְּדְבְרֵי רְבִי עְקִיכָה. אָמֵר רַבְּן שִׁמְעוֹן בֶּן גַּמְלִיאֵל. כֵּן הָיִינוּ נוֹהֲגִין בְּיַבְנֶה. וְהָא תַנֵּי. קִידְּשׁוּהוּ בָּרְאשׁוֹן ובְשִׁיכִי. רְבִּי בָּא בְשׁם רַב. שְׁנָה רְאשׁוֹנָה חַשְּׁרָת. מָהוּ בָּרְאשׁוֹן וּבִשְׁינִי. רְבִּי בָּא בְשׁם רַב. שְׁנָה רְאשׁוֹנָה וְבַיּיִם הַרְאשׁוֹן וּבִיּיִם הַלְּאשׁוֹן וּבִיּים הַּאְשׁוֹן וּבִיים הַבְּעִינִי. וְבִי בִּיּוֹם הַרְאשׁוֹן וּבִיּים הַשְּׁינִי.

<sup>17</sup> When they sanctified the year at Usha, on the first day Rebbi Ismael, the son of Rebbi Johanan ben Beroqa, led and recited following the opinion of Rebbi Johanan ben Nuri. Rabban Simeon ben Gamliel said, we did not follow this at Jabneh. On the second day, Rebbi Ḥananiah, the son of Rebbi Yose the Galilean, led and recited following Rebbi Aqiba. Rabban Simeon ben Gamliel said, this we did follow at Jabneh." But does this not mean that they sanctified it on the first and the second day? Rebbi Ze`ira in the name of Rav Ḥisda: That year was disorganized. What is "on the first, on the second"?

Rebbi Abba in the name of Rav: The first year, the second year! But was it not stated: the first day, the second day?

קידְשׁוּהוּ קּוֹדֶם לְּזְמֵנוּ אוֹ לְאַחַר עִיבּוּרוֹ יוֹם אֶחֶד יָכוֹל יְהֵא מְקוּדָשׁ. תַּלְמוּד לוֹמֵר אוֹתָם. אוֹתָם אֵלֶא הַם [מוֹצֶדְיי]. אֵין אָלֶה מוֹצֶדְיי. לְפְנֵי זְמֵנּוֹ עָשְׂרִים וְתִשְׁעָה יוֹם. לְאַחֵר עִיבּוּרוֹ שְׁלַשִׁים וּשְׁנָים יוֹם. וּמְנֵיִין שְׁמְעַבְּרִין אֶת הַשְּׁנָה עַל הַגָּלִיוֹת שָׁעָלוּ וַאֲדַיִין לֹא הָגִּיעוּ. תַּלְמוּד לוֹמֵר בְּנֵי יִשְׂרָאֵל מֹצֶדָיי. עֲשֵׂה אֶת הַפּוֹצְדוֹת שֶׁיַצֵשׁוּ אוֹתָן כָּל־יִשְׂרָאֵל. אָמַר רְבִּי שְׁמוּאֵל בַּר נַחְמֶן. וְהֵן שֵׁהְגִיעוּ לְנָהַר בְּּרָת.

"If they sanctified it before its time or after its lengthening, should I assume it was lengthened? The verse says, *them, them;* not *these are My holidays?* Before its time, the 29<sup>th</sup> day; after its lengthening, the 32<sup>nd</sup> day." From where that one intercalates for the year because of the diaspora<sup>19</sup> who set out but did not yet arrive? The verse says, *the Children of Israel.* Make the holidays so they can be observed by all of Israel. Rebbi Samuel bar Nahman said, only if they had reached the river Euphrates.

- 14 This and the following paragraphs also are found in *Ševi`it* 10:2 (Notes 41-55) and *Sanhedrin* 1:2 (Notes 213-215).
- 15 Mishnah Šegalim 4:5.
- 16 Mishnah Ševi`it 10:2.
- 17 Tosephta *Roš Haššanah* 3:11, *Sifra Emor Parashah* 11(5); Babli 32a.
- 18 Sifra Emor Parashah 9(3). Lev. 23:2

prescribes publication of the dates of festivals. The masoretic text has אֹתָם "them" as if it were אַתָּם "you", empowering the High Court to determine the times even in disagreement with the astronomical data.

19 The Babylonians who come to

Jerusalem in caravans to celebrate Passover.

(58c line 69) רָבִּי יַצְקֹב בַּר אָחָא רְבִּי אִימִי בְשֵׁם רְבִּי יְהוּדָה בַּר פָּזִי. קִידְשׁוּהוּ וְאַחַר כָּךְ נִמְצְאוּ הָּצִים זוֹמְמִין. הֲרֵי זָה מְקוּדָּשׁ. קָם רְבִּי יוֹסֵה עם רְבִּי יְהוּדָה בַּר פָּזִי. אָמֵר לֵיהּ. אַתָּה הָרֵא מִילְתָא. אָמַר לֵיהּ. כֵּן אָמַר אַבָּא בְשַׁם רְבִּי יוֹחָנֶן. אֵין מְדַקְדְּקִין בְּעֵדוּת שָׁמַעְתָּה מִן אָבוּדְּ הָדָא מִילְתָא. אָמַר לֵיהּ. כֵּן אָמַר אַבָּא בְשַׁם רְבִּי יוֹחָנֶן. אֵין מְדַקְדְּקִין בְּעֵדוּת הַחוֹדֵשׁ.

<sup>20</sup>Rebbi Jacob bar Aḥa, Rebbi Immi in the name of Rebbi Jehudah bar Pazi: "If they sanctified it and after this the witnesses were found perjured, it remains sanctified<sup>21</sup>." Rebbi Yose met Rebbi Jehudah bar Pazi. He asked him, did your hear this from your father? He answered him, so said my father in the name of Rebbi Joḥanan, one is not fussy with testimony of the new moon.

20 Ševi`it 10:2, Note 56.

21 Tosephta 2:1, Sifra Emor Pereq 10(2).

(58c line 73) בֵּית דִּין שֶׁרָאוֹ אֶת הַהוֹרֵג. אֲמְרוּ. אִם רוֹאִין אָנוּ אוֹתוֹ אֵין אָנוּ מַכִּירִין אוֹתוֹ. לֹא הָיוּ עוֹרְפִין. דְּכְתִיב וְעֵינֵיֶנוּ לְא רָאְוּ. מִכָּל־מָקוֹם. וַהֲרֵי רָאוּ. וּבֵית דִּין שֶׁרָאוֹ אֶת הַהוֹרֵג. אִית תַּנָּיִ תַנֵּי. יַעַמְדּוּ כוּלְן וְיָעִידוּ בְּמָקוֹם אַחֵר. רְבִּי יוּדָה תַּנָיִ תַנֵּי. יַעַמְדּוּ כוּלְן וְיָעִידוּ בְּמָקוֹם אַחֵר. רְבִּי יוּדָה בַּרְ פָּזִי בְשֵׁם רְבִּי זְעֹרָה. כְּשֵׁם שְׁהֵן חֲלוּקִים כָּאן כָּדְ חֲלוּקִין בְּעֵדוּת הַחוֹדֶשׁ.

וִיקוּם חַד וִיתִיב חַד. וִיקוּם חַד וִיתִיב חַד. שַׁנְייָא הִיא שְׁאֵין הָעֵד נַעֲשֶׂה דַייַן. כְּהָדָא רַב הוּנָא הֲוֹל הֲיִר בְּייִם חַד וִיתִיב חַד. שַׁנְייָא הִיא שְׁאֵין הָעָד נַעֲשֶׂה דַייַן. כְּהָדְא רַבּ הוּנָא בְּר נַשִׁי מִידוֹן קוֹמֵיהּ וְכְפַר בִּיהּ. אָמֵר לֵיהּ רָבִּי שְׁמִּוּאֵל בַּר רֵב יִצְחָק. בְּגִין דְּאַתְּ יְדַע דְּרָב הוּנָא בַּר נָשְׁא רַבָּה אַתְּ כְּפַר בֵּיהּ. מָה אִילּוּ ייֵזִיל וְיִשְּׂהַד עֲלֶדְּ קּוֹמֵי בִית דִּין חוֹרָן. אֲמֵר לֵיהּ רָב חוּנָה. וְעֲבְדִין בֵּן. אֲמֵר לֵיהּ. אִין. וְשָׁרָא רַב הוּנָא גַרְמֵיהּ מִן הַהוּא דִינָא. וַאֲזַל וְאַשְּׁהַד עֲלוֹי קּוֹמֵי בִית דִּין חוֹרָן.

<sup>22</sup>If the Court saw the killer; even if they said, if we saw him we would not recognize him, they would not break the neck, for it is written, *our eyes did not see*<sup>23</sup> in any way, and they did see. And if the court saw the killer, some Tannaïm state: two of them become witnesses and testify before the rest of them. There are Tannaïm who state that they all are witnesses and have to go and testify before another place<sup>24</sup>. Rebbi Jehudah ben Pazi in the name of Rebbi Ze`ira: As they differ here, so they differ about testimony for the New Moon<sup>25</sup>.

Why cannot always one stand up and one sit down<sup>26</sup>? There is a difference because a witness cannot be a judge<sup>27</sup>. Rav Huna knew testimony for somebody. He<sup>28</sup> came and wanted to have the suit judged before Rav Huna, and denied all. Rebbi Samuel ben Rav Isaac told him, because you know that Rav Huna is an important person<sup>29</sup> you deny everything. What if Rav Huna went and testified against you in another court? Rav Huna asked him, does one do that? He answered, yes. Rav Huna recused himself from that suit, went, and testified before another court<sup>30</sup>.

22 The text here is closely parallel to one in *Sotah* 9:1 (Notes 18-19), and a reformulation in *Sanhedrin* 1:1, Notes 127-138.

The first sentence deals with the ceremony of breaking the neck of a calf to atone for an unsolved murder (*Deut*. 21:1-9), whose rules are in *Soṭah* 9:1.

23 Deut. 21:7.

- 24 They hold that a witness is automatically disqualified as a judge since he has an opinion about the case before the start of the proceedings.
- 25 Whether members of the Synhedrion who saw the New Moon may act as witnesses before their colleagues.
- 26 This now refers to the Mishnah here which prescribes that if three members of the Court saw the New Moon, one of them

may sit with two others as a court and the other two who saw the New Moon appear as witnesses before them. The question arises, why does one have to call two others; would one not be sufficient if alternatingly one stands up as a witness and one sits down as a judge.

- 27 Even if one does not hold that a potential witness be automatically disqualified as a judge, he must hold that once a person acted as a witness he is disqualified as a judge.
- 28 Rav Huna knew testimony for a creditor. The debtor required that the suit be heard in Rav Huna's court. He knew that

- Rav Huna, as judge, could not act as witness and was prevented from using his knowledge in his judgment. He thought it was safe to deny any debt.
- 29 As Ray's successor, he was Chief Judge of all courts under the jurisdiction of the Academy of Sura. The debtor assumed that a Chief Judge could not appear in a lower court.
- 30 This decides practice. A judge who knows of the case has to disqualify himself and appear as a witness in another court not under his jurisdiction. The Babli agrees, *Roš Haššanah* 25b, *Bava qamma* 90b.

(9 Sad line 9) קָּרָא עֶרֶר עַל חֲתִּימַת יְדֵי הָעֵדִים. עַל חֲתִימַת יְדֵי הַדִּינָנִין. רְבִּי בָּא בְשָׁם רַב יְהוּדָה. רָצָא לְקַייֵם בְּכְתַב יְדֵי הָעֵדִים מְקַייֵם. בְּכְתַב יְדֵי הַדַּייָנִים מְקַייֵם. וְאֲנִי אוֹמֵר. אֲפִילוּ בְעֵד אֶחָד. אֲפִילוּ בְדֵייָן אֶחָד מְקַייֵם. בְּיוֹמוֹי דְרְבִּי אַבָּהוּ אֲתוֹן בָּעֵיי מֵימֵר גּוֹאֲלֵינוּ וְאֵמְרוּן גְּאוּלְתֵינוּ יְאֲכְילוּן. בְּיוֹמוֹי דְרְבִּי אֵבָּהוּ אֲמֵר לוֹן. שְׁמַעְתוּן דְאִתְקַדַּשׁ יֵרְחָא. וְאַרְכִינוּן וְאַרְכִינוּן בּרוֹון וּבְּלוֹן. בְּיוֹמוֹי דְרְבִּי בָּרְכָיָה אִישְׁתַּתְּקוּן. אֲמֵר לוֹן. שְׁמַעְתוּן דְאִתְקַדַּשׁ יֵרְחָא. וְאַרְכִינוּן בּרְאֹשִׁיהוֹן וִקּבּלוֹן.

If a doubt was raised about the signature of the witnesses, about the signature of the judges. Rebbi Abba in the name of Rav Jehudah: If he wants to confirm by handwriting of the witnesses he may so confirm, by the handwriting of the judges he may so confirm<sup>31</sup>; but I am saying, he may confirm even by one witness, even by one judge<sup>32</sup>. In the days of Rebbi Abbahu they intended to say אַלְּתָשׁ but said אַלְּתָשׁ, and he accepted them<sup>33</sup>. In the days of Rebbi Berekhiah they became paralyzed. He asked them, did you hear that the New Moon was sanctified? They bowed their heads and he accepted them<sup>34</sup>.

31 If it was claimed that a document or a judgment was falsified because the signatures on the documents were fake, it is not necessary to have the signatures verified by two witnesses each but one may present uncontested documents to the court from

which by comparison the genuinness of the signatures may be inferred.

- 32 Since this is not new testimony, but affirmation of a prior one, a single witness may be believed.
- 33 It is not clear what happened there; in

any case it referred to testimony about the consecration of a New Moon and the usual standards of cross examination were waved.

34 As testimony that the Patriarch's Court had declared a New Moon. Since R. Berekhiah is slightly younger than R. Yose who published the rules of calendar

computation, it shows that with the publication of the rules the prior way of consecrating the day of the New Moon did not cease; that only happened when the Patriarchate was abolished by the Byzantine government.

(fol. 58c) משנה ב: כֶּל־הַשּוֹפָרוֹת כְּשֵירִין חוּין מִשֶּׁל פָּרָה מִפְנֵי שֶׁהוּא כֶּרֶן. אָמֵר רִבִּי יוֹמֵי וַהַלֹּא כָל־הַשּוֹפָרוֹת נִקְרְאוּ כֶּרֶן שֶּנָּאֱמַר בִּמְשׁוֹךְ בְּכֶרֶן הַיּּבֵל כְּשָׁמְעֵכֶם אֶת־קּוֹל הַשּוֹכָּר: שוֹפָר שֶל ראש הַשֶּׁנָה שֶל יָעֵל פָשוּט וּפִיו מְצוֹפֶה זָהָב וּשְׁתֵּי חֲצוֹצְרוֹת מָן הַצְּדָדִין. שוֹפָר מַאָּרִיךְּ וַחֲצוֹצְרוֹת מָקַצְּרוֹת שֶׁמְצְוַת הַיִּם בַּשּוֹפָר:

**Mishnah 2**: All *shofarot*<sup>35</sup> are qualified except from bovines because this is a horn. Rebbi Yose said, are not all *shofarot* called horn, as it is said<sup>36</sup>, when the ram's horn sounds, when you hear the sound of the shofar. The shofar of New Year's Day<sup>37</sup> is a straight one from a mountain goat, around its mouthpiece covered with gold, and two trumpets by its sides. The shofar is long and the trumpets short<sup>38</sup> because the obligation of the day is by the shofar.

35 In rabbinic terminology all horns of animal origin are called *shofar*, even though etymologically the word means "ram's horn."

36 Jos. 6:5.

37 In the Temple; at all other places the

*shofar* has no mouthpiece and is not accompanied by trumpets.

38 The trumpets give a short introductory sound, followed by the full sequence of the prescribed *shofar* sounds.

(58d line 15) **הלכה ב**: הַוֹּזן בָּעֵיי מֵימַר. וְלָא פְּליגִין. אַשְׁכָּחַת תַּנֵּי. רְבִּי יוֹסֵה מַלְשִׁיר בְּשֶׁלְפָּרָה וַחֲכָמִים פּוֹסְלִין. מַה (טַעֲמוֹן דְּרַבָּנִין) [טַעֲמֵיהּ דְּרַבִּי יוֹסֵיז] וְהָיֶּה בִּמְשׁוֹדְ בְּקֵרֶן הַיּוֹבֵּל. מַה טַעֲמָא (דְּרַבִּי יוֹסֵה) [דְּרַבָּנִן]. וְתִיטֵב לָיִי מִשְׁוֹר כָּר מַקְרִין מַבְּרָים: מַקְרוֹ כְּתִיב. וְרַבָּנָן. נְהִיּבֹּוּן. וְתִיטֵב לָיִי מִשְׁוֹר כָּר שָׁנְקְרָא קֶּרָן וְלֹא שׁוֹפָר. הָיִּץ מִשְׁל פָּרָה שָׁנִקְרָא קֶּרָן וְלֹא נִקְרָא שׁוֹפָר. הָתִיבוּן. כַּהִיא דְאָמֵר רְבִּי לֵוִי. שַׁנְיִיָּא הִיא. הָאֵי לָשִׁי לְּטִב לְנִי. שַׁנְיִיָּא הִיא. שְׁמִיגוֹר נַצְשֶׂה סֻנֵּיגוֹר.

Halakhah 2: They wanted to say that they do not disagree<sup>39</sup>. It was found stated, Rebbi Yose qualifies one from cattle but the Sages disqualify. What is the reason (of the rabbis) [of Rebbi Yose]<sup>40</sup>? *It will be when the ram's horn sounds*. What is the reason (of Rebbi Yose) [of the rabbis]? *Better than a cattle bull, sporting a horn, split-hoofed*<sup>41</sup>. It is written "of horn"<sup>42</sup>. And the rabbis? All *shofarot* are called horn and are called *shofar* except from cattle which is called horn but is not called *shofar*. They objected, there is that from mountain goat which is called neither horn nor *shofar*<sup>43</sup>! What about it? Following what Rebbi Levi said, there is a difference because no accuser becomes a defense attorney<sup>44</sup>.

- 39 That the rabbis and R. Yose disagree about the meaning of the word but not about practice. This is shown to be false.
- 40 The text in (parentheses) is the scribe's, the one in [brackets] the corrector's. The corrector's text should be deleted since the scribe's text is supported by the Babli, 26a. The rabbis note that in the verse from *Jos*. the ram's horn explicitly is called *shofar*.
- 41 Ps. 69:32. In the Babli, R. Yose argues that the pleonasm "cattle bull" שור פר contains the letters of אשר פר
- 42 In the masoretic text, the word is written defectively, with the *y* of *hiph`il* missing; so it should be read as noun,

- <made> of horn. The *plene* spelled word מקרץ (wrongly spelled in this way in the quote), could be read as "radiating".
- 43 The argument that in Scripture cattle horns are never called *shofar* does not prove anything since horns from mountain goats likewise are never mentioned but used in the Temple service.
- 44 For the Greek words cf. Chapter 1, Notes 241,242. Babli 26a. The meaning is that since the Golden Calf had the shape of a bovine, it is inappropriate to use any bovine part in connection with prayers for the remission of sins.

(58d line 22) **הלכה ג**: אָמֵר רְבִּי יוֹנָה. כְּדֵי שֵׁיִפִּשִׁטוּ לְבַּם בְּתִשׁוּבַה.

<sup>45</sup>**Halakhah 3**: Rebbi Jonah said, so they should straighten out their thoughts in repentance<sup>46</sup>.

פִּיו מְצוּפֶּה זָהָב. צִיפָּהוּ זָהָב מִבִּפְנִים פָּסוּל. מִבַּחוּץ כָּשֵׁר. צִיפָהוּ מְקוֹם הַנָּחַת פִיו אוֹ שַׁהַיָּה קוֹלוֹ עֵבָה מַחָמֵת הַצִּיפּוּי פַּסוּל.

"Around its mouthpiece covered with gold." If it was gilded inside it is disqualified, outside it is qualified. If he gilded it at the mouthpiece or if its sound became heavy because of the gilding it is disqualified<sup>47</sup>.

45 Discussion of the second half of Mishnah 2 which in the separate Mishnah mss. is a separate Mishnah or is combined as one with the following Mishnah 3.

46 Aggadic explanation why the *shofar* has to be straight. Babli 26b.

47 Babli 27a.

(58d line 25) אָמַר רָבִּי יוֹסֵה. הָדָא אֱמְרָה. פְּשׁוּטָה שֶׁשָּׁמַע מִקְצָתָהּ מִן הַמִּתְעַסֵּק [לֹא] יָצָא. וְהַיִּי דָא אֱמְרָה דָא. תָּקַע בָּראשׁוֹנָה וּמְשַׁךְּ בַּשְׁנִייָה כִשְׁתַּים אֵין בְּיָדוֹ אֶלָּא אֶחָת. רְבִּי אַבָּא בַּר זְמִינָא בְּשׁם רְבִּי זְעוּרָה. אֲפִילוּ אַחַת אֵין בְּיָדוֹ. לָמָה. רֹאשָׁהּ גַּבֵּי סוֹפָהּ מִצְטָרֵף וְסוֹפָהּ גַּבֵּי רֹאשַׁהּ מִצְטָרֵף. לֹא רֹאשַׁהּ אִית לָהּ סוֹף וְלֹא סוֹפָהּ אִית לָהּ רֹאשׁ.

Rebbi Yose said, this implies that one who heard part of a straight sound from one who was practicing did [not]<sup>48</sup> fulfill his obligation<sup>49</sup>. And where is this said? "If he blew straight for the first set and continued a double length for the second he has only one in his hand.<sup>50</sup>" Rebbi Abba bar Zamina in the name of Rebbi Ze`ira: Not even one is in his hand. Why? The beginning combines with the end and the end combines with the beginning. The first part has no end and the second part has no beginning<sup>51</sup>.

48 Corrector's addition, to be deleted.

49 It is stated in Mishnah 4:10 that one who heard the shofar from a person practicing, i. e., not intending to blow in fulfillment of a biblical command either for himself or for others, did not fulfill his obligation. Now the Mishnah does not say that in the Temple the trumpets blow alone to alert people to listen to the coming shofar sounds, but they blow a short sound, implying that the person who blows the shofar started with them and then continues alone. By necessity what he blows is the straight sound with which any shofar sequence starts, and the sound which is mixed with the sound of trumpets cannot be counted as fulfilling the commandment to listen to the shofar on New Year's Day. Since it is clear that no commandment could have been satisfied if the trumpets had sounded all the time the straight shofar sound was produced, the sound produced during the trumpet blowing may well be compared to a sound produced by a person practicing his shofar blowing.

50 Mishnah 4:11. The minimum prescribed sounds are three sets of first a straight sound, then a modulated sound, followed by a straight sound, approximately equal durations. If there is no interruption between the final straight sound of one set and the starting one of the next, there is only one straight sound. The double duration does not make it two sounds. Instead of having two sets: monotone, modulated, monotone; monotone, modulated, monotone, one has monotone, modulated, monotone, modulated, monotone, which does not correspond to any prescribed sequence.

51 When Mishnah 4:11 states that "he has only one in his hand," this means one

monotone sound; it does not imply one set of the required sequence.

(fol. 58c) משנה ג: בַּתַּעֻנִּיּוֹת בְּשֶׁל זְּכָרִים כְּפוּפִין וּפִּיו מְצוֹפֶה כֶסֶף וּשְׁתֵּי חֲצוֹצְרוֹת בָּאֶמְצַעַ. שׂוֹפַר מָקַצֶּר וַחֲצוֹצְרוֹת מָאָרִיכוֹת שֵׁמָצְוַת חַיֹּם בַּחֲצוֹצְרוֹת:

**Mishnah 3**: On fast days<sup>52</sup> it was from bent ram's horns, around the mouthpiece covered with silver, and two trumpets in the middle<sup>53</sup>. The *shofar* is short and the trumpets drawn out because the obligation of the day is by the trumpets<sup>54</sup>.

- 52 Either because of a drought or a public shofarot. calamity. 54 Num. 10:9.
- 53 This implies that there were two

(58d line 30) הלכה ד: אָמַר רְבִּי יוֹנָה. כְּדֵי שֶׁיְכוּפוּ אֶת לְבָּם בִּתְפִיָה.

קוֹמֵי רְבִּי יְהוֹשָׁעַ בֶּן לֵוִי תֵקְעִין בְּתַעֲנִיתָא. רְבִּי יוֹסֵה בָעֵי. וְיִתְקְעוּן קוֹמוֹי בַחֲצוֹצְרָתָא. וְלָא שְׁמִיעַ דְּתַנֵּי. חֲצוֹצְרוֹת בַּמְּקְדָּשׁ. אֵין חֲצוֹצְרוֹת בַּגְּבוּלִין. וְיִתְּפַלְלוּ לְפָנָיו עֶשְׂרִין וְאַרְבַּע. כְּהָדָא. רְבִּי יוֹחָנֶן מִתְפַלֵּל בְּתִשְׁעָה בְאָב עֶשְׂרִים וְאַרְבַּע וּמְפַקֵּד לְתַלְמִידוֹי. לָא תִילְפוֹן מִינִּי הָדֵין עוֹדְדָא. דְּצְרִיכָה לֵיה. אֶבֶל הוּא. תַּעֲנִית צִיבּוּר הוּא. רְבִּי יוֹחָה בְשָׁם רְבִּי יְהוֹשָׁעַ בֶּן לֵוִי. אֶבֶל הוּא. אֵינוֹ תַעֲנִית צִיבּוּר. רְבִּי יוֹנָה רְבִּי יִּצְחָק בַּר נַחְמֶן בְּשָׁם רְבִּי יְהוֹשָׁעַ בֶּן לֵוִי. אֶבֶל הוּא. אֵינוֹ תַעֲנִית צִיבּוּר. רְבִי יוֹנָה רְבִי יוֹנָה רְבִי יִּנְהָרְה. מִילְתֵּיה דְּרָבִי יוֹחָנֶן אֱמְרָה. יָחִיד בְּתִשְׁעָה בְאָב מִתְפַּלֵל אַרְבַּע. לֹא צִבּוֹר. אָמֵר רְבִּי וְעוּרָה. מִילְתֵיה דְּרָבִי יוֹחָנֶן אֱמְרָה. יָחִיד בְּתִשְׁעָה בְאָב מִתְפַּלֵל אַרְבַּע. לֹא. אַמֵּר אַלָּא אַרְבַּע. הָא עֵשְׂרִים וְאַרְבַּע לֹא.

**Halakhah 4**: Rebbi Jonah said, so they should bend their thoughts in prayer<sup>55</sup>.

They were blowing before Rebbi Joshua ben Levi on a fast day<sup>56</sup>. Rebbi Yose asked, should they not blow before him with trumpets? He had not heard what was stated, trumpets in the Temple, no trumpets in the countryside<sup>57</sup>. Should they not pray 24<sup>58</sup> before him? As the following, Rebbi Johanan on the Ninth of Av was praying 24 but ordered his students, do not learn this action from me; because it was a problem for him whether it was mourning or a public fast day<sup>59</sup>. Rebbi Yose in the name of Rebbi Joshua ben Levi: It is not a public fast day. Rebbi Jonah, Rebbi Isaac bar Naḥman in the name of Rebbi Joshua ben Levi: It is mourning, it is not a public fast day.

Rebbi Ze`ira said, the case of Rebbi Joḥanan implies that a person prays four [times] of the Ninth of  $Av^{60}$ . He only said four, but not 24.

- 55 Same argument as Note 46, applied to fast days.
- 56 As the sequel shows, these are not the days of fasting for rain discussed in Tractate *Ta`anit*, but the historical fast days connected with the destruction of the Temple, such as the 17th of Tammuz and the 9th of Av, for which obviously there is no Temple precedent.
- 57 Since trumpets are prescribed for the Temple service (Note 54), they are prohibited for religious observances outside. Babli 27a.
- 58 The 24 parts of the *Amidah* prayer on fast days for rain, as described in Mishnah *Ta`anit* 2:2.
- 59 While in the Babli Ta'anit 12b it is

stated that the 9th of Av is a public fast day, the meaning of the statement is the same as the statement here that it is not a public fast day. The Babli states that it is like a public fast day in that it includes the preceding night in the fast, unlike the other historical fast days, the Yerushalmi states that it is not a public fast day in that all the rituals spelled out in *Ta`anit* Chapters 2,3 do not apply. Both statements are true in actual practice. 60 He prescribes prayers in the evening, morning, afternoon (*Minḥah*), and shortly

60 He prescribes prayers in the evening, morning, afternoon (*Minhah*), and shortly before the end of the fast (*ne`ilah*.) This is prescribed for public fasts in Mishnah *Ta`anit* 4:1 and contradicts the statement of R. Joshua ben Levi which is accepted practice.

(fol. 58c) משנה ד: שָׁוֶח הַיּּוֹבֵל לְראש הַשָּׁנָה לַתְּקוֹעָה וְלַבְּרֶכוֹת. רְבִּי יְהוּדָה אוֹמֵר בְּראש הַשָּׁנָה תַּוֹקְעִין בְּשֵׁל זְכָרִים וּבִּיּבֶל בְּשֵׁל זְלַרִים וּבִּיּבֶל בְּשֵׁל זְלַרִים וּבִיּבֶל בְּשֵׁל זְלַרִים וּבִיּבֶל בִּשֶּׁל זְלַיִם:

**Mishnah 4**: The Jubilee<sup>61</sup> is equal to New Year's Day in blowing and prayer<sup>62</sup>. Rebbi Jehudah says, on New Year's Day one blows from rams, on the Jubilee from mountain goats.

- 61 The Day of Atonement in the Jubilee year when blowing the *shofar* sets Hebrew slaves free and returns land to its hereditary owners (*Lev.* 25:9).
- 62 On the Day of Atonement of the Jubilee

year also the *musaf* prayer is supposed to consist of 9 benedictions and after each of the three middle ones one blows the *shofar* (Mishnah 4:6-7).

(58d line 40) **הלכה ה**: אַנֶּי יְי אֱלְהֵיכֶם. אֵילוּ הַמַּלְכִיּוֹת. זְּכְרָוֹן תְּרוּאָה אֵילוּ הַזּּכְרוֹנוֹת. שׁוֹפַר תְּרוּעָה.ׄ אֵילוּ הַשּׁוֹפָרוֹת. עַד כְּדוֹן רֹאשׁ הַשְּׁנָה. יוֹבֵל. וְהַעֲבַרְתָּׁ שׁוֹפַר תְּרוּעָה בַּחוֹדֶשׁ הַשְּׁבִיעִי בַּעשוֹר לַחוֹדֵשׁ בִּיוֹם הַכִּפּוּרִים. שֵׁאֵין תַּלְמוּד לוֹמֵר בַּחוֹדֵשׁ הַשְּׁבִיעִי. מַה תַּלְמוּד לוֹמֵר בַּחוֹדֵשׁ הַשְּׁבִיעִי. אֶלֶא כָל־מַה שָׁאַתְּ עוֹשֶׂה בְרֹאשׁ הַשָּׁנָה הֲוִי עוֹשֶׂה בֶעָשׁוֹר לַחוֹדֶשׁ. מַה כָאן מַלְכִיּוֹת זָבִרוֹנוֹת וָשׁוֹפַרוֹת אַף כַּאן מַלְכִיּוֹת זָבִרוֹנוֹת וְשׁוֹפַרוֹת.

Halakhah 5: *I am the Eternal, your God,* these are *Malkhiot. Remembrance of trumpet sounds,* these are *Zikhronot. The shofar of trumpet sound,* these are *Shofarot.* So far New Year's Day<sup>62</sup>. "The Jubilee? *You shall convey the shofar of sounds in the seventh month, on the tenth of the month, on the Day of Atonement.* The verse did not have to say, *in the seventh month.* Why did the verse say, *in the seventh month?* Only that everything you are doing on New Year's Day do on the Tenth of the Month. Since here there are *Malkhiot, Zikhronot, and Shofarot, also there are Malkhiot, Zikhronot, and Shofarot.* <sup>63</sup>"

62 This text seems slightly inconsistent; it is best to explain it following the parallel in Sifra Emor Parashah 11(1) (Babli 32a). The problem is to explain that the three sets of blowing the shofar should not be done in isolation but that the first should follow a celebration of God as King (Malkhiot), the second after a text which declares that on that day all creatures are remembered and their fate decreed for the next year (Zikhronot), the last one after celebration of the shofar as the sound associated with the proclamation of the Ten Commandments (Shofarot). As detailed in the next Chapter, the core of each of these celebrations has to be the recitation of at least 10 relevant In Sifra, R. Aqiba is biblical verses. reported to explain the verse (Lev. 23:24) describing New Year's day as remembrance of trumpet sounds. that "remembrance" refers to Zikhronot, "trumpet sounds" to Shofarot, declared as

holy requires a declaration of the holiness of the day (the fourth benediction in the *musaf* prayer) combined with the celebration of God as King because the sentence *I am the Eternal, your God (Lev.* 23:23) immediately precedes the mention of the Seventh Month. In the text, the reference adduced for *Shofarot* is *Lev.* 25:9, referring to the day of Atonement of the Jubilee year.

63 Sifra Behar Parashah 2(3), even though there the rules for the Day of Atonement of the Jubilee are taken for granted and those for New Year's Day are derived. The argument is that the determination of the date in Lev. 25:9 is unnecessarily complicated since everybody knows that the day of Atonement is the 10th of the 7th month. Therefore it is implied that the 7th month only is mentioned to indicate that the rules for all shofar blowings in the 7th month must be equal.

(58d line 46) מְנַיִּין שֶׁהִיא פְשׁוּטָה לְפָנֶיהָ. תַּלְמוּד לוֹמֵר וְהַעֲבַרְתָּׁ שׁוֹפַר. וּמְנַיִּין שֶׁהִיא פְשׁוּטָה לְאָבֶרִיהָ. תַּלְמוּד לוֹמֵר תַּעֲבִירוּ שׁוֹפַר. עַד כְּדוֹן יוֹבֵל. רֹאשׁ הַשָּׁנָה. וְהַעֲבַרְיִּתְּ שׁוֹפַר תְּרוּעָה`

בַּחוֹדֶשׁ הַשְּׁבִיעִי בֶּעָשָוֹר לַחוֹדֶשׁ הַיּשְּׁבִיעִי. שֶׁאֵין תַּלְמוּד לוֹמֵר בַּחוֹדֶשׁ הַשְּׁבִיעִי. מַה תַלְמוּד לוֹמֵר בַּחוֹדֶשׁ הַשְּׁבִיעִי. אֶלֶּא כָל־מַה שָׁאַתְּ עוֹשֶׁה בַחוֹדֶשׁ הַשְּׁבִיעִי כִבְעָשוֹר לַחוֹדֶשׁ. מַה כָאן תּוֹקֵעַ וּמֵרִיעַ וְתוֹקֵעַ אַף כָּאן תּוֹקֵעַ וּמֵרִיעַ וְתוֹקֵעַ.

shall convey the shofar. And from where that there is a single sound afterwards, the verse says, you shall convey the shofar. And from where that there is a single sound afterwards, the verse says, you shall convey the shofar 65. So far the Jubilee, New Year's day? You shall convey the shofar of sounds in the seventh month, on the tenth of the month, on the Day of Atonement. The verse did not have to say, in the seventh month. Why did the verse say, in the seventh month? Only that everything you are doing in the Seventh Month do as on the Tenth of the Month. Since here he blows, modulates, and blows, so also there he blows, modulates, and blows."

64 Sifra Emor Parashah 11(7), Behar Parashah 2(4); Babli 33b/34a.

65 Lev. 25:9 reads: You shall convey the shofar of sounds in the seventh month, on

the tenth of the month; on the Day of Atonement you shall convey the shofar in all of your land. It seems that they interpret "convey" as conveying a single sound.

(58d line 50) מְנֵיִין שֶׁהֵן שָׁלֹשׁ שֶׁלְשָׁלֹשׁ שָׁלֹשׁ שָׁלֹשׁ. תַּלְמוּד לוֹמֵר יִוֹם תְּרוּעָה. זְכְרָוֹן תְּרוּעָה. שׁוֹפֵּר תְּרוּעָה. עַד כְּדוֹן כְּרְבִּי עֲקִיבָה. כְּרָבִּי יִשְׁמָעֵאל. תַּנֵּי רְבִּי יִשְׁמָעֵאל. וּתְקַעְתֶּם תְּרוּעָה. וּתְקַעְתֶּם תְּרוּעָה. וְהֶכְתִים תְּרוּעָה. וְהֶלְאַתְרִיעה שִׁנְיתִב בְּיִי שִׁלְאַ תְרִיעה. וְהֶכְתִים הִיא תְּרוּעָה. וְהֶכְתִים וּבְּהַקְהָיל אֶת־הַקָּהָל תִּרְקְעוּ לְלָא תָרְיעוּ

<sup>66</sup>"From where that there are three sets of three sounds each? The verse says, a day of sound<sup>67</sup>, a remembrance of sound<sup>68</sup>, shofar of sound<sup>69</sup>." So far following Rebbi Aqiba. Following Rebbi Ismael? <sup>70</sup>"You shall blow sounding<sup>71</sup>; and you shall blow sounding a second time<sup>72</sup>; sounding you shall blow for your travels<sup>72</sup>. If you would say that blowing is sounding, is it not written, to assemble the people you shall blow but not sound<sup>73</sup>."

66 Sifra Emor Parashah 11(9), Sifry Num.

73.

67 Num. 29:1

68 Lev. 23:24.

69 Lev. 25:9.

70 Sifry Num. 73.

71 Num. 10:5.

72 Num. 10:6.

73 Num. 10:7.

יובל [היא]. אף על פי שלא השמיטו. אף על פי שלא תקעו בשופר. או יכול אף (58d line 57) על פי שלא שילחו עבדים. תלמוד לומר היא. דברי רבי יודה. רבי יוסה אומר. יובל. אף על פִּי שֶׁלֹא הָשָׁמִיטוּ. אַף עַל פִּי שָׁלֹא שִׁילָחוּ עָבַדִים. אוֹ יָכוֹל אַף עַל פִּי שֵׁלֹא תָקעוּ בַשׁוֹפַר. תלמוד לומר היא. אמר רבי יוסה. מאחר שהכתוב תולה אותה לעניין תקיעת שופר. וכתוב אחר תּוֹלה אוֹתהּ לענייו שׁילוּח עבדים. מפּני מה אני אוֹמר. יוֹבל שׁלֹא בשׁילוּח עבדים. (שׁאי) [ש]איפשר ליובל בלא שילוח עבדים אבל [אי] איפשר ליובל שלא בתקיעת שופר. דבר אחר. תָּקִיעַת שׁוֹפַר תִּלוּיֵה בָבֵית דִּין וְשִׁילּוּחַ עַבַדִים תַּלוּי בָּכֵל־אַדָם. וְאַתִּייֵא כַּהִיא דְאַמַר רְבִּי שׁמוֹאל בּר רב יצחק. וידבּר יי אל־משה ואל־אהרן ויצוּם אל־בני ישׂראֹל. על מה ציוּם. על פרשת שילוח עבדים. ואתייא כהיא דאמר רבי אילא. לא נאנשו ישראל אלא על פרשת שָׁיִלּוּחַ עָבַדִים. הַדָא הוּא דָכְתִיב מָקָץ שֶׁבַע שַׁנִים תַּשָּׁלְחוֹ אִישׁ אֶת־אַחִיו הַעְבַרִי וגו'. תַּנֵי בְשֶׁם רָבִי נָחֶמְיָה. הַיָּתָה כַּאַנִיּוֹת סוֹחֶר שְׁמַרְחָק תָּבִיא לַחְמַה: דְּבַרִי תוֹרָה עַנִיִים בְּמַקוֹמֵן וַעַשִּירִים בְּמַקוֹם אָחֶר. אֲמֵר רְבִּי יוֹחַנַן. זוֹ דָבָרִי רְבִּי יוּדָה וְרְבִּי יוֹסֶה. אֲבַל דְּבָרָטי חַכַּמִים. קִידּוּשׁ בֵּית דין ותקיעת שופר והשמט כספים משמיטין. ניחא קידוש בית דין ותקיעת שופר. השמט כַּסַפִּים לֹא בַסוֹף הַן מַשמיטִין. רבי זעורה שמע לה מן הדא. ויאמר אַלי אַל־תּירַא דַניַאל כִּי מוְ־הַיִּוֹם הַרָאשׁוֹן אֲשֵׁר נַתַתָּ אֵת־לִבָּךָ לָהָבֵין וּלְהַתְעַנָּוֹת לְפַנֵי אֱלֹהֵיךְ נִשְׁמִעוּ דְבַרֵיךְ. כְּבַר נִשְׁמִעוּ דבַריק.

<sup>74</sup>"A Jubilee [it is]<sup>75</sup>, even though they did not observe the Sabbatical, even though they did not blow the shofar. Or maybe even though they did not manumit slaves? The verse says it is, the words of Rebbi Jehudah<sup>76</sup>. Rebbi Yose says, a Jubilee, even though they did not observe the Sabbatical, even though they did not manumit slaves. Or maybe even though they did not blow the shofar? The verse says it is. Rebbi Yose said, since the verse makes it dependent on blowing the *shofar*, and another verse<sup>77</sup> makes it dependent on manumitting slaves, why am I saying, Jubilee even though they did not manumit slaves? For it is (impossible) [possible] for a Jubilee without manumitting slaves but (possible) [impossible] for the Jubilee without sounding the shofar<sup>78</sup>. Another explanation: The blowing of the shofar depends on the Court but manumission of slaves depends on everybody<sup>79</sup>." And it follows what Rebbi Samuel ben Rav Isaac said, the Eternal spoke to Moses and Aaron and commanded them to the Children of Israel<sup>80</sup>. About what did he command them? About the chapter on manumission of slaves<sup>81</sup>. This parallels what Rebbi Ila said, Israel was only punished because of the Chapter about manumission of slaves; that is what is written<sup>82</sup>, at the end of seven years everyone has to set free his Hebrew brother, etc. It was stated in the name of Rebbi Neḥemiah, she was like a trading vessel, from far she brings her bread<sup>83</sup>. The words of the Torah are poor in their proper place but rich at another place<sup>84</sup>. Rebbi Johanan said, these are the words of Rebbi Jehudah and Rebbi Yose, but the words of the Sages are, consecration by the High Court, and blowing the shofar, and remission of debts cause it to be Sabbatical. One understands consecration by the High Court, and blowing the shofar<sup>85</sup>. But is remission of debts<sup>86</sup> not at the end? Rebbi Ze'ira understood it from the following<sup>87</sup>: He said to me, do not fear, Daniel, since your words were heard from the first day when you concentrated to understand and fast before your God. Your words already were heard.

74 *Sifra Behar Pereq* 2(4); a similar text Babli 9b.

75 Corrector's addition from the Babli; out of place as shown by R. Yose's statement.

76 As Rashi explains in the Babli, in *Lev*. 25:8ff. it first is stated that the calendar authorities have to count 49 years, then organize the blowing of the *shofar* as consecration of the 50th year as Jubilee and return of land to the hereditary owners. Then in vv. 11,12 it is emphasized *it is Jubilee*, meaning that all rules spelled out subsequently do apply whether or not the preliminary actions required in vv. 8-10 have been executed or not.

77 The verse quoted from *Jer*. later on. In *Lev*. the freeing of Hebrew slaves is a law depending on the declaration of the Jubilee, 25:40.

78 The (scribe's text) has to be preferred to the [corrector's]; they more or less mean the same. The scribe's text means that a slave not freed in the Jubilee is held illegally; in law he cannot be considered a slave. Therefore the Jubilee without manumission is a legal impossibility; a disregard of the

duty to manumit is a sin for the individual, and a sin of the Court which does not enforce the law, but has no relevance for whether or not there is a Jubilee. On the other hand, the blowing of the *shofar* is a duty imposed on the Court; it is not intrinsic to the notion of Jubilee.

79 Essentially a succinct reformulation of the previous argument.

80 Ex. 6:13.

81 Since at that point the Israelites were not yet freed, no commandments could be given to them. It is asserted that they were told that they would be freed on condition that they would in the future manumit their own Hebrew slaves on the Jubilee.

82 Jer. 34:14. The argument is from the preceding verse: I concluded a covenant with your forefathers, on the day when I took them out of the land of Egypt, as follows:

83 *Prov.* 31:14. The "valiant woman" is identified with the Torah (*Midrash Mishleh* 31[10].)

84 Since in Ex. it is not spelled out what was the first commandment given to the

Israelites, but in *Jer*. it is spelled out.

85 As noted in Note 76, these are given in the biblical texts as establishing the Jubilee.

86 While it is not stated explicitly in the Mishnah, it clearly follows from the rules of *Ševi`it* Chapter 10 that debts are cancelled

by the end of the Sabbatical year. Since the Jubilee is a Sabbatical, how can its validity be dependent on what happens after it ends? 87 *Dan.* 10:12. The courts must be ready to enforce the cancellation of debts after the end of the Jubilee.

(59a line 3) אָמַר רָבִּי יוֹחָנֶן. טַעֲמֵיהּ דְּרָבִּי יְהוּדָה כְּדֵי לִיתַּן אֶת הַמָּצוּי עַל הַמָּצוּי וְאֶת שֶׁאֵינוֹ מצוּי עַל שׁאִינוֹ מצוּי.

Rebbi Joḥanan said, the reason of Rebbi Jehudah: to associate the frequent with the frequent, and the infrequent with the infrequent<sup>88</sup>

88 The *shofar* of New Year's Day, which is a yearly event, is a ram's horn, easily available coming from a domesticated

animal. The one for the Jubilee, used once in 50 years, comes from a wild animal and is rare.

(fol. 58c) **משנה ה**: שוֹפָר שֶׁנְּסְדַּק וְדִיבְּקוֹ פָסוּל. דִּיבֵּק שִׁיבְרֵי שוֹפָרוֹת פָּסוּל. נִיקַב וּסְתָמוֹ אָם עִיבֵב אֶת הַתְּקִיעָה פָּסוּל. וְאָם לָאו בָּשֵר:

**Mishnah 5**: A *shofar* which was split and glued together is disqualified. If one glued together pieces of *shofarot* it is disqualified. If it was pierced and he plugged it, if it obstructs blowing it is disqualified, otherwise it is qualified.

(4) **הלכה ו:** שׁוֹפֶר שִׁנְּסָדְּק וְדִיבְּקוֹ פְּסוּל. לְמִי נִצְרְכָה. לַרְבִּי נָתָן. דִּיבֵּק שִׁיבְרִי שׁוֹבֶר שׁוֹבְרוֹ פְּסוּל. עוֹד הִיא דְרְבִּי נָתָן. נִיקָב וּסְתָמוֹ. רְבִּי חִייָה בְשַׁם רְבִּי יוֹחָנֶן. דְּרְבִּי נָתָן הִיא. שׁוֹבְּרוֹת פְּסוּל. עוֹד הִיא דְרְבִּי נָתָן נִיקָב וּסְתָמוֹ. אָם הָיָה מְעַכֶּב אֶת הַתְּקִיעָה פְּסוּל. וְאָם לָאו בְּמִינוֹ בֵין שָׁלֹא בְמִינוֹ פָסוּל. רְבִּי חִייָה בְשֵׁם רְבִּי יוֹחָנֶן. בֵּינִי מַתְנִיתָא. בְּשֵׁם רְבִּי יוֹחָנֶן. בֵּינִי מַתְנִיתָא. אָם הָיָה מְעַבֵּב אֶת הַתְּקִיעָה פָּסוּל. וְאָם לָאוֹ כָּשֵׁר. רְבִּי אַבָּא בַּר וְמִינָא בְשֵׁם רְבִּי וְעוֹרָה. וְהוּ שִׁסְתָמוֹ בַשְׁר. שַׁכָּלֹת כְּשֵׁירִן בַּשִּׁוֹכְר. שַׁכְּלֹת כְּשֵׁירִן בַּשִּׁוֹבְר.

רְבִּי יַעֲקֹב בַּר אָחָא אָמֵר. אַבָּא בַּר בָּא שָׁאַל לְרְבִּי. שׁוֹפָר קֶדוּחַ מַהוּ. אָמֵר לֵיהּ. כָּזֶה [תוֹקִעִין בְּיַבְנָה. רְבִי בָּא בָעִי קוֹמֵי רַב. שׁוֹפָר קָדוּחַ מַהוּ. אָמֵר לֵיהּ. כָּזֶה] תוֹקְעִין בְּעִיינִי טָב.

**Halakhah 6**: "A *shofar* which was split and glued together is disqualified." For whom is this needed? For Rebbi Nathan<sup>89</sup>. "If one glued together pieces of *shofarot* it is disqualified." This also is Rebbi Nathan's. "If it was pierced and he plugged it," Rebbi Hiyya in the name of Rebbi

Johanan, it is Rebbi Nathan's, as it was stated<sup>90</sup>, if it was pierced and then repaired, whether with its own kind or another kind, if it obstructs blowing it is disqualified, otherwise it is qualified; Rebbi Nathan says, with its own kind<sup>91</sup> it is qualified, not with its own kind it is disqualified. Rebbi Hiyya in the name of Rebbi Johanan: so is the Mishnah: "if it was obstructing blowing it is disqualified<sup>92</sup>, otherwise it is qualified." Rebbi Abba bar Zemina in the name of Rebbi Ze'ira: Only if he plugged it. But if he did not plug it it is qualified since all sounds of a *shofar* are qualified.

Rebbi Jacob bar Aha said, Abba bar Abba<sup>93</sup> asked Rebbi, what are the rules for a drilled *shofar*? He said, with one of this kind [one blows at Jabneh. Rebbi Abba asked before Rav, what are the rules for a drilled *shofar*? He said, with one of this kind]<sup>94</sup> one blows at Eintab<sup>95</sup>.

- 89 The entire Mishnah must follow R. Nathan since in the *baraita* quoted in the Halakhah the opponents of R. Nathan disqualify even a repaired hole.
- 90 Babli 27b.
- 91 He considers a horn repaired using only material from similar horns as the natural product and qualified.
- 92 If the shofar was unusable when not

- repaired, it remains unusable when repaired.

  93 He is the father of Samuel.
- 94 Corrector's addition, correctly deleted in Krotoschin ed., even though endorsed by R. Joseph Karo (*Bet Yosef Oral*, *Hayyim* §586.)
- 95 The place chosen for determining the calendar.

(fol. 58c) משנה וּ: הַתּוֹקֵעֻ לְתוֹדְ הַבּוֹר אוֹ לְתוֹדְ הַדּוּת אוֹ לְתוֹדְ הַפִּישָּׁס אָם קוֹל שוֹפָּר שָׁמַע יָצָא. וְבִן מִי שֶׁהָיָה עוֹבֵר אֲחוֹבִי בֵית הַבְּנָסֶת אוֹ שֶׁהָיָה בֵיתוֹ סְמוּדְ לְבֵית הַבְּנֶסֶת וְשָׁמַע קוֹל שוֹפָר אוֹ קוֹל מְנִילָּה אִם כָּוַן לְבּוֹ יָצָא. וְאָם לָאו לֹא יָצָא. אַף סָמוּדְ לְבֵית הַבְּנֶסֶת וְשָׁמַע זְוֹר שׁוֹפָר אוֹ קוֹל מְנִילָּה אִם כָּוַן לְבּוֹ יָצָא. וְאָם לָאו לֹא יָצָא. אַף עַל פִי שֶׁיֶּה שָׁמַע וְוָה שָׁמַע זֶה כָּוַן לְבּוֹ וְוָה לֹא כָוַן לְבּוֹ:

**Mishnah 6**: If somebody blows into a cistern, or into a cellar, or into a barrel<sup>96</sup>, if he heard the sound of the *shofar* he fulfilled his obligation, if he heard the sound of the echo he did not fulfill his obligation. Similarly<sup>97</sup>, if somebody was passing by a synagogue or his house was near the synagogue and he heard the sound of the *shofar* or the reading of the Esther scroll, if he listened purposefully he fulfilled his obligation, otherwise he did not fulfill his

obligation. Even though both heard, one listened purposefully, but the other did not listen purposefully.

96 Greek πίθος.

97 The two cases are not quite comparable. In the first case, two people hear similar sounds, one the original and the other the

echo. In the second case both hear the same sound, but one intended to fulfill his religious obligation and the other did not.

(59a line 13) **תלכה ז:** אָמַר רָבִּי יוֹסֵי בֶּן חֲנִינָה. לֹא אָמַר אֶלָּא וְכֵן מִי שֶׁהָיָה עוֹבֵר. הָא אִם עַּמַד חֲזָקָה כִּינִיין. נָתַן שׁוֹפָר בְּתוֹדְ שׁוֹפָר (נֹאמֵר) [וְתָּקַע]. אִם קוֹל הַפְּנִימִי שְׁמַע יָצָא. אִם קוֹל חֵינְן שׁוֹפָר בְּתוֹדְ שׁוֹפָר (נֹאמֵר) [וְתָּקַע]. אִם קוֹל הַפְּנִימִי שְׁמַע יָצָא. אִם קוֹל חִיבוֹן שְׁמַע לֹא יָצָא. רְבִּי אֲבִינָּא בָעֵי. הֲפָכוֹ מָהוּ. נִישְׁמְעִינָהּ מִן הָדָא. גְּרָדוֹ מִבְּפְנִים פְּסוּל. מַה בֵין זֶה לָזֶה. זֶה בִישֵּל חֲלָלוֹ וְזֶה לֹא מָבַר אֶלָא גְרָדוֹ. הָא אִם הֲפָכוֹ פָסוּל. מַה בֵין זֶה לָזֶה. זֶה בִישֵּל חֲלָלוֹ וְזֶה לֹא בִישֵל חֲלָלוֹ וְזָה לֹא בִישֵל חֵלָלוֹ.

**Halakhah 7**: Rebbi Yose ben Hanina said, it only said "somebody was passing by." Therefore if he stood still, the presumption is that he listened purposefully<sup>98</sup>. <sup>99</sup>"If he put one *shofar* inside another *shofar* (,we shall say) [and blew]<sup>100</sup>, if one heard the sound of the inner one, he has fulfilled his obligation, if one heard the sound of the outer one, he has not fulfilled his obligation." Rebbi Abinna asked, what if he turned it inside out? Let us hear from the following<sup>101</sup>: "If he scratched it inside it is disqualified, outside it is qualified." He only said, he scratched; therefore if he turned it inside out it is disqualified. What is the difference between the two? One eliminated the inner space, the other did not eliminate the inner space.

98 The Babli, 29a, has a problem whether the person who blew the *shofar* intended to benefit also the casual listener.

99 Babli 27b, Tosephta 2:4.

100 The corrector's text in brackets follows the Babli and the Tosephta.

101 In the Babli sources (Note 99) the reading is: "inside or outside it is qualified." The Yerushalmi text should not be adapted to the Babli since roughing the surface inside changed the sound chamber.

(fol. 58c) **משנה זּ** וְהָנָה כַּאֲשֶׁר יָרִים משֶׁה יָדוֹ וְגָבֵר יִשְּׂרָאֵל וּגו'. וְבִי יָדִיז שֶׁל משֶׁה עוֹשׂוֹת מִלְחָמָה אוֹ שוֹבְרוֹת מִלְחָמָה. אֶלָּא כָּל־זְמֵן שֶׁהִיז יִשְׁרָאֵל מִסְתַּכְּלִיז כְּלַפֵּי לְמַעְלָן וּמְכַוּוְנִיז לַבְּיִתְם אוֹ שוֹבְרוֹת מִלְחָמָה. אֶלָּא כָּל־זְמֵן שֶׁהִיז וִשְׁכִּים הַיִּז מתְנָבְּרִיז. וָאִם לָאוֹ הִיז נוֹפְלִיז.

**Mishnah 7**: It was when Moses lifted his hands then Israel had the upper hand <sup>102</sup>, etc. Do Moses's hands conduct war or break war? But any time that Israel looked up high and directed their thoughts <sup>103</sup> to their Father in Heaven they were dominating, otherwise they were falling.

102 Ex. 17:11. connecting this Mishnah to the preceding.

(91 line 195) הלכה ח: רְבִּי יְהוּשָׁעַ בָּן לֵוִי אָמֵר. צְמֶלֵק כּוֹשְׁכָן הָיָה. מֶה הָיָה עוֹשָׂה. הָיָה מַצְמִיד בְּנֵי אָדָם בְּיוֹם גַּינִיסִיא שֶׁלוֹ. לוֹמֵר. לֹא בִמְהֵרָה אָדָם נוֹפֵל בְּיוֹם גַּינִיסִיָּה שֶׁלוֹ. מֶה עָשָׂה מֹשֶׁה. עִרְבֵּב אֶת הַפַּיָּלוֹת. הָדָא הוּא דְרְתִיב שֶׁמֶשׁ יֻרָח עֲמֵד זְבוּלָה וּגוֹ'. וּכְתִיב נָתַן תְּהוֹם קוֹלוֹ מֹשֶׁה. עִרְבֵּב אֶת הַפַּיָּלוֹת. הָדָא הוּא דְרְתִיב שֶׁמֶשׁ יֻרָח עֲמֵד זְבוּלָה וּגוֹ'. וּכְתִיב נָתַן תְּהוֹם קוֹלוֹ שְׁמוּאֵל אָמֵר. וְצָבֶא תִּינְתוֹ עַל־הַתְּמִיִּד בְּבָּעִי תִּוֹרָה לָאָרֶץ בְּפָשְׁעַה שָׁלְתוֹרָה. וְתַשְׁלֵךְ אֱמֶת שִׁיִּלְאָל מַשְׁלִיבִי תוֹרָה לָאָרֶץ הַפְּעָשׁת הַרְשָׁעָה וֹמִילְיקה. וְתִשְׁלֵךְ אֱמֶת אֹלְאָר וְעָשְׁתָה וְהִצְּלְחָה. וְתִשְׁלֵך אֱמֶת אֹלֶץ הְמֶלְנִית הְרְשָׁעָה וֹמִילֶר וּבִינֶה. אָמֵר רְבִּי אַמֶּת אֶלֶא תוֹרָה. הֵיּדְ מִה דְאַתְּ אָמֵר אֱמֶת קְנֵה וְאַל־תִּמְכּנוֹר חָכְמָה וּמוֹעֵר וּבִינֶה. אָמֵר רְבִי לְקָח יִיּדְה בָּר בָּזִי. זְנָח יִשְׂרָאֵל עֻוֹב אוֹיֵב יִרְדְּבְּוֹּ וְאֵין טוֹב אֶלָּא תוֹרָה. הֵידְּ מִה דְאַתְּ אָמֵר כֵּי לְבָּין טוֹב אֶלָא תוֹרָה. הֵידְּ מָה דְאַתְּ אָמֵר בְּיִבְּיוֹ וְעִישְׁלָב בּיִרְדְּבְּוֹי וְעִילִים בְּתָתְי לְכֵם תְּוֹרָתִי אָל תִּנְילִכם הְּוֹרָתִי אֵל תִּנָילִי בְּל תִּנְילִי אָל תִּנְתִיל לְכֵם הְּוֹרָתִי אָל תִּעָזוֹבוּי

Halakhah 8: Rebbi Joshua ben Levi said, Amaleq was a sorcerer. What did he do? He put people up on their birthday, implying that no man easily falls on his birthday<sup>104</sup>. What did Moses do? He mixed planets<sup>105</sup>. That is what is written<sup>106</sup>, *sun, moon stood in the sky*, etc. And it is written<sup>107</sup>, *the abyss sounded, the elevated lifted his hands.* Since *the elevated lifted his hands, the abyss sounded.* Samuel said, *and the host will be given against the daily sacrifice by vice*<sup>108</sup>, the vice of the Torah<sup>109</sup>. *And truth will be thrown to the ground*; anytime that Israel throws the words of the Torah to the ground, this evil government decides and succeeds. What is the reason? *It threw truth to the ground, did, and succeeded.* And there is no truth like Torah, as you are saying<sup>110</sup>, *buy truth and do not sell, wisdom, ethics, and insight.* Rebbi Jehudah bar Pazi said, *Israel has neglected the good, an enemy will pursue it*<sup>111</sup>, and there is no good but the Torah, as you are saying, *for a good acquisition I gave to you, do not abandon my Torah*<sup>112</sup>.

104 On the theory that warriors are less vulnerable on their birthday, γενέσια, because the stars of his birth will protect him (and astrology is legitimate for

Gentiles.)

105 He assured Joshua's victory by deviating the stars from their regular course (agreeing that the stars did for Moses what

112 Prov. 4:2.

 they did for Joshua, Yalqut Joshua 22).
 109 The vice of neglecting the Torah.

 106 Hab. 3:11.
 110 Prov. 23:23.

 107 Hab. 3:10.
 111 Hos. 8:3.

(fol. 58c) משנה ח: כַּיּזֹצֵא בוֹ וַיִּאמֶר ה' אֶל־מֹשֶׁה עֲשֵה לְךְּ שֶׁרֶף וְשִים אֹתֻוֹ עֵל־גֵס ווּגוֹ. וְכִי נָחָש מֵמִית וּמְחַיֶּה. כָּל־זְמָן שֶּיִשְּׁרָאֵל מְסְתַּכְּלִיזְ כְּלַפֵּי לְמַעְלָן וּמְשַעְבְּדִין אֶת לְבָּן לַאֲבִיהֶן שבּשׁמִים, הַיִּי מָתַרְפָּאִין וְאָם לָאוֹ הִיּוּ נָמוֹקִיזְ.

**Mishnah 8:** Similarly, the Eternal said to Moses, yourself make a poisonous snake and put it on a pole<sup>113</sup>, etc. Does the snake kill or the snake make live? But in times when Israel look upwards and make their thought subservient to their Father in Heaven they were healed, otherwise they were rotting.

113 Num. 21:8.

108 Dan. 8:12.

(59a line 31) הלכה טי אָמַר רְבִּי יָסָא. בְּאַרְבָּעָה מְקוֹמוֹת נֶאֶמֵר עֲשַׂה לְךָּ. בְּשְׁלֹשָׁה פְּרֵרְשׁ וֹשְׁהֹ לֹדְ שְׁתֵּל חֲצְיֹבְיֹת בֶּשְׁה לְךָּ. בְּשְׁלֹשָׁה פְּרֵרִשׁ וְאֶחָד לֹא פִירִשׁ. עֲשֵׂה לְךְּ תַּבְּת עֲצִי־גוֹפֶר. עֲשַׂה לְךְּ שְׁתֵּל חֲצְשְׁה בֹּא. לְפִיכְדְּ וַיַּעֲשׁ מֹשֶׁה וְנְתַשׁ מֹשֶׁה נְחִשׁ הוּא. לְפִיכְדְּ וַיַּעֲשׁ מֹשֶׁה וְנְחַשׁ מִשְׁה. עִיקָּרָה לֹא נחש הוּא. לְפִיכְדְּ וַיַּעֲשׁ מֹשֶׁה וְנְחִשׁׁ מֵמִיה בְּידוֹר. אֲמֵר לוֹן רְבִּי מֵאִיר. מְיִבְּן הָיָה רְבִּי מֵאִיר דּוֹרֲשׁ שִׁמוֹת. חַד בַּר נַשׁ הֲיִשׁ הוּא. כִּי דְּוֹר תַּהְפּוּכוֹת הֵׁמָה.

Halakhah 9: <sup>114</sup>Rebbi Yasa said, in four places it is said "make for yourself." In three it is explicit, one is not explicit. *Make yourself an ark of gopher wood*<sup>115</sup>. *Make yourself two silver trumpets*<sup>116</sup>. *Make yourself flintstone knives*<sup>117</sup>. *Make yourself a poisonous snake*<sup>113</sup> He did not make explicit. Moses said, is its root not *nhš*<sup>118</sup>? Therefore, *Moses made a brass snake*<sup>119</sup>. From there, Rebbi Meïr interpreted names<sup>120</sup>. There was a man called Kidor. Rebbi Meïr told them, guard yourselves from him, he is a bad person; *for a generation of perverts they are*<sup>121</sup>.

114 A somewhat extended version is *Gen.* 117 *Jos.* 5:2. rabba 31(8). 118 The words for "brass" and "snake" both

115 Gen. 6:14. use the root nhš whose meaning seems to be

15 Gen. 6:14. use the root nhs whose meaning seems to be

116 Num. 10:2. unrelated to both.

119 Num. 21:9.

121 Deut. 32:20.

120 Slightly differently Babli Yoma 83b.

(37 line) קבּי לֵוִי בְשֶׁם רְבִּי חָמָא בַּר חֲנִינָה. וְהָיָה הַנְּשׁוּךְּ אֵין כְּתוּב כָּאן אֶלֶּא כֶּל־הַנָּשׁׁוּךְ. אֲבִּילוּ נְשִׁיכַת נָחָשׁ וְהַבִּיט. רְבִּי אֲבִילוּ נְשִׁיכַת כָּלֶב וְרָאָה. נְשִׁיכַת נָחָשׁ וְהַבִּיט. רְבִּי אֲבִילוּ נְשִׁיכַת בָּלֶב שְׁאֵינוֹ מִמִּינוֹ. וְרָאֶה. נְשִׁיכַת נָחָשׁ שְׁהוּא מִמְינוֹ. וְרָאֶה. נְשִׁיכַת נָחָשׁ שְׁהוּא מִמְינוֹ. וְרָאֶה. נְשִׁיכַת נָחָשׁ שְׁהוּא מִמְינוֹ. וְרָאֵה. נְשִׁיכַת בָּלֶב שְׁאֵינוֹ מִחְלֹחֵל. וְרָאֲה. נְשִׁיכַת נַחָשׁ שְׁהוּא מְחַלְחֵל. וְרָבֵּיט. וְרָבֵּיט. וְרַבְּיט. וֹרָבֵּין אֲמָרִי. נְשִׁיכַת בֶּלֶב שְׁאֵינוֹ מִחַלְחֵל. וְרָאֲה. נְשִׁיכַת נַחָשׁ שְׁהוּא מְחַלְחֵל. וְרָבֵּיט.

Rebbi Levi in the name of Rebbi Ḥama bar Ḥanina. It is not written, "and one bitten", but *and anyone bitten*<sup>113</sup>, even bitten by a dog, even bitten by a snake. But they do not compare. A dog bite, *and he sees*<sup>113</sup>; a snake bite, *and he gazes*<sup>119,122</sup>. Rebbi Jehudah the circumcizer in the name of Rebbi Aḥa, A dog bite which is not of its kind, *and he sees*; a snake bite which is of its kind, *and he gazes*. But the rabbis say, A dog bite which does not make tremble, *and he sees*; a snake bite which makes tremble, *and he gazes*.

122 Gazing needs intent, seeing may be unintentional.

(fol. 58c) משנה ט: הַרֵשׁ שוֹּמֶה וְקָמֶן אֵינוֹ מוֹצִיא אֶת הָרַבִּים יְדֵי חוֹבָתָן. זֶה הַכְּלֶל כּל שָׁאֵינוֹ חַיַּב בַּדָּבַר אֵינוֹ מוֹצִיא אֶת הַרָבִּים יְדֵי חוֹבַתַן:

**Mishnah 9**: A deaf-and-dumb person, an insane person, or a minor, may not free the public from their obligation<sup>123</sup>. This is the principle: Anybody not obligated for something<sup>124</sup> may not free the public from their obligation.

123 If anybody hears the *shofar* blown by a person not obligated has not fulfilled his obligation of hearing the sound of the *shofar*. The three categories mentioned here are those of males not under any religious obligation. The deaf-and-dumb because he has no connection with civilization and therefore cannot learn his obligations. Therefore a deaf-and-dumb person trained in

sign language no longer is legally deaf-anddumb. The insane cannot be responsible for anything. The minor is his parent's obligation, not his own, until he reaches adulthood, fixed at 13 years and 1 day.

124 For example women, who are exempted from all religious obligations tied to a fixed date.

(59a line 42) **הלכה י**ּ תַּנֵּי. אֲבָל אֱמְרוּ. אִשָּׁה מְבָרֶכֶת לְבַצְלָהְ. עֶבֶד לְרַבּוֹ (בֶּן) [קְטָן] לְאָבִיו. [נִיחָא אִשָּׁה מְבָרֶכֶת לְבַעֻלָּה. עֶבֶד לְרַבּוֹ. קָטָן לְאָבִיו] לֹא כֵן אָמֵר רִבִּי אָחָא בִשִׁח רְבִּי יוֹסֵי בֶן נְהוֹרֵיי. כָּל־שֶׁאֲמְרוּ בְקָטָן כְּדֵי לְחַנְּכוֹ. תִּיפְתָּר בְּעוֹנֶה אַחֲרִיהֶן (אָמֵן). כַּהִיא דְתַנִּינֶן תַּמָּן. מִי שָׁהָיָה עֶבֶּד אוֹ אִשָּׁה אוֹ קָטָן מַקְרִים אוֹתוֹ וְעוֹנֶה אַחֲרִיהֶם מַה שֶּׁהֵם אוֹמְרִים וּתְּהֵא לוֹ מְאֵירָה. תָּבוֹא מָאֵירַה לָבֶן עֵשִׂרִים שַׁצָּרִידְּ לָבֵן עֵשֵׂר.

**Halakhah 10**: <sup>125</sup>It is stated: <sup>126</sup>"In truth, they said a woman may say Grace for her husband, a slave for his master, and a (son) [minor]<sup>127</sup> for his father. [One understands a woman for her husband, a slave for his master. A minor for his father?]<sup>128</sup> Did not Rebbi Aḥa say in the name of Rebbi Yose ben Nahorai: All they said about a minor is for the latter's education? Explain it that he recites after him (Amen), as we stated there: "A person to whom a slave, or a woman, or a minor, read for him, repeats after them what they say, and it should be a curse for him." There should be a curse on the man of twenty years who needs the child of ten.

125 This Halakhah is copied from *Sukkah* 3:11, Notes 110-113. Its origin is *Berakhot* 3:3, Notes 153-157.

126 Babli 38a, Berakhot 20b; Tosephta

Berakhot 5:11.

127 Texts of (scribe) and [corrector].

128 Corrector's addition from the parallels.