## לולב וערבה פרק רביעי סוכה

(fol.54a) משנה אי לוּלָב וַעַרָבָה שָּשֶּׁה וְשְבָעָה. הַהַלֵּל וְהַשְּׁמְהָה שְׁמוֹנָה. סוּכָּה וְנְסוּדְּ הַפֵּיִם שָׁבָּעָה. וְהָחָלִיל הֲמִשָּׁה וְשְשָּׁה: לוּלָב שְבְעָה כֵּיצֵד יוֹם מוֹב הָרְאשׁוֹן שֶׁל הַג שֶׁחָל לְהְיוֹת שֶׁבָּעָה וְשְׁאָר כַּל־הַיָּמִים שְׁשָּה:

**Mishnah 1**: Lulav and willow six and seven<sup>1</sup>; Hallel and joy eight<sup>2</sup>. Sukkah and water libation seven<sup>3</sup>, and the fife five and six<sup>4</sup>. When is the lulav seven? If the first day of Tabernacles falls on a Sabbath, the lulav is taken seven times; on all other days six<sup>5</sup>.

**משנת ב: עַ**רָבָה שָבָעָה כֵּיצַד יוֹם שְבִיעִי שֶל עֻרָבָה שֶחָל לִהְיוֹת כַּשַּבָּת עֲרָבָה שִבְּעָה וּשְאָר כּל־הימים ששה:

**Mishnah 2**: How the willow seven? If the seventh day of willow falls on a Sabbath, the willow is seven {days}, on all other days six<sup>5</sup>.

- 1 The entire Chapter only refers to the service in the Temple. The willow twigs referred to here are not those tied to the *lulav*; these are subsumed under the name of *lulav* and are not mentioned further, just as the myrtle branches are not mentioned. The willow twigs are large ones used around the altar, as described in Mishnah 4. The numbers mentioned refer to the number of days the corresponding action is required. If the Sabbath of the holiday week was not the first day, the willow twigs to surround the altar were not brought on the Sabbath.
- 2 These apply both on the seven days of Tabernacles and on the additional final holiday (*Num.* 29:35) which is separate from Tabernacles.
- 3 Since no Sabbath violation is possibly

- connected with these, they are obligatory all seven days of Tabernacles.
- 4 The fife accompanying the nightly festivities of drawing the water for next day's libation, impossible both on the full holiday and on the Sabbath.
- 5 If the first day of the holiday is a Sabbath, the use of the *lulav* in the Temple is prescribed by *Lev*. 23:40 and therefore supersedes any possible Sabbath violation. But the Sabbath on any other day of the holiday week has precedence and prevents the taking of the *lulav*. Similarly, the willows to surround the altar were carried on the seventh day even if it was a Sabbath since one holds that on that day it is a biblical command, as explained in the Halakhah.

לוּלָב וְעַרָבָה שְׁשָׁה וְשְׁבָעָה כול'. רְבִּי זְעוּרָה רְבִּי יָסָא רְשַׁם רְבִּי יוֹחָנָן. עַרְבָה הֲלָכָה לְמֹשֶׁה מִסְּינֵי. וּדְלֹא כְאַבָּא שָׁאוּל. דְּאַבָּא שָׁאוּל אוֹמֵר. עֲרָבָה דְבָר תּוֹרָה. עֲרְבָה לְמֹשְׁה מִסְינֵי. וּדְלֹא כְאַבָּא שָׁאוּל. דְּאַבָּא שָׁאוּל אוֹמֵר. עֲרָבָה דְבָר תּוֹרָה. וְעָרְבִי עֲרְבִה לְמֹשְׁה מִסְינֵי. וּדְלֹא כְרְבִּי עֲקִיבָה. דְּרְבִּי עֲקִיבָה אָמֵר. נִיסּוּדְ הַמֵּיִם דְּבָר יוֹחָנָן. עֲרָבָה לִמִּשְׁה מִסְינֵי. בַּשְׁישׁי וּנְסְבֵּיהָם. בְּשִׁישׁי וּנְסְבֵּיה, בַּשְׁישׁי וּנְסְבֵּיה, בַּשְׁישׁה וְנְסְבֶיה בְּשִׁים רְבִּי יוֹחָנָן. וְעַכשִׁיו לְמָה הֵן חוֹרְשׁין בִּזְּקינוֹת. אָמֵר לִיה. בְּשָׁעָה שִׁנִּיתָּה הַלְּכָה לְמִשְׁה לַחֲרוֹשׁ יַחֲרוֹשׁ יַחֲרוֹשׁ. רְבִּי בָּא בַּר זַבְדָא בְשָׁם רְבִּי חוֹנִייָא דְּבְרַת חַוּוְרָן. עֲרָבָּה וְנִיסּוּדְ הַפְּיִם וְעֶשֶׂר נְסִיעוֹת מִיּסוּד הַנְּנִים וְהַסְּכִימֵם בְּיִלְּה בְּלָבְה וְעִשֶּׁר נְטִיעוֹת מִיּסוּד הַנְּנִים וְהַסְכִּימוּ עֵל דְּעַת הְרְאשׁוֹנִים. לְבַבְּה וֹנְיְיָם וְעָשֶׁר בְּיִישׁה הַלְּכָה בְּיָדָם וּשְׁכָחוּה וְעֲמְדוּ הַשְּׁנִיים וְהִסְכִּימוּ עֵל דְּעָת הְרִאשׁוֹנִים. לְלַמְּי הַבְיי וֹסְיוֹ לְעָלִיו סוֹפוֹ לְתְּתְקִייֵם בְּבִילְן בְּמָה שְׁנָאֲלֵת רְבִּי מָנָא. בִּיִ לְעִין וּעְלִיוֹ בְלִישׁ בַּית דִין עָלְיו סוֹפוֹ לְהְתְקִייֵם בְּנָדְן בְּמָה שֶׁנָּצְשְׁה בְּיִיתְ וְעָב וּיִבְיּים בְּיִיתְ מִילִין עַבְּשְׁר בְּיִיכּוּן בְּשְׁבּית וְעִילוּ בְּיִים בְּיוֹ בְּשְׁים בִּית הִיא מְבִילוּ בְּיִים בְּיל בְּעִר הִיּשׁוּ בְּיִבּילוּ בְּיִים בְּיל בְּית וּמִילוּן בְּיִשְׁבְּי בְּיִבְית בְּיִבּיוֹ בְּעִישׁ בִּי בְּיתִיים בּוֹ בִּשְׁעִים בּוֹ בִּישְׁישׁ בְּיִים בְּיִים בְּיִים בְּילִים בְּילִים בְּשְׁעִים בּיִינִין בְּיִבְּי מִילִית בְּילְים בְּיִבְיוֹ בְּישִׁים בְּישְׁישׁ בְּיִילְיוּ בְּיִיבְיוֹן בְּיִים בְּיִי לְּבִי מְיִינִין בְּיִי בְּיִילְיוּ בְּיִיבְיוֹן בְּיִשְׁים בְּיִי וֹתְעִים בּוֹ בְּישְׁישׁ בְּיִים לְעִים בְּיוֹ בְּיִילְיוֹ בְּיִיבְיוֹן בְּיִים בְּיוֹ בְּיִים בְּיִים בְּיוֹ בְּיִים בְּיִים בְּיִים בְּיִים בְּיִים בְּיִבְּי בְּבְּי בְּיִים בְּיִים בְּיִים בְּיִים בְּיִים בְּיוּ בְּיִים בְּיוֹבְים בְּיִי

"Lulav and willow six and seven," etc. <sup>6</sup>Rebbi Ze`ira, Rebbi Ila, Rebbi Yasa in the name of Rebbi Johanan: The "willow" is practice going back to Moses on Mount Sinai. This is against Abba Shaul<sup>7</sup>, since Abba Shaul said, the willow is a word of the Torah: and brook willows, two. One willow for the lulav, the other willow for the Temple. Rebbi Abba, Rebbi Hiyya in the name of Rebbi Johanan: willow and pouring water are practice going back to Moses on Mount Sinai<sup>8</sup>. This is against Rebbi Agiba<sup>9</sup>, since Rebbi Agiba said the pouring of water is a word of the Torah: On the second day and their libations<sup>10</sup>. On the sixth say, and its libations<sup>11</sup>. On the seventh day, and its rules<sup>12</sup>. מי spells "water". Rebbi Hiyya bar Abba asked before Rebbi Johanan, why does one now plough because of old trees<sup>13</sup>? He said to him, when the practice was established it was given so that when they desired to plough they might plough. Rebbi Abba bar Zavda in the name of Rebbi Onias from Hauran: Willow, water libation, and ten saplings<sup>14</sup> are institution of the prophets<sup>15</sup>. Do they disagree? Rebbi Yose ben Rebbi Abun in the name of Levi: That was the current practice; they forgot it, but the later ones got up and agreed to the opinion of the earlier ones to teach you that everything the

court insists on will come to be in the end just as Moses was told on Sinai, as Rebbi Mana said, *for it is not an empty word*<sup>16</sup>, if it is empty it is *from you*. Why? Because you do not exert yourselves. *Because it is your life;* when is it your life? At the time that you exert yourselves. Rebbi Johanan said to Rebbi Hiyya bar Abba: Babylonian, two things came from you<sup>17</sup>, prostrating oneself on the fast day<sup>18</sup>, and the willow of the seventh day<sup>19</sup>. The rabbis of Caesarea say, also the moving<sup>20</sup>.

רְבִּי סִימוֹן מְפַקֵּד לְאִילֵין דִּמְחַשְּׁבִין. יְהָבוּן דַּעְתֵּכוֹן דְּלָא תַעַבְדּוּן לֹא תְקִיעֲתָא בְשַׁבָּתָא וְלֹא עֵרָבָתָא בְשַׁבָּתָא. וְאִין אַדְחַקְּתּוֹן עַבְדּוּן תְּקִיעֲתָא וְלֶא תַעַבְדּוּן עֵרֶבְתָא.

Rebbi Simon ordered those who computed<sup>21</sup>, be careful not to put the *shofar* blowing on the Sabbath nor the willow on the Sabbath. And if you are in trouble, put the *shofar* blowing on the Sabbath but do not put the willow on the Sabbath.

- 6 This paragraph is copied in Ševi`it 1:7 (Notes 48-58, ♥).
- 7 Halakhah 3:3, Babli 34a.
- 8 Babli 34a.
- 9 In Sifry Num. 250 the argument is given in the name of R. Jehudah ben Bathyra, with different arguments ascribed to R. Aqiba and R. Nathan. The argument notes that in Num. 29 the sacrifices for the different days of Tabernacles are given in stereotyped language; the words noted are deviations from the usual במשפט, במשפט. R. Aqiba's argument is presented differently in the Babli. Zevahim 110b.
- 10 Num. 29:19.
- 11 Num. 29:31.
- 12 Num. 29:33.
- 13 This refers to the problem that Mishnah  $\check{S}evi$ 'it 1:1 prohibits ploughing an orchard in the summer preceding a Sabbatical year, when it is noted immediately that this rule is no longer followed but one ploughs until the New Year. If the prohibition was instituted

- by Moses, how could a later generation rule against it? (Cf. Ševi`it 1:1, Note 7.)
- 14 The rule (Mishnah Ševi'it 1:7) that ten tree saplings planted over a surface area of a bet seah (5'000 square cubits) may be tended up to New Year's Day.
- 15 Babli 44a; Moed gatan 3b.
- 16 Deut. 32:47.
- 17 They are Babylonian customs introduced into Palestine.
- 18 Prostrating oneself in the re-enactment of the High Priest's service on the Day of Atonement (*Avodah zarah* 4:1, Note 18).
- 19 Using separate willow twigs on the seventh day of Tabernacles in the prayers for winter rains. Confirmed in the Babli, 44a.
- 20 According to J. Levy, the root is ττρ "to push aside, to move". It refers to the calendar rule given by R. Simon in the following. It is established in Mishnah *Roš Haššanah* 2:11-12 that rabbinic Judaism permits a computed calendar which may

deviate from the exact astronomical data. This is used to make sure that the Day of Atonement be neither Friday nor Sunday, since in those cases it would be difficult either to prepare a meal for breaking the fast or one to prepare for the fast. The calendar rules allow for one more condition. One prefers that New Year's day not be on a

Sunday, to make sure that the seventh day of Tabernacles not be on the Sabbath, rather than New Year's Day (and the first day of Tabernacles) not be on the Sabbath, since outside the Temple the *shofar* may still be blown on the Second Day of the New Year.

21 The calendar.

(fol. 54a) משנת ג: מְצְוַת לּוּלָב בֵּיצַד. בָּל־הָעָם מוֹלִיכִין אֶת לּוּלְבֵיהֶן לְהַר הַבָּיִת וְהַחַזָּנִין מְפְבְּלִין מִיָּדָן וְסוֹדְרִין אוֹתוּ עֵל גַּג הָאִצְטְבָה וְהַוְּקָנִים מַנִּיחִין אֶת שֶׁלְּהֶן בַּלְּשְׁבָה וְמְלַמְּדִין אוֹתָן לוֹמֵר בָּל־מִי שֶׁמַנִּיעֵ לּוּלָבִי בְיָדוֹ הֲרֵי הוּא לוֹ מַתְּנָה. מַשְׁבִּימִין וּבָאִין הַחַזָּנִין לְפְנֵיהֶן וְהֵן מְחַפְּיִן וּמַבִּין אִיש אֶת חֲבֵירוֹ. וּכְשֶׁרָאוּ בִית דִּין שֶׁהָיוּ בָאִין לִידִי סַבָּנָה הִתְקִינוּ שֶּיְהֵא כָל־אָחָד וְאָחָד נוֹמֵל בְּבֵיתוֹ:

**Mishnah 3**: How is the order of the *lulav*<sup>22</sup>? Everybody brings their *lulav* to the Temple Mount; the beadles accept from them and arrange them on the roof of the stoa<sup>23</sup>; but the Elders deposit theirs in the lodge<sup>24</sup>. One teaches them<sup>25</sup> to say, it should be a gift to anybody into whose hands my *lulav* will come. They come early and the beadles throw them before them<sup>26</sup>, and they grab and hit one another. When the Court saw that it involves danger they instituted that each person should take it in his house.

- 22 The way the *lulav* was taken in the Temple if the first day of Tabernacles was a Sabbath. Then the *lulav* could be moved anywhere on the Temple Mount, but in the city of Jerusalem it could not be brought from a private into the public domain. Therefore it was necessary to bring the *lulavim* to the Temple Mount on Friday.
- 23 The double stoa enclosing the Temple Mount.
- 24 People who could not be expected to

hold their own in the throng of the next morning.

- 25 Since on the first day nobody can fulfill his obligation with a *lulav* which is not his own property, and nobody could expect to receive his own *lulav* on the next day, it was necessary that each single worshipper donate his own *lulav* to whomever it would reach.
- 26 The *lulavim* before the multitude.

(54b line 59) **הלכה ב**: רְבִּי יַצְקֹב דְּרוֹמִייָא בָעֵי. מַתְנִיתָא דְלֹא כְרְבִּי דוֹסָא. דְּרְבִּי דוֹסָא אָמַר. בְּשְׁחֲרִית אָדָם צָּרִידְּ לוֹמַר. כָּל־מַה שָׁיְּלִקְטוּ הָעַנִייִם הַיּוֹם מִבֵּין הַעֲמָרִין הֶבְּקֵר הֲרִי הוּא הֶבְקַר. רְבִּי יוּדָה אוֹמֵר. לְעִיתּוֹתֵי עֶרֶב. וַחֲכָמִים אוֹמְרִים. אֵין הֶבְקֵר אַנְּסִים הֶבְקַר. שָׁאֵין אָנוּ אַחְרָאִין לְרַמָּאִים. תַּמָן אַתְּ אָמֵר. אֶיַרְ הַבְּרַ אַנָּסִים הֶבְקַר. וְהָכָא אַתְּ אָמֵר. הָבְקַר אַנָּסִים הָבְקַר. אָמַר רְבִּי חֲנַנְיָה אָמֵר רִבִּי לְעֵיְל. תַּמָּן עַל דַּיְתְּוֹ הוּא מִבְקַר. בְּרָם הָכָּא עַל כָּרְחוֹ הוּא מַבְקַר. אָמֵר רְבִּי חֲנַנְיָה בְּרָה רָבִּי הִילָּל. וָיָאוּת. תַּדָע לַדְּ שְׁהוּא בָן. שַׁבְּבַר חִילוּפִיו בְּיָדוֹ.

רַב מְפַּקֵד לְדְבֵית רַב אֲתִי. רַב הַמְנוּנָא מְפּקֵד לַחֲבֵרִייָא. כַּד תַּחֲוֹן יְהָבִין מַתָּנָה בְּיוֹמָא טָבָא לָא תַחֲוֹן יְהָבִין לָהּ אֶלָא לְדַעַת נְּמוּרָה. כְּהָדָא. רַב הוּנָא יְהַב אֶתְרוֹגָא מַתָּנָה לְבְרִיהּ. אַמֵּר לִיהּ. אִין יוֹמֵא טַבָּא יוֹמֵא דִין הֵרִי הוּא לָדְּ מַתִּנָה. וְאִין לְמַחַר הֵרִי הוּא לָדְּ מַתְּנָה.

**Halakhah 2**: Rebbi Jacob the Southerner asked, does the Mishnah contradict Rebbi Dosa? For "Rebbi Dosa said, in the morning a person has to say, everything which the poor will collect today between the sheaves is ownerless property. Rebbi Jehudah says, in the evening. But the Sages say, a declaration of abandonment under duress is not an abandonment, because we are not responsible for cheaters.<sup>27</sup>" There you are saying, a declaration of abandonment under duress is not an abandonment, but here you are saying, a declaration of abandonment under duress is abandonment. Rebbi Eleazar said, there he declares ownerless by his own will, here he declares ownerless against his own will. Rebbi Ḥananiah the son of Rebbi Hillel said, this is correct. You have to know that this is so since already his replacement is in his hand<sup>28</sup>.

Rav commanded to the House of Rav; there came Rav Hamnuna commanding the colleagues, if you are giving a gift on the holiday<sup>29</sup>, only give it fully explicit. As the following: Rav Huna gave an *etrog* to his son. He told him, if today is holiday<sup>30</sup> it is a gift to you, and if it is tomorrow it is a gift to you.

27 Tosephta *Peah* 2:5, Yerushalmi *Ma'aser Šeni* 5:1 (Notes 31-37), Babli *Bava qamma* 69a/b. R. Dosa and R. Jehudah want to prevent the poor from sinning if they are collecting on the farmer's field more than they are entitled to; therefore they recommend that the farmer declare anything the poor take against the biblical rules as

abandoned. The Mishnah here does not contradict R. Dosa, but the Sages who disagree with R. Dosa. Therefore, "contradict R. Dosa" must be read as "contradict the *baraita* known as R. Dosa's."

28 It is less of an abandonment than an involuntary exchange.

- 29 The *lulav* which must be the personal property of the worshipper on the first day of the holiday.
- 30 Because in Babylonia before the publication of the rules of calendar computation one had to observe all holidays

as two days since knowledge of the declaration of the first of Tishre and first of Nisan may not have arrived there before the 15th, he had to make it clear that the transfer of property was intended to be valid in any case.

(60. 54b) משנת ד: מִצְּוַת עֻרָבָה בֵּיצַד. מָקֹם הָיָה לְמַפָּה מִירוּשָׁלֵם וְנִקְרָא מוֹצֵא וְיוֹרְדִין לְשָׁם וּמְלְקְמִין מִשָּׁם מוּרְבִּיּוֹת שֻל עֻרָבָה וּבָאִין וְזוֹקְפִין אוֹתָן בְּצַדִּי הַמִּוְבַה וְרָאשֵיהָן כְּפּוּפִין עֵל לְשָׁם וּמְלְקְמִין מִשֶּׁם מוּרְבִּיּוֹת שֶל עֻרָבָה וּבָאִין וְזוֹקְפִין אֵת הַמִּוְבַה פַּעֵם אַחַת וְאוֹמְרִים אָנָּא יי' בַּבְּיִלְתוּה נָא. בְּבָי יְהוּדָה אוֹמֵר צְּנִי וָהוּא הוֹשִיעָה נָא. אָנָּא יי' הַצְּלִיחָה נָא. רְבִּי יְהוּדָה אוֹמֵר צְּנִי וָהוּא הוֹשִיעָה נָא. אוֹתוֹ הַיּוֹם מַקִּיפִין אֶת הַמִּזְבֵּח שֶׁבַע פְּעָמִים. בְּשְׁעֵת פְּמִירָתָן מָה הֵן אוֹמְרִים יוֹפִי לָּדְּ מִזְבַח יוֹפִי לָדְּ מִזְבָּח יִּרָּי, וְלָּךְ מִזְבַּח. רְבִּי אֵלִיעוֹר אוֹמֵר לְיָה וְלָךְ מִזְבָּח לָיָה וְלָךְ מִזְבָּח:

**Mishnah 4:** How is the order of the willow? There was a place below Jerusalem called Moṣa. They descended there to collect willow branches, and come and erect them on the sides of the altar, their heads bent on the altar. They trumpeted straight, treble, and straight. Every day they surround the altar once and say, *please, Eternal, please save; please, Eternal, please let succed*<sup>31</sup>. Rebbi Jehudah says, *Ani wahu please save, ani wahu please save*<sup>32</sup>. On that day<sup>33</sup> they surround the altar seven times. <sup>34</sup>What are they saying when taking leave? Beauty for you, altar, beauty for you, altar. Rebbi Eliezer says, to the Eternal and you, altar, to the Eternal and you, altar.

- 31 Ps. 118:25.
- 32 The spelling אחון is confirmed by Maimonides's autograph Mishnah. In his interpretation the reference is to *Deut* 32:39: *I, I am He, and no power competes with me*.
- 33 The seventh day of Tabernacles.
- 34 This part of the Mishnah is not discussed in the Halakhah; it is not in Maimonides's autograph of the Mishnah nor in the Babli (45b) it seems to be a *baraita*.

. אָפָא. הָלָה גּי מָהוּא מוֹצָא. מָמְצִייָא. אָמַא רְבִּי תַּנְחוֹמָא. קּלוֹנִייָא הֲוָה שְׁמָהּ (54b line 71) **Halakhah 3**: What is Moṣa³⁵? Memsia³⁶. Rebbi Tanḥuma said, its name was Colonia³⁷.

35 In the bottom of the valley North of Jerusalem, near the water.

36 This name is unexplained. It cannot be identified with a place מציא (in Galilee) mentioned in versions of the *baraita* about

the borders of the Land (Ševi`it 6:1, Tosephta Ševi`it 4:11, Sifry Deut. 51), but missing in the mosaic from the synagogue of Rehov.

37 A colony of Roman veterans.

בָּר קַפָּרָא אָמַר. וְגָבְהָן אַחַת עֶשְׂרָה אַמָּה. אָמַר רְבִּי יוֹסֵה. מַתְנִיתָא אֵמְרָה בַּן. (54b line 72) וְרָאשִׁיהֶן כְּפוּפִין לִצְדָדֵי הַמִּזְבַּחַ. רָבִּי זְעוּרָא שְׁלַח שְׁאִיל לְרְבִּי דְנִיאֵל בְּרִיה דְּרָב קַטִּינָא. שְׁמַעְתָּ מַאְבִיךְ טְעוּנָה בְּרָכָה וְנִישֶּׁלֶת בִּפְנֵי עַצְמָהּ וְיֵשׁ לָהּ שֵׁיעוּר. אֲתֵא רְבִּי אַיִיבוֹ בַּר נַגָּרִי בְשִׁם רַב חוּנָה וְאַמַר טַצְמָא. טְעוּנָה בְּרָכָה וְנִישֶּׁלֶת בִּפְנֵי עַצְמָהּ. וְיֵשׁ לָהּ שִׁיעוּר לֹא שְׁמַעְתִּי. תַּמָן אֶמְרִין. רַב וְאַמַר טַצְמָא. טְעוּנָה בְּרָכָה וְנִישֶּׁלֶת בִּפְנֵי עַצְמָה. וְיֵשׁ לָהּ שִׁיעוּר לֹא שְׁמַעְתִּי. תַּמָן אֵמְרִין. רַב עַשְׁתַּב וְהַנֵּן בַּרְצֵקֹב. חַד אַמַר. שׁלשה בָּדִּי עַלִין. וְחוֹרַנָה אַמֵּר. אַפִּילוֹ בַּד אָחָד.

Bar Qappara said, they<sup>38</sup> are eleven cubits high. Rebbi Yose said, the Mishnah says so, "their heads bent on the altar.<sup>39</sup>" Rebbi Ze`ira sent asking Rebbi Daniel ben Rav Qatina, did you hear from your father that it needs a benediction<sup>40</sup>, is taken alone<sup>41</sup>, and has a minimum? Rebbi Ayvi bar Nagari came in the name of Rav Huna and said with reason that it needs a benediction and is taken alone. Whether it has a minimum I did not hear. There, they are saying Rav Sheshet and Rav Naḥman bar Jacob. One says, three leafed branches. But the other said, even one branch<sup>42</sup>.

- 38 The willow branches taken for the altar, in contrast to those taken with the *lulav*, which are short.
- 39 Since the altar was 10 cubits high, the willows had to be appreciably larger in order to bend over. Babli 45a.
- 40 A special benediction, "praise to You, Eternal, King of the Universe, Who sanctified us with His commandments and commanded us to take willow branches."
- 41 No lulav in the hands of the Cohanim

circling the altar (as well as in the hands of the congregants during the prayers for rain, cf. Note 19).

42 It is clear that the Galilean authors Bar Qappara and R. Yose speak about the ceremony in the Temple. From the parallel in the Babli, 44b - 45a, it seems that the Babylonian authors, from R. Ze`ira to Rav Naḥman, speak about the ceremony in the synagogue, where on the seventh day a separate bunch of willow twigs is taken

(53c line 3) תַּנֵּי. וּבַעֲלֵי מוּמִין. רָבִּי שִׁמְעוֹן בֵּן לָקִישׁ בְּעָא קוֹמֵי רְבִּי יוֹחָנֶן. בַּעֲלֵי מוּמִין נִכְנָסִין בֵּין הַאוּלָם וְלַמִּזְבַּחַ. אָמַר לֵיהּ. כִּשִּׁירִים הַיוּ. It was stated: Bodily defective ones<sup>43</sup>. Rebbi Simeon ben Laqish asked before Rebbi Joḥanan, may bodily defective ones enter between the altar and the Temple entrance<sup>44</sup>? He told him, they were qualified<sup>45</sup>.

43 Since nobody but priests are permitted to enter the inner courtyard with the altar, by necessity only priests may circle the altar, and bodily defective priests are excluded from Temple service (*Lev.* 21:16-24).

44 It is stated in Mishnah *Kelim* 1:9 that bodily defective priests may not enter the

space between altar and Temple entrance.

45 The rule of Mishnah *Kelim* 1:9 is rabbinic but the rite of the willows is a tradition ascribed to Moses; in this case the defective priests are qualified since the rite is not a sacrificial service. Babli 44a.

רַבִּי אַבָּהוּ בְשֵׁם רְבִּי יוֹחָנֶן. כֵּינִי מַתְנִיתָא. אֲנִי וָהוּ הוֹשִׁיעָה נָּא. [אֲנִי וָהוּא הוֹשִׁיעָה נָּא. [אֲנִי וָהוּא הוֹשִׁיעָה נָּא.]

אָמַר רְבִּי אַבָּהוּ. לְךְּ לִישָׁעֲתָה לֶנוּ. לְדְּ יוֹדְייָה. דְּרַשׁ רְבִּי בָּא סְרוֹנְגִייָה. וְהוֹשְׁיַע יְי אֶת־אֶהֱלֹיֶ יְהוּדָה בָּרְאשׁוֹנָה. וְהוֹשַׁע כְּתִיב. דְּרַשׁ רְבִּי זִיבֵיי. כַּי עַתָּה ֹתְצְאִי מִקּיְרְיָה וְשָׁכַנַתְּ בַּשָּׁדֶׁה. וּשְׁכִינָתִי בַשֶּׂדָה. חֲנַנְיָה בֶּן אֲחִי רְבִּי יְהוֹשָׁעַ אוֹמֵר. אֱנֹכֵי יְי אֱלֹהֶיִךְ אֲשָׁרָ הְוֹצֵאתְיךּ מֵאֶרֶץ מִאְרָיִם. הוּצֵאתִּךְ כַּתָב.

רְבִּי בֶּרֶכְיָה רְבִּי יִרְמִיָּה בְשֶׁם רְבִּי חִייָה בַּר בָּא. דְרַשׁ לֵוִי בַּרְסִיסִי בְנַהַרְדֵּעָא. וַיִּרְאוֹּ אֻתְּ אֱלֹתַי יִשְׂרָאֵל וְתַחַת רַגְלָיו כְּמֵצְשֵׁה ֹלְבְנַת הַפַּבִּיר וּכְצֵעָב הַשְּׁמֵיֶם לְטוֹהַר: זוֹ עַד שְׁלֹא נִגְאֲלוּ. אֱלֹתַי יִשְׂרָאֵל וְתַחַת רַגְלָיו כְּמֵצְשֵׁה ֹלְבְנַת הַפַּבִּיר וּכְצֵעָב הַשְּׁמֵיֶם לְטוֹהַר: זוֹ עַד שְׁלֹא נְגְאֲלוּ אֲבָל מִשְׁינִּגְאֲלוּ (אֵין דַּרְכָּה תִּינְתַן) [אֵיכָן דַּרְכָּה שֶׁל לְבַנָה לְהִינְּתַן] שְׁם הִיא וְהַפָּל וְהַמֵּגְריפָה.) בְּבֶּי מְיִישְׁא. בָּבָבֶל כְּתִיב בְּמַרְאֵה אֱבֶּן־סְפִּיר וּבְמִצְרִים כְּתִיב וֹהִיא וְכָל־בְּרָה אֱבָר וּבְמִצְרִים כְּתִיב כְּמִרְאֵה אֱבֶּן־סְפִּיר וּבְמִצְרִים כְּתִיב כְּמִרְאֵה אֱבֶּן־סְפִּיר וּבְמִצְרִים כְּתִיב כְּמִרְאֵה אֶבֶּן־סְפִּיר וּבְמִצְרִים כְּתִיב בְּמַצְשֵשׁה לִנְ הַבְּבָּיר הָבִירְה שֶׁלְבָּבֶל הָיִה בְּבָּעֲשׁה מִן הַלְבֵינָה כְּדְּ שִׁיִבְבּרָה שֶׁלְבָּבֶל הָיָה קְשִׁשְׁה מִוֹ הַלְּבֵינָה כְּדְּ שִׁינְבּבּרָה שֶׁלְבָּבֶל הָיִה קְשָּׁה מִוֹ הַשְּבְּרִים הַיְּתָה רְשׁנִינָה בְּיִּשְׁבְּלוּ מִיּבְּרִים הַיְּתָה רְשׁנִים הַיּבְּבָּר הְנִים בְּיִּתָה לְשִׁבְּיים בְּיִּתְבִּייִם הַיְּתָּב בְּבִּבְּרִים בְּבָּבְיים בְּבְּבִית הַפּבְּייִם בְּעִבּיים הַשְּבִים הַשְּבִּים הְשִּבְּים בְּנִינְה בְּבִּיתְה בְּבִינָה בְּבָּית הַפּבּירִה בְּבָּבְיתִים בְּבִּיתְבּים בְּיִּבְּב הְשָּבְּבִית הַפַּבְּירִה בְּבִילְב בְּבִּבְּבְיתִים בְּבְּבְיתִב הַשְּבְּיבִים בְּיִבְיים בְּנִבּית הַפְּבְּיתִים בְּיִיב בְּנִיתְם בְּנִבְיוֹם בְּיִבּית בְּיִבְייִב בּית בְּבִּיתְם בְּיבּית בְּיבִית בְּבִּיתְּים בְּבִּית בְּבִּית בְּיִים בְּיִּבְיתִים בְּתִּבְיתְ בְּיִבּית בְּבִּית בְּבִּית בְּיבּית בְּיבִיתְים בְּבִּית בְּיבּית בְּיבְּבְּית בְּיבּית בְּיבּית בְּיבּית בְּיבּית בְּיבְּבְית בְּיבּיבְּבְית בְּבֹּית בְּבּית בְּיבְּבְית בְּיבְית בְּיבְּיבְית בְּיבּית בְּבּית בְּיבְּית בְּבְּבְּבְית בְּבִּית בְּבִּית בְּבְּבְבְית בְּבְּבְּית בְּבְּיבְית בְּבָּבְית בְּבְּבְית בְּבִית בְּבָּבְית בְּבָּבְית בְבָּבְית בְּבְּבָּבְית בְּבָּבְית בְּבּיבְּיבְית בְּבְּבְיבְית בְּבְיבְּים בְּבִית בְּבָּבְיבְיבְּיה בְּיבְּבְית בְּבְּבְיבְיבְיב

תַּנֵי בְשָׁם רְבִּי לִיעֶזֶר. עֲבוֹדָה זָרָה עֲבְרָה עִם יִשְׂרָאֵל בַּיָּם. מַה טַעַם. מִפְנֵי עַמְּדְּ אֲשֶׁׁר פָּדָיתָ לְךְ מִמִּצְרֵיִם גּוֹיָם גַּאלֹהֶיו. אָמַר לוֹ רְבִּי עֲקִיבָה. חַס וְשָׁלוֹם. אָם אוֹמֵר אַתְּ כָּדְּ נִמְצֵאתָ עוֹשֶׁה אֶת הַקּוֹדֵשׁ חוֹל. מַה תַּלְמוּד לוֹמֵר אֱשֶּׁר פָּדֵיתָ לְךְ מִמְצֵלְיִם. כְּבָיָכוֹל כְּאִילוּ עַצְמִךְ בָּדִיתָ.

Rebbi Abbahu in the name of Rebbi Johanan: So is the Mishnah, אֲנִי וָהוּ please save. [Ani wahu please save.]<sup>46</sup>

Rebbi Abbahu said, for you as help for us<sup>47</sup>. For you is recognized<sup>48</sup>. Rebbi Abba from Saronga, and the Eternal will first save the tents of Jehudah<sup>49</sup>. It is written "he saved.<sup>50</sup>," Rebbi Zibai explained, for now leave

the city and dwell in the field<sup>51</sup>, my Shekhina is in the field<sup>52</sup>. Ḥananiah the son of Rebbi Joshua's brother says, *I am the Eternal, your God, Who led you out of the land of Egypt*<sup>53</sup>, it is written "who was led out with you.<sup>54</sup>"

<sup>55</sup>Rebbi Berekhia, Rebbi Jeremiah in the name of Rebbi Ḥiyya bar Abba: Levi bar Sisi explained in Nahardea: *They saw the God of Israel and below His feet like the work of a brick of sapphire, and like the true sky in purity*<sup>56</sup>. That is, before they were freed. But after they were freed, (it was not its way to be put) [where usually the brick is put]<sup>57</sup> there it is put. Rebbi Berekhia said, it is not written here *the work*, but *like the work*, it and all its tools<sup>58</sup>, (it and the basket and the rake.)<sup>59</sup> [it and all its merchandise. Rebbi Miasha said, in Babylonia it is written<sup>60</sup>, *like the looks of sapphire stone*, but in Egypt it is written, *like the work of*] *a brick of sapphire*. To teach you that just as the stone is harder than the brick, so the servitude of Babylonia was harder than the servitude of Egypt. Bar Qappara stated, before Israel was rescued from Egypt it<sup>61</sup> was traced in the sky; after they were rescued it was no longer seen in the sky. What is the reason? *and like the true sky in purity*, the sky if it is empty of clouds.

<sup>62</sup>It was stated in the name of Rebbi Eliezer, an idol crossed with Israel through the Sea. What is the reason? *Before Your people which You redeemed for Yourself, peoples and his god*<sup>63</sup>. Rebbi Aqiba said to him, Heaven beware! If you are saying so it turns out that you profane the holy. Why does the verse say, *which You redeemed for Yourself*? If it were possible to say, as if You redeemed Yourself.

46 The corrector's addition in brackets has to be deleted. R. Abbahu notes that the text of the Mishnah, reading אחן, has to be corrected to יחון. Both את and יחו are of the 72 secret names of God which can be derived fron Ex. 14:19-21, 3 verses of 72 letters each, which have to be written boustrophedon, the first and last from left to right and the middle one from right to left, and then read from top to bottom. (Rashi 45a on the Mishnah; cf. the author's *The Scholar's Haggadah*, p. 300, Note 244.)

- 47 Ps. 80:3.
- 48 The reading of the text is unsafe and its meaning unclear. In line with the following homilies, the presumed meaning is that God liberated Himself by liberating Israel from Egypt.
- 49 Sach. 12:17.
- 50 Meaning: He saved Himself.
- 51 Mica 4:10.
- 52 A homily on the word ושכנת which is revocalized ישְׁכְנֶתְּ "My Presence", the field being a simile for the desert.

- 53 Ex. 20:2.
- 54 There is no textual evidence for a missing letter in this word.
- 55 Most of this paragraph also is in *Lev. rabba* 23(8), *Cant. rabba* 4(17).
- 56 Ex. 24:10.
- 57 The sapphire brick was at God's feet as symbol of the enslavement of Israel; after their freeing it was no longer necessary but took its place in the Heavenly Temple. The corrector's text in brackets is that of the Midrashim.

- 58 Greek 'εργαλεία "tools of trade".
- 59 The scribe's text in parentheses is confirmed by the Midrashim. The remainder of the text up to the statement of Bar Qappara is not in the parallel sources.
- 60 Ez. 1:26.
- 61 The brick of sapphire.
- 62 Mekhilta dR. Ismael Ba 14, Beshallah Wayissa 1; Sifry Num. 84, partially Tanhuma Ki Tissa 14.
- 63 2S. 7:24.

אַת הַמּיְבֵּח שֶׁבַע פְּעָמִים. אָמֵר רְבִּי אָחָא. זֵיכֶר לִירִיחוֹ. (54c line 25) "On that day<sup>33</sup> they surrounded the altar seven times." Rebbi Abba said, a remembrance of Jericho.

(fol. 54b) **משנח ה:** בְּמַעֲשֵׁהוּ בַחוֹל בָּךְ מַעֲשֵׁהוּ בַשַּׁבָּת אֶלָּא שֶׁהִיּ מְלַקְמִין אוֹתָהּ מֵעֶרֶב שַּבָּת מַנִּיחִים אוֹתָן בְּגִינִיּוֹת שֶׁל זָהָב בְּדֵי שֶׁלֹא יִכְמוֹשוּ. רְבִּי יוֹחָנָן בָּן בְּרוֹקָה אוֹמֵר חֲרִיּוֹת שֶׁל דְּקֶל הָיוּ מְבִיאִין וְחוֹבְמִין עֵל גַבֵּי הַמִּזְבָּחַ וְאוֹתוֹ הַיּוֹם הָיָה נָקְרָא יוֹם חִיבּוּם חֲרִיּוֹת: מִיַּד הַתִּינוֹקוֹת שוֹמִמִין אֶת לוּלְבֵיהָן וְאוֹכְלִין אֶתְרוֹנֵיהָן:

**Mishnah 5**: As one does it on a weekday one does it on the Sabbath, only that one collected them<sup>64</sup> on Sabbath Eve and keeps them in golden vats so they should not wilt. Rebbi Joḥanan ben Beroqa says, they brought palm branches<sup>65</sup> and struck them on top of the altar<sup>66</sup>; the day was called "day of striking palm branches." Immediately<sup>67</sup> the children untie their *lulavim* and eat their *etrogim*.

- 64 The willow branches.
- 65 Fully open palm branches, in contrast to the tube-like *lulav*.
- 66 This is the reading of most Mishnah mss. (including Maimonides's autograph) and the quote from the Babli by R. Isaiah
- from Trani. But in the Babli (Munich ms. and *editio princeps*) the reading is: On the floor near the altar.
- 67 After the end of services on the morning of the seventh day of Tabernacles.

(54c line 26) **הלכה ז**: לֹא אָמֵר אֶלֶּא קָטָן. הָא גְדוֹל לֹא. לֹא כֵן אָמַר רְבִּי אֲבִינָּא בְּשֵׁם רַב. אֶתְרוֹג שֶׁנִּפְסַל בַּיּוֹם טוֹב הָרִאשׁוֹן מוּתָּר לְאוֹכְלוֹ. אָמֵר רְבִּי יוֹסֵה. תַּמָּן הוּא אֵינוֹ רָאוּי לָצֵאת בּוֹ. אֲחֵרִים רָאוּיִין לָצֵאת בּוֹ. בָּרָם הַכָּא לֹא הוּא וָלֹא אֲחֵרִים יוֹצְאָיִן בּוֹ.

**Halakhah 4**: He said only minors, therefore not adults<sup>68</sup>. Did not Rebbi Avinna say in the name of Rav, if an *etrog* became disqualified on the first day of the holiday one is permitted to eat it? Rebbi Yose said, there<sup>69</sup> while he cannot use it to fulfill his obligation, others might use it to fulfill their obligation. But here neither he nor others can use it to fulfill their obligation.

- 68 Since only children may eat their *etrog* after services on the seventh day.
- 69 The seventh day is the last day *lulav* and *etrog* are taken. Since the *lulav* may be taken all day long, the same *lulav* could be

used by others; it is not food and remains reserved for its religious use. But a disqualified *etrog* cannot be used for any religious action, it is not reserved for any use other than food.

(fol. 54b) **משנח ו:** הַהַּלֵּל וְהַשָּׁמְּחָה שְׁמוֹנָה כֵּיצֵד. מְלַמֵּד שֶׁאָדָם חַיָּב בְּכְבוֹד יוֹם מוֹב הָאַחָרוֹן שֶׁל חָג בִּשְׁאָר כָּל־יְמוֹת הָחָג. סוּכָּה שִׁבְעָה כֵּיצֵד נָּמֵר מִלּוֹכַל לֹא וַתִּיר סוּכָּתוֹ אֲבָל מֹר הַאָּחַרוֹן: מוֹרִיד הוֹא אֵת הַבָּלִים מִן הַמְּנָהָה וּלְמֵעלֵן בִּשְׁבִיל כְבוֹד יוֹם מוֹב הַאָּחַרוֹן:

**Mishnah 6**: How *Hallel* and joy eight? This shows that a person is obligated to honor the last day of the festival like all other days of the festival<sup>70</sup>. How the *sukkah* seven? If he finished eating one may not undo his *sukkah* but one removes his vessels after afternoon prayers in honor of the last day of the festival<sup>71</sup>.

70 As stated in the Halakhah, *Hallel* is obligatory on all holidays except the last day of Passover, and an obligatory sign of holiday enjoyment is the consumption of

meat; in Jerusalem of the holiday sacrifice.
71 On which there is no obligation of *sukkah*.

(54c line 30) **הלכה ה**: תַּנֵּי. שְׂמוֹנָה עָשֶּׁר יוֹם וְלַיְלָה אֶחָד קוֹרִין בָּהֶן אֶת הַהַּלֵּל בְּכָל־שָׁנָה. שִׁמוֹנַת יִמֵי הַחָנוּכָּה וִיוֹם טוֹב שִׁלְעֵצֵרֶת וִיוֹם טוֹב רְאשׁוֹן שֵׁלְבֵּסֶח וְלֵיִלוֹ.

**Halakhah 5**: It was stated<sup>72</sup>: On eighteen days and one night one recites the *Hallel* every year. The eight days of Tabernacles, the eight days of

Ḥanukkah, the holiday of Pentecost, and the first day of the holiday of *Pesaḥ* and its night.

72 Tosephta 3:2. In the Babli this is an name of R. Simeon ben Joşadaq [*Arakhin* Amoraic tradition by R. Johanan in the 10a, *Ta`anit* 28b (*Soferim* 20:9)].

לבל ווe 32) רְבִּי זְעוּרָא עוּלָא בַּר יִשְׁמָעֵאל בְּשֵׁם רְבִּי לֵעָזָר. שַׁלְמֵי חֲגִינֶה שָׁשְׁחָטֶן מֵעֶרֶב הָרֶגֶל אֵינוֹ יוֹצֵא בָהֶן יְדֵי חוֹבָתוֹ בָּרֶגֶל. הָתִיב רְבִּי בָּא. וְהָתַנֵּי. חֲגִינֵת אַרְבָּעָה עֲשָׁר יוֹצְאין בָּהּ מִשֶׁם שִׁקְמִים. אָמֵר רְבִּי זְעוּרָה. תִּיפְתָּר. בְּשֶׁשְׁחָטָה בָּרֶגֶל אֵין זּוֹ חֲגִינֵת אַרְבָּעָה עֲשֶׂר. מֵאי כְדוֹן. אָמֵר רְבִּי זְעוּרָה. עַד דַּאֲנֶן בָּא אִם בְּשֶׁשְּׁחָטָה בָּרֶגֶל אֵין זּוֹ חֲגִינֵת אַרְבָּעָה עֲשֶׂר. מֵאי כְדוֹן. אָמֵר רְבִּי זְעוּיָרָה. עַד דַּאֲנֶן בָּא אִם בְּשֶׁשְׁחָטָה בָּרֶגֶל אֵין זּוֹ חֲגִינֵת אַרְבָּעָה עֲשֶׂר. מֵאי כְדוֹן. אָמֵר רְבִּי זְעוּיָרָה. עַד דְּאֲנֶן רְבִּי לְעָזֶר. וְהָיִיֶּתְ אַךְּ שָׁמְחָ: לְרְבּוֹת לֵילֵי יוֹם טוֹב (הָאחֲרוֹן) [הָרִאשׁוֹן] לְשְׁמְחָה. אִי יָכוֹל אַף רְבִּי לְעֶזֶר. וְהָיִיֶּתְ אַךְּ שָּׁמְחָ: לְרְבּוֹת לֵילֵי יוֹם טוֹב (הָאחֲרוֹן) [הָרִאשׁוֹן] לְשְׁמְחָה. אִי יָכוֹל אַף לֵילֵי יוֹם טוֹב (הָראשׁוֹן) [הָתִּמוֹיִן. וְהָתַנִּיּנָן. הָהַלֵּל לְיַלִי יוֹם טוֹב (הָראשׁוֹן) [הְתִּבִּיוּן, וְהְתַנִּיּנִן. הָהָלֹּעִי בְּשְׁמְחָה, בְּשִׁבְחָת, בְּשְׁמְחָה. הָנֵע עַצְמָךְ שָׁחְלִין הַהְראשׁוֹן לְהְיוֹת בַּשְּבָּת. לְשוֹחְטָן מֵעֶרֶב הָרֶגֶל אֵין אַתְּ וְנִילְ הַשְּבְּתוֹן בָּעְרָב הָרֶגֶל אֵין אַתְּ בְּשַׁבָּת רְבִּי זְעוּרָר א עוּלְא בַּר יִשְׁמְעֹאל בְּשִׁם רְבִּי לְעָזֶר. שֻׁלְמִי וֹם מִוֹב הְנִבְין וֹבְתִיךְ א עוּלְא בַּר יִשְׁמְעֵאל בְּשָּם רְבִּי יִמְתַר. שֶׁבְּי וֹשְׁתְים וֹבְתוֹ בָּבֶל וְחָשְׁ מְחִר. לְשִׁיחְם בְּבֹּלְתִים וֹנִיל בְּוֹ בְּבָיל וְתָּיִי בְּחִיל בְּתִילְ הָבְּי וֹשְׁתְים בְּבְינִים וְּבְּבִי יוֹמָה. הָהָלֵל וְהַשְּמְחָה שְׁמֹלְה הַשְּמֹים רְבִּי יוֹסָה. קִייְמָה רָב אְבְּדוּמִי נְחוֹם בְּלְב בְּנְבִיי וְמִילְר. שְׁמְבִי וֹשְבֹּת בְּילְי הָּבְיל הָתְלְב בְּרְנִילְרוּ שִׁוֹבְים בְּיוֹב בּי וְעִבְּר. הַיִּילְ הָּלְר. לְשְׁיִין הְבְּיּבְי בְּיוֹם בּיוֹב בְּילְבִי וְשִׁבְּי בְּשְׁר בְּיִים בְּיוֹל בְּיוֹ בְּתְּי בְּילְ הְבָּים בְּיוֹם בּיוֹב בְּבְיוֹם בְּבִייְם בְּבְיבְּים בְּיוֹבְי בְּיִבְי וֹיבְים בְּיבִים בְּבִילְים בְּיִבְיוּ בְּיוֹם בְּבִי בְּעִיבְים בְּבִיין בְּיִים בְּבִים בְּיִבְייִים

1 זעורא | ח זעורה 2 והתני | ח והא תני 3-4 אמר ... כדון | ח [...] 4 דאנן | ח דאנא 5 שמעתנה | ח שמע תנא סלקינן | ח סלקית הכא | ח להכא שמעתנה | ח שמע תנא 6 [ ] | ח - ] | ח - אך חלק | ח או חלף 8 והתנינן | ח והא תנינן 10 זעורא | ח זעורה 12-11 את השבת | ח שבת 12 אבדומי | ח אבדומא - | ח אבל לא בעופות ולא במנחות. דכת זבח.

<sup>73</sup>Rebbi Ze`ira, Ulla bar Ismael in the name of Rebbi Eleazar: With pilgrimage well-being offerings which were slaughtered on the eve of a festival one does not satisfy his obligation for the pilgrimage <sup>74</sup>. Rebbi Abba objected, was it not stated: With the pilgrimage offering of the Fourteenth one fulfills the obligation of joy but one does not fulfill the one of well-being offerings <sup>75</sup>? Rebbi Ze`ira said, explain it that he slaughtered it on the holiday. Rebbi Abba said, if he slaughtered it on the holiday it is not a festival offering of the Fourteenth. What about it? Rebbi Ze`ira said, when we still were there, we heard stated Ulla bar Ismael in the name of Rebbi Eleazar. When we came up to here we heard stated, Rebbi Ḥiyya in the name of Rebbi Eleazar: *only be joyful* <sup>76</sup>, to add the night of the (last) [first] <sup>77</sup> festival day for joy. Or maybe also the nights of the (first) [last] day of the festival? *Only* split <sup>78</sup>. Rebbi Ḥiyya in the name of Rebbi Eleazar: *and you shall be jouyous* 

on your festival of pilgrimage<sup>79</sup>. When you are obligated for a sacrifice of pilgrimage you are obligated for joy<sup>80</sup>. They objected, did we not state, *hallel* and joy eight? Think of it, if the first day of the holiday falls on a Sabbath! He cannot slaughter on the eve of the holiday since Rebbi Ze`ira, Ulla bar Ismael in the name of Rebbi Eleazar said, with pilgrimage well-being offerings which were slaughtered on the eve of a festival one does not satisfy his obligation for the pilgrimage. He cannot slaughter on the holiday since we already have learned that the pilgrimage offering does not push aside the Sabbath<sup>81</sup>. When did they say, *Hallel* and joy eight? Rebbi Yose said, Rav Eudaimon the emigrant explained it for Cohanim and the goat<sup>82</sup>.

73 This paragraph also is *Hagigah* 1:4 (n). 74 A pilgrimage to the Temple on the occasion of one of the three festivals of pilgrimage brings with it the obligation for two kinds of sacrifices. The first is the "elevation offering of appearance", in response to the commandment (Ex. 34:20): They shall not appear empty-handed before My presence. The second is a family sacrifice with the full name שלמי חגיגה "pilwell-being offering", shortened to הגיגה "pilgrimage offering", in response to the commandment to be joyous on the festival of pilgrimage, since when there is a possibility of sacrificing the eating of well-being sacrifices is an act of joy (Deut. 27:7). But this must be a sacrifice on the holiday, not in preparation for the holiday. Babli Pesahim 70b, bottom.

75 The pilgrimage offering of the Fourteenth is an additional sacrifice on the 14<sup>th</sup> of Nisan, together with the *Pesah* sacrifice. Since the *Pesah* is to be eaten after the main meal, the meat for the main course is provided by the additional well-being offering. Since the meal is taken in the night of the holiday, it contributes to

the enjoyment of the holiday, but it is not a sacrifice on the holiday. Babli *Pesahim* 70a. (Cf. the author's *The Scholar's Haggadah*, pp. 248-249.)

76 Deut. 16:15.

77 The (scribe's text) is confirmed in Hagigah; the [corrector's] is from Sifry Deut. 142. The clause only be joyful seems unnecessary since the preceding verse already commands to enjoy the festival of Tabernacles. It is read to mean that the final which longer belongs no Tabernacles, only has the obligation of joy, but none of the two sacrifices which already were obligatory on Tabernacles. Therefore by the argument presented for the offering of the Fourteenth, the last day may be celebrated with meat from a sacrifice brought on the preceding day. The corrector's text is irrelevant for the discussion here, it refers the sacrifice of the Fourteenth to the 14<sup>th</sup> of Tishre, to provide food for the initial meal of the holiday.

78 In *Ḥagigah*: "or inverse." The text here is the Babli's, 48a.

79 Deut. 16:14.

80 Therefore no sacrifice on the eve of a

holiday can be obligatory.

81 Since no fixed date is given in the verse for these sacrifices, they cannot be brought on a Sabbath.

82 If the first day is a Sabbath, all required holiday sacrifices are brought on the altar. They all are holocausts except for the goat

as purification offering whose meat is eaten by the Cohanim. But since it is a Sabbath, it may not be cooked or roasted for private use and the meat must be eaten raw. The Babli *Pesahim* 71a holds that eating raw meat is no joy.

(48 line 48) סוּפָּה שִׁבְעָה כֵּיצַד. נֶּמַר מִלּוֹכַל לֹא זַתִּיר סוּפָתוֹ אֲבָל מוֹרִיד הוּא אֶת הַכֵּלִים מִן הַמִּנְחָה וּלְמַעְלָן בִּשְׁבִיל כְּבוֹד יוֹם טוֹב הָאַחֲרוֹן. רְבִּי אַבָּא בַּר כְּהֲנָא רַב חִייָה בַּר אַשִּׁי בְשֵׁם רַב. צְרִידְ אָדָם לְּפְסוֹל סוּפָתוֹ מִבְּעוֹד יוֹם. רְבִּי יְהוּשָׁעַ בָּן לֵוִי אָמֵר. צְרִידְ לְקַדֵּשׁ בְּתוֹדְ בֵּיתוֹ. רְבִּי צְּרָידְ אָדָם לְּפְסוֹל סוּכְּתוֹ מִבְּעוֹד יוֹם. רְבִּי יְהוּשָׁעַ בָּן לֵוִי אָמֵר. צְרִידְ לְקַדֵּשׁ בְּתוֹדְ בֵּיתוֹ. רְבִּי אָחָא רְבִּי יַעְקֹב בַּר אָחָא בְשַׁם רְב (הוֹשַׁעְיָה). מִי שִׁסוּבְּתוֹ עָרִיכָה עָלָיו מְקַדֵּשׁ בְּלֵילִי יוֹם טוֹב הָאַחֲרוֹן בְּתוֹדְ בֵּיתוֹ חִעֹלֶה וְאוֹכֵל בְּתוֹדְ סוּכּוֹתוֹ. אָמֵר רְבִּי אָבוּוּן. וְלָא בְּלִיגִין. מֵה דְאָמֵר רַב. בְּשָּׁלֹא הָיָה בְדַעְתוֹ לוֹכַל בְּבַיִת אַחֵר. מָה דְאָמֵר וֹשְׁמִיאֵל. בְּשָׁהְיָה וְשְׁמִר וְבִּי אָבוֹן לוֹי, אָמֵר רְבִּי אִמֵּי, וֹשְׁתְבִּי הְשִׁעְיָה לְרַבִּי הִוּשְׁעָיָה כְרְבִּי יְהוּשָׁעַ בֶּן לֵוִי. אָמֵר רְבִּי אִימִי. וֹאת אוֹמֶרֶת שָׁהֵן בַּן לֵוִי. אָמֵר רְבִּי אִימִּי. וֹאת אוֹמֶרֶת שָׁהֵן בַּלִי בִּית בְּחִיה.

1 מלוכל | ב מלאכול 2 ולמעלן | ב ולמעלה חייה | ב חייא 4 לוכל | ב לאכול מקדש | ב צריך לקדש 5 רב (הושעיה) | ב ר' יהושע R ר' הושעיה בלילי | ב לילי 6 אבון | ב אבין דאמר | ב דמר לוכל | ב לאכול 7 מה דאמר | ב ומה דמר לוכל | ב לאכול 8 חייה | ב חייא ר' הושעיה R | ב ר' יהושע אימי | ב אמי 9 שהן נחלקין | ב שנחלקין

83"How the *sukkah* seven? If he finished eating one may not undo his *sukkah* but one removes his vessels after afternoon prayers in honor of the last day of the festival." Rebbi Abba bar Cahana, Rav Ḥiyya bar Ashi in the name of Rav: A person must make his *sukkah* invalid as long as it is still day<sup>84</sup>. Rebbi Joshua ben Levi said, a person must make *Qiddush*<sup>85</sup> inside his house<sup>86</sup>. Rebbi Jacob bar Aha in the name of Samuel: If one made *Qiddush* in one house and changed his mind to eat in another house he must make *Qiddush*<sup>87</sup>. Rebbi Aḥa, Rebbi Ḥinena in the name of Rav (Hoshaiah)<sup>88</sup>: He who loves his *sukkah* makes *Qiddush* of the last holiday in his house and eats in his *sukkah*<sup>89</sup>. Rebbi Abun said, they do not disagree. What Rav said was, if he did not have the intention to eat in another house. But what Samuel said was if he had the intention to eat in another house. Rebbi Mana said, Samuel comes like Rebbi Hiyya and Rebbi Hoshaiah like Rebbi Joshua ben Levi. Rebbi Immi, this implies that they disagree explicitly<sup>91</sup>.

- 83 A copy of this paragraph is in *Berakhot* 6:6 (Notes 185-196,**2**).
- 84 In Israel, where holidays are kept only one day, if a person wants to use his *sukkah* on the last day of the festival he has to make sure not to violate the biblical injunction not to add to the biblical commandments (*Deut*. 4:2). If the *sukkah* becomes disqualified, it may be used later. It may not be made disqualified until after the afternoon snack eaten there on the seventh day.
- 85 The sanctification of Sabbath and holidays (cf. *Berakhot* Chapter 8; also the author's *The Scholar's Haggadah*, pp. 209-227.)
- 86 On the last day of the festival, irrespective of the place of the meal.
- 87 Samuel's formulation in the Babli *Pesahim* 101a is: there cannot be *Qiddush*

- except at the place of the holiday meal.
- 88 Scribe's text, wrongly deleted by the corrector and therefore missing in the printed edition. The author's note in *Berakhot* 6:6, Note 191, has to be corrected accordingly.
- 89 In direct contradiction to Samuel's statement.
- 90 Read: Rav.
- 91 Since Rav Hiyya bar Ashi requires that one disqualify the *sukkah* for the last day, he must hold that one makes *Qiddush* for the last day in the *sukkah* if one desires to use the *sukkah*, and this follows Samuel.
- 92 While all sources read the last word as בפירות one has to read בפירוש. The error must be an early one, from a region where the distinction between  $/th/\sqrt{\pi}$  and  $/sh/\sqrt{\nu}$  was not heard.

(fol. 54b) **משנח ז** נְסֵּוּךְ הַפַּיִם שָּבְעָה כֵּיצַד. צְלוֹחִית שֶׁל זָהָב מַחֲזֶּקֶת שְּלֹשֶׁת לוֹנִּין הָיָה מְמַלֵּא מִן הַשְּׁלוֹחַ. הִנִּיעוּ לְשַעַר הַפַּיִם הַּקְעוּ וְהַרִיעוּ וְתַקְעוּ. עֻלָּה בַּבֶּבֶש וּפָּנָה לִשְּׁמִאלוֹ וּשְׁנִי סְפָּלִים שֶׁל כָּסֶף הָיוּ שֶׁם. רְבִּי יְהוּדָה אוֹמֵר שֶׁל סִיד הָיוּ אֶלָּא שֶׁהָיו מוּשְּׁחָרִין מִפְּנֵי הַיֵּין. וּמְדָּבְי שֻׁיָהוּ כַּלִים בָּבַת אֲחַת. וּמְנִיּבְה אָחַת.

**Mishnah 7**: How was the water libation seven days? He<sup>93</sup> was filling a golden flask holding three  $log^{94}$  from the Siloam. When they<sup>95</sup> reached the Water Gate they blew the trumpet straight, treble, and straight. He ascended the ramp and turned to his left. There were two silver bowls there; Rebbi Jehudah said that they were of lime but were darkened because of the wine. They had holes like two nostrils, one wide and one narrow so that both should finish at the same time<sup>96</sup>.

- 93 The priest selected to pour the water on the altar.
- 94 About 1.6 l.

95 The procession accompanying the priest. The trumpets were blown by priests in the Temple domain. The Water gate was

the South-Eastern entrance to the Temple Mount.

96 The holes for the water libation were smaller than those for the wine libation since

the viscosity of the wine is larger than that of the water. It therefore is presumed that the viscosity of the wine was approximately constant through the years.

(54c line 60) **הלכה ו**: אָמֵר רְבִּי יוֹמֵי בֶּן חֲנִינָה. כְּדֵי לַעֲשׂוֹת פּוֹמְפֵּי לַדְּבָר. יוֹמֵי בֶּן חֲנִינָה בְשֵׁם מִנֵחֶם יוֹתַפַּייָה. דְּרְבִּי עֵקִיבָה הִיא. דְּרְבִּי עֵקִיבַה אָמֵר. נִיפּוּדְ הַמַּיִם דְּבַר תּוֹרָה.

**Halakhah 6**: Rebbi Yose ben Hanina stated, to make a procession<sup>97</sup> on the occasion. Yose ben Hanina in the name of Menahem from Yodfat: *It is Rebbi Aqiba's* Yose ben Hanina<sup>98</sup>, since Rebbi Aqiba said, the water libation is a word from the Torah<sup>9</sup>.

97 Latin *pompa*, Greek πομπή "solemn procession".

98 The Mishnah, which on a holiday permits trumpet blowing for something

occurring outside the Temple precinct which otherwise would be rabbinically forbidden. Also the amount of 3 *log* is derived from biblical libations; *Zevahim* 110b.

(62) תַּמָן תַּנִּינָן. רְבִּי לֶעְזֶר אוֹמֵר. אַף הַמְנַפֵּךְ מֵים בָּחָג בַּחוּץ חַייָב. אָמַר רְבִּי יוֹחָנָן. כָּל־שׁישַת רְבִּי לֶעְזֶר פְּשׁישַת רְבִּי לֶעְזֶר. הְיִּהְנָי עֲקִיבָה אָמִר תְּנָיִי תַנֵּי רְשַׁים רְבִּי לֶעְזֶר. אָמִר תַּנָיִי תַנֵּי רְשַׁם רְבִּי לֶעְזֶר. אָמִר תַּנָיִי תַנֵּי רְשָׁם הַחָג. אָמִר תַּנָיִי רְעָיָר. אֵמוֹ צָרִיךְּ שֶׁיְהֵא מִילּוּייָן לְשֵׁם הַחָג. מָמוֹ דְּאָבֶר. אֵינוֹ צָרִיךְּ שֶׁיְהָא מִילּוּייָן לְשֵׁם הַחָג. רְבִּי לְעָזֶר פְּרְבִּי עֲקִיבָה. וּמָאן דְּאָמֵר. אֵינוֹ צְרִיךְּ שֶׁיְהָא מִילוּייָן לְשֵׁם הַחָג. רְבִּי לְעָזֶר פְּרְבִי עֲקִיבָה. וּמָאן דְאָמֵר. אֵינוֹ צְרִיךְּ שִׁיְּהָת מִילּוּייִן לְשֵׁם הַחָג. רְבִּי לְעָזֶר פְּרְבִּי עֲקִיבָה. וּמָאן דְאָמֵר. בִּי לֵעְזֶר פְּרָבָּי לְעִזֶר רְבִּי יוֹחָנָן. כָּל־שִׁישִׁת רְבִּי לֵעְזֶר פְּרָבָּי עָקִיבָה דְבִּר תּוֹרָה. בְּן רְבִּי לְעָזֶר בְּיִבִּי לְעָזֶר בְּיִשְׁת לְּנִין לְשֵׁם הַחָג. רְבִּי לְעָזֶר אוֹמֵר. נִיפּוּדְ הַמִּיִם דְּבַר תּוֹרָה. בְּר תּוֹרָה. מָה נְפַק מִבְּינֵהוֹן. אָמֵר רְבִּי זְעוּרָא. וְהוּא שָׁנִייִם דְּלָשְׁת לוּגִין בַּפִּים מְבִּינִהוֹן. אָשְׁת לוּגִין בַּחִיץ. אִמִר בְּנָיִי תַנֵיי תַנֵּי תַנֵּי תַנָּי תַנָּי תַבָּי מַבְינִהוֹן. אָשְׁלשֶׁת לוּגִין בַּחוּץ. אִמִר תְּנָיִי תַנֵּי תַנֵּי תַנֵּי תַנֵּי תַנָּי תַנָּי תַנֵּי תַנֵּי תַנֵּי תַּנָי תַבָּי בַּאן שִׁיעוּר. פָּטוּר. וַשְׁלשֶׁת לוּגִין בַּחוּץ. אִשְׁר בְּמִן בְּשִׁן הַאִּין לְחָן שִׁיעוּר. מִּיל בְּחָן שִּיעוּר. פְּטוֹר. וֹשִׁן לְּחָן שִׁיעוּר. בְּעִיל בְּיִבְי בְּתִיבְים בְּיִם בְּיִם בְּתִים בְּיִבְים בְּעִים בְּיבּים בְּעִיבְים בְּבִּים בְּיִים בְּעִים בְּבִים בְּיִבּים בְּעִים בְּבִים בְּיִבְים בְּיִים בְּיִים בְּיִים בְּיִים בְּיִם בְּיִבּים בְּיִים בְּיִבְים בְּיִים בְּיִבּים בְּיבִים בְּיִּעְים בְּבִּים בְּיבִּים בְּיִים בְּיִים בְּיבּים בְּיִים בְּיבּים בְּיִים בְּיבִים בְּיבִים בְּיבּים בְּיבּים בְּיבּים בְּיִים בְּיבּים בְּיבּים בְּיבִים בְּבִים בְּיבּים בְּיִים בְּיבִים בְּיבּים בְּיבּים בְּיִים בְּבִים בְּיבְים בְּיבִים בְּיבְים ב

There, we have stated<sup>99</sup>: "Rebbi Eleazar<sup>100</sup> says, also one who pours a libation of water on Tabernacles outside of the Temple is liable<sup>101</sup>." Rebbi Johanan said<sup>102</sup>, the entire system of Rebbi Eleazar is the system of his teacher Rebbi Aqiba. Just as Rebbi Aqiba said, the water libation is a word from the Torah, so Rebbi Eleazar is saying, the water libation is a word from the Torah. There are Tannaim who state in the name of Rebbi Eleazar, it is necessary that the filling be for the holiday; there are Tannaim who state in the name of Rebbi Eleazar, it is not necessary that the filling be for the

holiday<sup>103</sup>. He who said, it is necessary that the filling be for the holiday, Rebbi Eleazar following Rebbi Aqiba; he who said, it is not necessary that the filling be for the holiday, Rebbi Eleazar following the rabbis. This you cannot say since Rebbi Johanan said, the entire system of Rebbi Eleazar is the system of his teacher Rebbi Aqiba. Just as Rebbi Aqiba said, the water libation is a word from the Torah, so Rebbi Eleazar is saying, the water libation is a word from the Torah. What is the difference between them? Rebbi Ze`ira said, only if he poured three *log* inside and three *log* outside<sup>104</sup>. There are Tannaim who state, there is prescribed measure; there are Tannaim who state, there is no prescribed measure. He who said that there is prescribed measure, not liable<sup>105</sup>; he who said there is no prescribed measure, liable<sup>106</sup>.

99 Mishnah Zevahim 13:6.

100 The Tanna, ben Shamua.

101 As a matter of principle, any sacrifice outside the Temple conducted in the way it is biblically prescribed for the Temple is a deadly sin and if performed inadvertently makes the person liable for a purification sacrifice. To state that making a water libation outside the Temple creates such a liability for the performer is stating that the libation in the Temple is biblically prescribed.

102 Babli Zevahim 110b.

103 Since anything sacrificed on the altar needs a dedication, and using Temple vessels for not dedicated contents is sinful, if the water libation is a biblical requirement it needs a Temple vessel and dedication of the water at the moment of filling for the holiday. If the water libation is tradition, it does not have to be drawn for its purpose from the start.

104 This statement is elliptic. It can be read parallel to a statement of R. Simeon ben Laqish in the Babli *Zevahim* 110b as stating that since in the Temple the libation is only valid if it is of exactly three *log* (a quarter of a *hin*, the smallest admissible libation of wine, *Num.* 15:4), also outside it creates liability only if it is exactly 3 *log*.

105 If the measure is not exactly 3 log, since inside the Temple this is a biblical obligation.

106 In any amount, since inside the ceremony is tradition, not Scripture.

(54c line 75) יוֹסֵי בַּר אַשְׁייָן בְּשֵׁם רְבִּי שִׁמְעוֹן בֶּן לָקִישׁ. צְרִיכִין הַסְּפָלִים לִהְיוֹת פְּקוּקִין בִּשְׁעַת הַנִּיפוּךָ. מַה טַעַם בַּלִּדֶשׁ הַפַּדְ נֶסֶךְ עֵייִ. Yose Bar Ashian in the name of Rebbi Simeon ben Laqish: The bowls have to be plugged at the moment of pouring. What is the reason? *In the sanctuary pouring a libation of shekhar to the Eternal*<sup>107</sup>.

107 Babli 49b. For the cryptic quote of *Num*. 28:7 it is explained first in *Sifry Num*. 143 that the repetition הסך נסך includes not only libations of wine but also those of water; it is added in the next paragraph that שכר therefore cannot be interpreted only by the Hebrew connotation of "intoxicating

drink" but also (in parallel with the Arabic שכר) "to be abundantly full (of milk), thanks, gratitude". [Babli Sotah 49b, Tanḥuma Pinḥas 12, Pesiqta Rabbati 17 (ed. Ish-Shalom 80 a/b), Pesiqta dRav Cahana et qorbani lahmi (ed. Buber Note 20).]

(1 side line l) הלכה זי תַּנֵּי. רְבִּי יוֹסֵי אוֹמֵר. הַשִּׁית הָיָה נְקוֹב עַד הַתְּהוֹם. מַה טַעם. וַיְעַזְּקֵהוּ וַיְסְקְּלֵהוּ וַיִּשְׁעֵהוּ שוֹרֵק וַיָּבֶן מִגְדְּל בְּתוֹכֹוֹ. זֶה הַהִיכְל. וְיֶקֶב חָצֵב בְּוֹ. זֶה הַמִּיְבַּחַ. וְנִם־יֶקָב. זֶה הַשִּׁית. רְבִי שִׁמְיוֹן אוֹמֵר. מֵעֲשֶׂה שְׁמִים הָיוּ. לְפִי שֶׁהִיוּ מַעֲשֶׂה שְׁמִים יָכוֹל לֹא הִיוּ נָאִים בְּשִׁעשׁה יְדֵי אוֹמֶן. תַּלְמוּד לוֹמֵר חַמּוּקֵי יְרִיכִיִּדְ בְּמֵוֹ חֲלָאִים מֵעֲשֵׂה יְדֵי אוֹמֶן. נָּמִי אָמִי הָיוּ יוֹתֵר מְמִּעֲשֵׂה יְדֵי אוֹמֶן. תַּנִּי אָמִר רְבִּי לֵעְזָר בִּירְבִּי צְדוֹק. לוּל קטְן הָיָה בִין הָאוּלָם וְלַמִּוֹבח. אָחַת לְשִׁבְּעִים שְׁנָה הִיוּ בִּיְרְחֵי בְּתוּנָה יוֹרְדִין וּמַעֲלִין אוֹתוֹ בִקְדוּשְׁה שֶׁהָיָה קְרוּשׁ בְּעִיגּוֹלֵי דְּבִילָה וּבְאִין לְשוֹרוֹ בִקְדוּשְׁה שְׁהָיָה בְּרוּשׁה שָׁהָיָה בְּיִם שְׁהָבּי הְבִּאוֹן שִׁיבְעָה לְשוֹן שִׁיבְעָה לְשוֹן שִיבְעה לְשוֹן שִׁיבְרוּת. רְבִּי יוִדָּה בָּר לַקָּרָה בְשׁם רְבִי שְׁהִיתָּה מְתַבְּפְתוֹ בִּקְדוּשָׁה. אָמֵר רְבִּי לְשוֹן חִיבָּה לְשוֹן חִיבָּה לְשוֹן שִׁיבְעה לְשוֹן שִׁיבְרוּת. רְבִּי יוִדָּה בָּר לַקָּרָה בְשׁם רְבִי שְׁמִּתְּב בִּית הַמִּקְדְּשׁ בְּּסִק יֵיוְ קְרוּשׁ וּבְסְקָה וְכוּכִית לְבָנָה. מָהוּ וְכִבּית לְבָּנָה. שָׁהַחָרָה מְתִקּפָּלָת.

Halakhah 7: It was stated <sup>108</sup>: "Rebbi Yose says, the basis was perforated down to the abyss. What is the reason? <sup>109</sup>He turned it up, removed its stones, planted precious vine, and built a tower in its midst, this is the Temple, and a wine-press he excavated in it, this is the altar. And also an altar, that is the basis." Rebbi Simeon says, it was work of Heaven. Since it was work of Heaven, maybe it was not beautiful like artist's work? The verse says, the roundnesses of your hips are like hoops, formed by artist's hands <sup>110</sup>; they were more beautiful than those formed by artist's hands. It was stated <sup>108</sup>: "Rebbi Eleazar ben Rebbi Ṣadoq said, a small opening was between the Temple Hall and the altar. Once in seventy years young priests climb down and bring it <sup>111</sup> up in sanctity since it was congealed like fig cakes; they come and burn it in sanctity. Just as its pouring was in sanctity, so its burning was in sanctity." Rebbi said, the Torah let you grasp an expression of love, an expression of

fullness, and an expression of inebriation<sup>112</sup>. Rebbi Judah bar Laqqara in the name of Rebbi Samuel bar Naḥman: Since the Temple was destroyed, congealing wine disappeared, and white glass disappeared. What is white glass? It was flexible.

108 Tosephta 3:15; Babli 49a. 109 *Is*. 5:2. 110 *Cant*. 7:2. 111 The congealed remainders of the wine poured on the altar as libations.

112 Cf. Note 107, explanation of שכר.

(fol. 54b) **משנת ח:** מַעֶרָבִי שֶׁל מַיִם וּמְזְרָחִי שֶׁל יֵיוּן. עֵירָה שֶׁל מַיִם בְּתוֹךְ שֶׁל יֵין אוֹ שֶׁל יֵין לְתוֹךְ שֶׁל מַיִם יָצָא. רְבִּי יְהוּדָה אוֹמֵר בַּלוֹג הָיָה מְנַפֵּךְ כָּל־שָׁמוֹנָה. וְלַמְנַפֵּךְ אוֹמְרִים לוֹ הַגְּבֵּהַ אָת יֵדְךְ שֵׁפַּעָם אָחַת נִיפֶּךְ עַל רַגְלֵיו וּרְנָמוּהוּ כָל־הָעָם בָּאָתִרוֹנֵיהַם:

**Mishnah 8**: The Western one <sup>113</sup> for the water, and the Eastern one for the wine. If he poured the water into that for the wine or wine into that for the water, he accomplished his duty. Rebbi Jehudah says, one *log* he was pouring all eight days <sup>114</sup>. One says to the one pouring, lift your hand, since once he <sup>115</sup> poured on his feet and all the people stoned him with their *etrogim*.

113 The bowl for the libations.
114 One *log*, not three; for eight days, not seven.

115 A Sadducee objecting to the pouring of water not justified in the Pentateuch.

(34d line 14) הלכה ח: הַוֹּוֹן בְּעִינָן מֵימַר. הַמְעוּבָּה שְׁלְמֵיִם וְהַמִּידַק שְׁלְמֵּן. דְּאָמַר רְבִּי יוֹנָה בְּשׁ בְּיִבּי אִימִּי. נֶקֶב שְׁאֵינוֹ מוֹצִיא מֵיִם מוֹצִיא זֵין. וְשָׁאֵינוֹ מוֹצִיא זַיִן מוֹצִיא שְׁמֶן. וְשָׁאֵינוֹ מוֹצִיא שְׁמֶן. וְשָׁאֵינוֹ מוֹצִיא שְׁמֶן מוֹצִיא דְבַשׁ. וַאֲפָלוּ תֵּימַר חִילּוּפִין. דְּרְבִּי יְהוּדָה הִיא. דְּרְבִּי יְהוּדָה אָמֵר. מְלֹוֹג מְנְפֵּךְ בְּי שְׁמִוֹנָה. רְבִּי שִׁמְעוֹן בֶּן לְקִישׁ בְּעָא קּוֹמֵי רְבִּי יוֹחָנָן. הִקְדִּימָן לַצָּבַח מָה הֵן. נִיסְּכָן בַּלִילָה מָה הֵן. לֹא נִיפַדְ הַיּוֹם מָהוּ שִׁיְנִפֵדְ לְמָחֶר. אָמֵר לֵיה. נִישְׁמְעִינָה מִן הָדָא דְאָמָר רְבִּי אִילָא בְשׁם רְבִּי יַסִי. וּנְסֶכֵיהָ. אֶחֶד נִיסְכֵי מִיִם וְאֶחָד נִסְכֵי זִיֵן. הָדָא אֲמְרָה. הִקְדִּימָן לַצָּבַח כָּשֹׁר. לֹא נִיפַדְ הַיִּים לֹא יִנַפַּדְ לְמָחֶר. עַל שַׁם. עָבַר יוֹם עָבַר קַרְבָּנוֹ. כָּשַׁר. לֹא יִיפַדְ הַיּוֹם לֹא יִנַפַּדְ לְמָחֶר. עַל שַׁם. עַבַּר יוֹם עָבַר קַרְבָּנוֹ.

**Halakhah 8**: They wanted to say that the large {hole}<sup>116</sup> was for water, the small one for wine, for Rebbi Jonah said in the name of Rebbi Immi: A hole which does not let pass water passes wine, what does not let pass wine passes oil, does not let pass oil passes honey. And even if you say it is the

other way<sup>117</sup> around, is that not Rebbi Jehudah's? And Rebbi Jehudah said, a *log* he was pouring all eight days<sup>118</sup>. Rebbi Simeon ben Laqish asked before Rebbi Joḥanan: If he preceded them before the sacrifice, what<sup>119</sup>? If he poured in the night, what? If he did not pour today may he pour the next day? He said to him, let us hear from the following which Rebbi Ila said in the name of Rebbi Yasa: *And its libations*<sup>120</sup>, the same for libations of water as for libations of wine<sup>122</sup>. This implies that if he preceded them before the sacrifice, it is qualified. If he poured in the night, it is qualified. If he did not pour today he may not pour the next day, because if the day passed, its sacrifice passed.

116 In the bowl mentioned in Mishnah 7. 117 If one confirms by experiment that the more viscous fluid requires a larger hole, Babli 48b.

118 The entire part of Mishnah after R. Jehudah's statement also is R. Jehudah's. Since he states that the volume of water is one third of the volume of wine, it is obvious that the hole of the water bowl must be much smaller than that of the wine bowl if both bowls should simultaneously empty. For the Babli 48b his bowl must be smaller.

119 If pouring the water is an independent sacral act it cannot be performed before the morning daily sacrifice nor after the evening daily sacrifice. If it is an appendix to the sacrifices like the libations of wine it can be performed any time, including the night following the day. Babli *Ta`anit* 2b.

120 *Num.* 29:31, the text for the sixth day of Tabernacles.

121 Pouring the water follows the rules of pouring wine; it has the status of an accessory action.

. רַבָּנֶן מַרְבִּים בַּמַּיִם וּמְרְבֶּה בַּיָּמִים. רְבִּי יְהוּדָה מְמָעֵט בַּמַיִם וּמַרְבֶּה בַּיָּמִים. (54d line ) The rabbis increase the water and decrease the days; Rebbi Jehudah decreases the water and increases the days $^{114}$ .

אָית דְּבָעֵי מֵימֵר. הָיא דְפָרָה הָיא דְסוּכָּה הָיא דְכִיפּוּרִים. רְבִּי סִימוֹן לֹא אָמֵר בֵּן. אֶלֶּא אוֹ
דְפָרָה וּדְסוּכָּה חַד וּדְכִיפּוּרִים חַד. אוֹ דְפָרָה וּדְכִיפּוּרִים חַד וּדְסוּכָּה חַד. מָאן דְּאָמֵר. לֹא בָאוּ
יָמִים קַלִּים עַד שְׁמֵת. תַּלְתִּיהוֹן עֲבַד. מָאן דְּאָמֵר. יָצָא חוּטְמוֹ מְזַנֵּק תּוֹלְעִים וּכְמִין פַּרְסַת עֶגֶל
בְּתוֹדְ מִצְחוֹ. בְּמָאן דְּאָמֵר. אוֹ דְפָרָה וּדְסוּכָּה חַד וּדְכִיפּוּרִים חַד. אוֹ דְפָרָה וּדְכִיפּוּרִים חַד וּקִיפּוּרִים חַד. אוֹ דְפָרָה וּדְכִיפּוּרִים חַד וּיְסוּכָּה חַד. צַוֹוְחָה עֲלֵיהָוֹ הָעֲזָרָה. צְאוּ מִיכֶּן צְאוּ מִיכֶּן בְּמִי עַלִי. טִימְאַתֶּם בִּית אֶלֹהֵינוּ. בּוֹ
בַּיוֹם נִפְגְּמָה קֶּרֶן הַמִּזְבָּחַ וְעֵרָנוּ עָלָיו גּוֹשׁ שֶׁלְמֶלֶח שֶׁלֹא יְהֵא נְרְאֶה כְּבָּגוּם. שְׁכָּל־מִזְבַּחַ שְׁאֵין לוֹ בַּיוֹ וְמִנְנֵה בְּנִים וְמַרְנוֹ בְּמִיּוֹבְ בְּנִי מִלְים הוּא.

בָּעוּן קוֹמֵי רִבִּי אַבָּהוּ. וְהָא כְתִיב. וְכָל-אָדָּם לֹא־יְהְיֶה | בְּאַׂהֶל מוֹעֵד בְּבוֹאוֹ לְכַפֵּר בַּקוֹדֶשׁ עד־צֵאתְוֹ. אֲפִילוּ אוֹתָן שֶׁכָּתוּב בָּהֶן וּדְמַוּת פְּנֵיהֶםׁ פְנֵי אָדָם לֹא יָהְיוּ בְּאֹהֶל מוֹעֵד בְּבוֹאוֹ לְכַפֵּר בַּקוֹדֵשׁ. אָמֵר לוֹן. בִּשְּׁעָה שָׁהוּא נְכָנַס כְּדַרִכּוֹ.

<sup>122</sup>Some want to say, he was the same for the Cow<sup>123</sup>, on Tabernacles, and on the Day of Atonement<sup>124</sup>. Rebbi Simon does not say so, but either the Cow and Tabernacles were one and Atonement one, or Cow and Atonement were one and Tabernacles one. He who said, after a short time he died, he did all three. He who said, his nose gushed out worms and something like a calf's hoof grew in his brain, follows him who said, either Cow and Tabernacles were one and Atonement one, or Cow and Atonement were one and Tabernacles one. The Courtyard cried about them, get out of here, sons of Eli, you defiled our God's Temple. "On that day, the corner of the altar was damaged and they put a block of salt there lest it should look deficient since any altar without corner, or walkway, or base is damaged.<sup>125</sup>"

They asked before Rebbi Abbahu: Is it not written<sup>126</sup>, nobody shall be in the Tent of Meeting during his coming to atone in the sanctuary until he leaves, not even those about whom it is written<sup>127</sup>, the shapes of their faces are human shapes shall not be in the Tent of Meeting? He answered them, if he enters following normal rules.

122 This paragraph is from *Yoma*, Halakhah 1:5, Notes 213-222.

123 Where the Sadducees insisted that the Cohen who burns the Red Cow must be pure from the preceding sundown. However, it is difficult to square what is reported in Tosephta *Parah* 3:8 with what is presumed here: "It happened that a Sadducee was pure from the preceding sundown when he came to burn the Cow, but Rabban Joḥanan ben Zakkai realized it; he came and leaned both of his hands on him and told him, Sir High Priest, how wonderful you are as High Priest, go and immerse yourself. He went down and immersed himself. After he came back he grated his earlobe and said to him,

Ben Zakkai, when I shall be free to deal with you; he answered him, if you will be free. It was not three days before they put him in the grave."

Biblical impurity is only original impurity and derivative impurity in the first degree. Rabbinic impurity has derivative impurity in the second degree for unwashed hands, third degree impurity for heave, and fourth degree for sacrifices. Therefore, the intrinsically pure Ben Zakkai (who before the destruction of the Temple was not Rabban), by putting his second degree impure hands on the priest defiled the latter for *sacra* and forced him to immerse himself in a *miqweh*. Our sources seem to describe

the Sadducee position correctly; cf. Dead Sea Scrolls fragment 4Q394, lines 16-18.

124 Reported in Yoma 1:5, Note 211. He followed Sadducee doctrine in bringing the incense into the Holiest of Holies, and died soon after.

125 Tosephta Sukkah 3:16; Babli 48b.

126 Lev. 16:17.

127 *Ez.* 1:10. If the offending priest was hit on his head by a calf's foot it must have been by one of the four-headed angels seen by Ezechiel whose feet are calves' feet (*Ez.* 1:7).

(fol. 54b) **משנח מ:** כְּמַגְשֵׁחוּ בַחוֹל כָּךְ מַגְשֵׁחוּ בַשַּבָּת אֶלָּא שֶׁחָיָה מְמַלֵּא מֵעֶרֶב שַּבָּת חָבִית שֶׁל זָהָב שֶׁאֵינָה מְקוּדֶּשֶׁת מִן הַשִּׁילוֹהַ וּמַנִּיחָה בַּלְשְׁכָּה. נִשְׁפְּכָה אוֹ נִתְנַּלְתָה הָיָה מְמַלֵּא מִן הכּיּוֹר שׁהפִים והיין המגוּלִים פּסוּלין מעל גִּבִּי המזבּה:

**Mishnah 9**: As it was done on a weekday it was done on the Sabbath, except that on Friday he filled a non-sanctified barrel<sup>128</sup> from the Siloam and deposited it in the lodge. If it was spilled or became uncovered<sup>129</sup> he filled from the basin since water or wine which remained uncovered are disqualified from being put on the altar.

128 The sacrificial day starts in the morning, and all remainders from the previous day become disqualified at that time. The Sabbath starts on the preceding evening; the water must be drawn on Friday. If pouring the water libation were an independent sacrificial action, the water in a Temple vessel would become disqualified at the end of the night. Since it was established (Note 121) that the water libation is an accessory action, the water

would not become disqualified even in a Temple vessel; the profane vessel is used to give the water ceremony a boost in status. 129 Wine or water left uncovered and unsupervised becomes forbidden for health reasons lest a snake living in the house drink from it and on this occasion leave some poison in the fluid. The rules about this are given in *Terumot* 8:5-7. If it becomes unusable for humans it is unbecoming to use it for Heaven.

(54d line 39) **הלכה ט**: לְּמָה לִי שְׁאֵינָה מְּקוּדֶּשֶׁת. וַאֲפִילוּ מְקוּדֶּשֶׁת. לֹא כֵן אָמַר רַבִּי אָחָא רַבִּי חִינְגָא בְּשֶׁם רְבִּי יָסָא. וְקְדַּשְׁתָּ 'אֶת־הַמִּוְבַּׁחַ וְהָיָה הַמִּוְבַּחַ קֹדֶשׁ קֶדְשִׁים: מַה הַמִּוְבַּחַ אִינוֹ מְקַדֵּשׁ אָלָא בְדַעַת אַף הַכֵּלִים לֹא יְקַדְּשׁוּ אֶלֶא בְדַעַת. חִוְקְנָה אָמֵר. שְׁלֹא יְהוּ אוֹמְרִין. רָאִינוּ מִיִם שְׁנִּתְּמַלְאוּ לְקִידּוּשׁ יָדִיִם וְרַגְלֵים נִפְּסָלִין בְּלִינָה. דְבֵית רְבִּי יַנַּאִי אֲמְרִין. שְׁלֹא יְהוּ אוֹמְרִין. רָאִינוּ מֵיִם מֵי הָהָג נִפְסְלִין בְּלִינָה. רְבִּי יוֹחָנָן אָמֵר. מִפְּנֵי מֵרְאִית הָעַיִן. וְלָא יֵדְעִין אִין כְּהָדָא דְחִזְּקְיָה אִין כְּהָדָא דְּרִבְּי הָכֹּל. רְבִּי פְּדֶת בְּשִׁם רְבִּי הוֹשׁעְיָה. מֵי סוֹטָה נִפְּסָלִין בְּלִינָּה. רְבִּי אָבוּנָה. כְּלִי הָלֹינָה פוֹסְלֶת בּוֹ. כָּי שָׁבִינִ מְרָאיִנוֹ מִמְינוֹ מְמִינוֹ לִמִּיְבָּח אֵין הַלְּחנָה פוֹסְלֶת בּוֹ.

Halakhah 9: Why non-sanctified? Even if it is sanctified! Did not Rebbi Aha, Rebbi Hinena say in the name of Rebbi Yasa, *you shall sanctify the altar; then the altar will be most holy*<sup>130</sup>. Since the altar only sanctifies with intention, so vessels should only sanctify with intention<sup>131</sup>. Hizqiah said, that they should not say, we saw that water filled for the sanctification of hands and feet become disqualified by staying overnight<sup>132</sup>. In the House of Rebbi Yannai they said, that they should not say, we saw that water filled for Tabernacles become disqualified by staying overnight<sup>133</sup>. Rebbi Johanan said, because of the evil impression; but we do not know whether following Hizqiah or according to everybody's opinion following Rebbi Yannai. Rebbi Pedat in the name of Rebbi Hoshaia: The water for a deviant wife becomes disqualified by staying overnight<sup>134</sup>. Rebbi Abuna: anything of whose kind nothing possibly is for the altar cannot become disqualified by staying overnight<sup>135</sup>.

130 Ex. 40:10.

131 The verse starts: anoint the altar and all its vessels, which implies that the power of sanctification is the same for the altar and its vessels. Since it is forbidden to bring profane (not dedicated) matter to the altar, profane matter remains profane also on the altar. This proves that the altar and therefore the sanctified vessels only sanctify with dedication.

132 Since sanctification of hands and feet must be with water from a Temple vessel, the water becomes disqualified at daybreak. The problem is that people will say that they saw the water becoming disqualified and

nevertheless used for libation, they will wrongly conclude that disqualified water may be used on the altar.

133 Again, and be used nevertheless.

134 Sotah 2:2, Note 114. This is a matter of dispute. There is an opinion that the water has to be taken from the water basin in the Temple. Any water taken from there and sanctified in a Temple vessel belongs to the service of that day and becomes disqualified by the next dawn. But according to the opinion that the water may come from outside sources, this need not be the case.

135 Disputing R. Pedat in principle.

(54d line 48) הַוּוֹן בָּעֵיי מֵימֵר. עָבַר וְהַבִּיא כָשֶׁר. תַּנָה רְבִּי יוֹשׁוּע דְּרוֹמֵייָא קוֹמֵי רְבִּי יוֹנָה. שֶׁהַמַּיִם וְהַיַּיִן הַמְגוּלִין פְּסוּלִין מֵעַל נָּבִי הַמִּזְבֵּחַ. מַה טַעַם. וְשֶׂה־אַחַੰת מִן־הַצָּאן מִן־הַמָּאתַּיִּי מִמִּשְׁקָה יִשְׂרָאֵל. מִדָּבָר שֶׁהוּא מוּתָּר לְיִשְׂרָאֵל. עַד כְדוֹן מֵיִם. יַיִּן. אָמֵר רְבִּי שׁוֹבְתַיי. הַמְשְּׁמֵּחַ אֵלֹהִים וָאַנִשִׁים. They wanted to say, if he transgressed<sup>136</sup> and brought it is qualified. Rebbi Joshua the Southerner stated before Rebbi Jonah that uncovered water and wine are disqualified<sup>137</sup> from the altar. What is the reason? *And one sheep from 200, from the drink of Israel*<sup>138</sup>, from something permitted to Israel<sup>139</sup>. So far water, wine? Rebbi Sabbatai said, *which gladdens God and men*<sup>140</sup>.

136 And brought uncovered wine or water to the altar.

137 In any case.

138 Ez. 45:15.

139 While a prophet cannot prescribe, he can present authoritative practice. Since uncovered water is forbidden to Israel, it is

absolutely disqualified for the altar.

140 *Jud.* 9:13, referring to wine. Since drinking uncovered wine, even if filtered to remove the poison, leaves people with fear and does not gladden; it is disqualified for all religious observances.