

Contents

List of Abbreviations — XVII

Chapter 1. Introduction — 1

- § 1. The Illicit Trade in Cultural Objects – A Scope of the Problem — 1
 - I. General Remarks — 1
 - II. Cross-Border Recovery of Misappropriated Cultural Property — 7
 - 1. The Principle of Justiciability — 8
 - 2. Misappropriated Cultural Objects in Litigation — 11
 - a. Attorney General of New Zealand v. Ortiz — 11
 - b. Government of Iran v. Barakat Galleries Ltd. — 13
- § 2. Objectives of the Book and Research Task — 15
- § 3. Terminology and Delimitation — 17
 - I. Movable Cultural Property — 17
 - II. Antiquities — 19
 - III. Archaeological and Historical Context — 20
 - IV. Source Nations and Market Nations — 21
- § 4. The Structure of the Book — 22

Part I: Rights to Cultural Objects

Chapter 2. Sovereign Rights to Movable Cultural Objects — 27

- § 1. Introduction — 27
- § 2. Mechanisms of Acquiring Rights to Cultural Objects — 28
 - I. Property Rights on the Ground of Ownership Declarations — 28
 - 1. Umbrella Statutes — 28
 - 2. Conditional Ownership Declarations — 32
 - 3. Mixed Law of Finders and State Ownership Approach — 34
 - a. The UK Treasure Act 1996 – A Market Nation’s Protection Scheme — 35
 - b. Germany: The “Schatzregal” — 39
 - 4. Dual Regime between Finders Law and Cultural Property Law — 40
 - 5. Reporting Duties and Rewards — 43
 - 6. The Quality of the Proprietary Title — 46
 - a. The Elmali Hoard-Case — 46
 - b. Turkish Republic v The City of Basel — 48
 - c. Government of Peru v Johnson — 49

- d. United States v McClain — 51
- II. Property Rights on the Grounds of an Immediate Right of Possession — 53
- III. Property Rights on the Ground of Export Legislation — 56
 - 1. The Ambit of Export Legislation — 56
 - a. No Export Controls — 56
 - b. Export Embargos — 58
 - c. Classified National Heritage — 58
 - 2. Confiscatory Laws — 61
 - a. Confiscatory Ownership *ex lege* — 61
 - (1) Automatic Forfeiture — 61
 - (2) Moment of Forfeiture — 63
 - b. Confiscatory Ownership based upon an Administrative Act — 65
 - 3. Export Laws Granting a Right of First Refusal — 65
 - a. Compulsory Purchase Offer — 66
 - (1) The Waverley System — 66
 - (2) The Dutch System — 72
 - b. Optional Purchase Offer — 74
 - 4. Export Laws not granting Property Rights — 75
 - 5. Export Restriction and EU Law — 78
- IV. Property Interests on the Ground of Pre-Emption Rights — 81
 - 1. Pre-Emption Rights as a Mechanism to Acquire Fortuitous Finds — 82
 - 2. Pre-Emption Rights as Means of Acquiring Property from Private Collectors — 83
 - a. Option to Purchase — 83
 - b. Right of First Refusal — 86
 - c. Right of First Negotiation — 88
 - 3. The Proprietary Nature of Options and Pre-Emption Rights — 90
 - 4. Pre-Emption Rights and the ECHR — 93
 - 5. Pre-Emption or Expropriation — 96
- § 3. Mechanisms to Retain Ownership of Cultural Objects in the Public Domain — 98
 - I. Objects Res Extra Commercium — 99
 - II. Movable Cultural Objects dedicated for Public Use — 103
 - 1. The “öffentliche Dienstbarkeit” — 103
 - 2. The “dominio eminente” — 107
 - III. Restrictions on Disposal — 108
 - 1. De-accession Provisions — 108
 - a. Arising from Trust — 108

- b. Arising from Museum Governing Statutes — 109
- 2. Exceptions to the Rule — 111
 - a. Through Act of Parliament — 111
 - b. Through Voluntary Surrender of Title — 113
- § 4. Conclusion for Chapter 2 — 114

Chapter 3. Title to Cultural Objects under the Private Law of Finds — 117

- § 1. Introduction — 117
- § 2. The Common Law of Finders — 118
 - I. Superincumbent Finds — 119
 - 1. Priority of Possession — 119
 - 2. The Manifest Intention-Test — 122
 - II. Subsoil Finds — 125
 - III. The Trespassing Finder — 127
 - IV. The Employee versus his Master — 130
 - V. Finder Obligations — 131
 - VI. Concluding Remarks to the Common Law of Finders — 133
- § 3. The Civilian Law of Finders — 134
 - I. The Acquisition of Title in General — 135
 - II. Master versus Servant — 136
 - III. Finder versus Occupier — 139
 - IV. Fortuitously Discovered Treasure — 140
 - 1. The Allocation of Title based on Equitable Division — 141
 - 2. The Conflict between Civil and Public law — 143
 - 3. Treasure Acquired through Trespass — 145
 - V. Concluding Remarks to the Civilian Law of Finds — 145
- § 4. Excursion: Finders Law as a Means of Protecting Antiquities — 146
 - I. The Need for an Incentive to Return Archaeological Finds into Circulation — 147
 - II. The Protection of Archaeological Data through the Portable Antiquities Scheme — 151
- § 5. Conclusion to Chapter 3 — 154

Part II: Turning Rights into Claims

Chapter 4. International Law with Regard to the Recovery of Cultural Objects — 160

- § 1. Introduction — 160

- § 2. European Union Initiatives — **160**
 - I. Council Regulation (EC) No 116/2009 — **162**
 - II. Council Directive 93/7/EEC — **165**
 - III. Iraq Council Regulation (EC) No 1210/2003 — **169**
 - IV. Syria Council Regulation (EU) No 1332/2013 — **174**
- § 3. Uniform Law International Instruments — **178**
 - I. Treaty of Washington 1935 — **178**
 - II. The Hague Convention 1954 — **180**
 - 1. First Protocol of the 1954 Hague Convention — **182**
 - 2. Second Protocol of the 1954 Hague Convention — **183**
 - IV. The 1970 UNESCO Convention — **185**
 - 1. The Principles of the Convention — **185**
 - 2. Implementing the Convention into National Law — **189**
 - a. Bilateral Approach — **190**
 - (1) United States of America — **191**
 - (2) Switzerland — **193**
 - b. Multilateral Approach — **196**
 - (1) Germany — **197**
 - (2) Netherlands — **203**
 - c. UK Implementation — **207**
 - V. The 1995 UNIDROIT Convention — **211**
 - 1. Principles of the Convention — **211**
 - 2. Weaknesses Preventing Implementation — **216**
 - VI. Commonwealth Scheme for the Protection of the Material Cultural Heritage 1993 — **219**
 - VII. The 2011 UNESCO and UNIDROIT Model Provisions on State Ownership of Undiscovered Cultural Objects — **221**
- § 4. Conclusion — **225**

Chapter 5. Choice of Law Methodology in International Cultural Property Cases — 228

- § 1. Introduction — **228**
- § 2. Acquisition of Title to Stolen Property — **230**
 - I. Jurisdictions favouring the Original Owner — **230**
 - II. Jurisdictions favouring the Good Faith Acquirer — **232**
 - III. Moderate Jurisdictions — **233**
- § 3. The Application of the Lex Situs and its Consequences — **234**
- § 4. Legal Alternatives for Disputes involving Cultural Property — **239**
 - I. The Law of the Closest Connection — **240**
 - II. Renvoi — **242**

- III. Lex Situs Originis — 245
 - 1. The Resolution of the “Institute de Droit International” — 246
 - 2. Art. 12 of the EC Directive 93/7 EEC — 248
 - 3. Belgian Code on PIL of 16 July 2004 — 249
- IV. The Proposed Choice of Law Rule — 252
 - 1. Characterisation of the Object — 252
 - 2. Protection of the Good Faith Purchaser — 254
 - 3. The Good Faith – Test — 255
 - 4. Reversed Burden of Proof — 256
 - 5. A Rule on Discovery — 258
 - 6. The Nationality of the Object — 260
 - a. The Closest Connecting Factor — 261
 - b. Problematic Constellations — 264
 - (1) Orphaned Objects — 264
 - (2) State Succession — 265
 - (3) Subjective Cultural Importance — 266
 - c. Sharing Agreements as an Alternative Method — 267
- § 5. Conclusion to Chapter 5 — 269

Chapter 6. Cross-Border Enforcement and Justiciability in a Comparative Perspective — 271

- § 1. Introduction — 271
- § 2. International Resolutions on the Justiciability of Foreign Public Law — 272
 - I. The Wiesbaden Resolution 1975 — 272
 - II. Resolution of the “Institute de Droit International” — 273
 - III. Resolution adopted by the International Law Association in 1988 — 275
- § 3. England and Wales — 275
 - I. Enforcement: Prerogative Claims to Cultural Objects — 277
 - 1. Acta Jure Gestionis and Acta Jure Imperii — 278
 - a. Attorney General of New Zealand v Ortiz — 279
 - b. Iran v Barakat: First Instance Decision — 282
 - 2. ‘Penal laws’ within the Context of Dicey Rule 3 (1) — 284
 - 3. ‘Other Public Laws’ within the Context of Dicey Rule 3 (1) — 286
 - a. Unjusticiable Public Laws – A Conundrum — 286
 - b. The Adoption of the ‘Governmental Interest’ – Test — 288
 - II. Recognition: Patrimonial Claims to Cultural Objects — 292
 - 1. The Recognition of Claims according to the Doctrine of Vested Rights — 293

- a. Iran v Barakat – Court of Appeal Decision — **294**
- b. The Medici-Archives — **296**
- c. City of Gotha v Sotheby’s — **297**
- 2. Recognition based on a Possessory Title — **298**
 - a. The Common Law Action in Conversion — **299**
 - b. Immediate Right of Possession as Title under English Law — **300**
- 3. Recognition based on Confiscatory Legislation — **304**
 - a. Possession at the Time of the Confiscatory Act — **304**
 - b. Lack of Possession at the Time of Confiscatory Act — **306**
- III. Cross-Border Enforcement on the Ground of Public Policy — **309**
 - 1. The Notion of Public Policy — **310**
 - 2. Public Policy and its Function as a Correction Tool — **312**
 - a) Positive Public Policy — **313**
 - b) Negative Public Policy — **315**
 - c) Transnational Public Policy — **318**
 - 3. Cultural Property as a Policy Consideration — **321**
- IV. Reflections on England and Wales — **323**
- § 4. The U.S. Approach — **325**
 - I. The McClain-Doctrine — **325**
 - II. McClain Revisited – United States v Schultz — **331**
 - III. Civil Recovery on the Grounds of McClain – An Antique Platter of Gold — **333**
 - IV. Reflections on U.S. Law — **335**
- § 5. The German Approach — **335**
 - I. General Rules — **335**
 - II. Rules on Cross-Border Enforcement and Justiciability — **336**
 - 1. The Imprint Theory — **336**
 - 2. Cross-Border Enforcement on the Ground of Public Policy — **337**
 - 3. Cross-Border Enforcement Jurisprudence — **338**
 - a) The Egyptian Sarcophagus-Case — **339**
 - b) The Greek Coins-Case — **340**
 - 4. Recognition within the Scope of the Immorality of Conduct — **341**
 - a) The Nigeria-Decision — **341**
 - b) Three Phrygian Offering Cups and Two Byzantine Incense Burners — **343**
 - III. Reflections on German Law — **347**
- § 6. The Swiss Approach — **348**
 - I. Swiss Federal Act on Private International Law 1987 — **348**
 - II. India v Crédit Agricole Indosuez — **349**
 - III. Reflections on Swiss Law — **351**

IV. Conclusion to Chapter 6 — **352**

Summary — 354

Bibliography — 359

Annex — 378

