## **Table of Contents**

Wille	em H van Boom				
1	Mass Torts: Debates and Pathways —— 1				
I.	Setting the Scene — 1				
II.	Concepts and Basic Legal Issues — 2				
III.	Debates and Pathways — 8				
	A. The Debate in Europe —— 8				
	B. Pathways — 10				
IV.	The Contributions to this Book —— 12				
	A. Cases and Reflections — 12				
	B. Case Studies — 13				
	1. Several events with a common cause —— 14				
	<ol><li>One event with multiple victims — 15</li></ol>				
	3. Multinationals and multi-district actions — 16				
	4. Financial markets and mass damage —— 16				
	C. Academic Reflections — 18				
٧.	Final Considerations — 20				
Nadi	a Coggiola and Michele Graziadei				
2	The Italian 'Eternit Trial': Litigating Massive Asbestos Damage				
	in a Criminal Court — 23				
I.	Introduction —— 23				
II.	Facts of the Case —— 25				
	A. The Accusations — 25				
	B. The Stakeholders — 26				
	C. Public Resonance — 27				
	D. Jurisdictions Involved — 28				
	E. The Main Legal Questions — 30				
	F. Out-of-Court Procedure — 33				
	G. Court Procedure: The Proceeding of the Trial —— 33				
	H. Court Procedure: The First Instance Court Findings of Fact — 34				
	I. Court Procedure: The Trial and Appellate Court Decisions — 37				
Mali	ssa R Ferrari				
3	Silicone Breast Implants: Mass Tort and Massive Damage				
,	in Europe —— 47				
ı.	Introduction — 47				
••	A. A Brief History of Implants and the US Litigation — 49				
	B. Meanwhile in Europe — 53				
II.	Legal Issues, Negotiations, and Resolutions — 60				
11.	A. The Multi-District Litigation Settlement — 60				
	B. The Dow Corning Corporation Bankruptcy Proceeding — 65				
	Conclusion — 71				
III.	Coliciusion — /1				

## Claudio Perrella The 'Costa Concordia' Case — 73 I. Foreword — 73 Ш The Wreck Removal Operations — 74 III. The Impact on the Local Industry and the Legal Issues at Stake — 75 Liability Regime Applying to the Actions Brought by the Passengers — 76 ٧. Damage Assessment for Bodily Injury in Italian Case Law — 79 VI. Costa's Right to Limitation of Liability — 81 VII. Class Actions and Punitive Damages — 83 VIII. The Possible Damage to the Environment Arising from the Spill of Bunker Oil - 86 Otto Ernst Krasnev Ombudsman for the Victims of the Rail Accident at Eschede on 3 lune 1998 ---- 89 I. Appointment of the Ombudsman — 89 Responsibilities of the Ombudsman — 89 II. Position of the Ombudsman — 90 The Work of the Ombudsman — 91 A. Extensive Personal Assistance — 91 B. Offer of Legal Aid and Community of Interests — 91 C. Psychological Help and Treatment — 92 D. Help for the Helpers — 92 E. Compensation for People Affected — 93 V. Conclusion — 94 **Hermes Marangos** International Environmental Mass Litigation in the UK ---- 95 6 L. Introduction —— 95 II. Facts of the Case — 96 III. Legal Liability — 96 Damages Rules - 98 Similar Cases — 99 A. BP Oil Spill Colombia — 99 B. Lubbe and Others v Cape Plc — 100 C. Afrika and Others v Cape plc — 101 D. Adam v Cape Industries Plc — 103 VI. Conclusion — 103 Philip Tansley 7 South African Silicosis Litigation in London. A Case Study —— 105 I. What is Silicosis? — 105

Silicosis in South Africa — 106

II.

Fran	cesca Rolla and Filippo Chiaves
8	Bank Charges —— 117
I.	General Introduction — 117
	A. The New Class Action Law — 117
	B. Italian Class Action Proceedings — 118
II.	C. Class Actions for Bank Charges — 120 Overview of the Case — 121
11.	A. The Plaintiffs — 121
	B. The Defendant — 121
	C. The Class Action Suit —— 121
III.	Dynamics of the Case and Legal Issues Involved – The First Instance
	Proceedings — 123
	A. Plaintiffs' Claims — 123
	B. The First Instance Decision by the Turin Court —— 124
IV.	Dynamics of the Case and Legal Issues Involved – The Appeal
	Proceedings — 126
	A. Grounds of the Appeal — 126
	<ul> <li>B. The Decision of the Turin Court of Appeals — 126</li> <li>C. Further Progress of the Class Action — 128</li> </ul>
٧.	C. Further Progress of the Class Action —— 128 Conclusions —— 129
٧.	Conclusions — 129
	reas W Tilp and Thomas A Roth
9	The German Capital Market Model Proceedings Act as Illustrated by the Example of the Frankfurt Deutsche Telekom Claims —— 131
ı.	Introduction — 131
II.	The Facts of the Case —— 132
	A. Actors Involved in the Litigation —— 132
	B. Actors not Involved in the Litigation — 133
III.	Proceedings — 134
	A. Out-of-Court Proceedings — 134
	B. Judicial Proceedings Prior to Entry into Force of the KapMuG — 135
	C. KapMuG Proceedings — 135
IV.	Legal Issues — 138
	A. Competent Courts — 138
	B. The Central Questions of Law —— 139

1. The original material questions — 139 2. Questions of procedural law — 139 3. Other substantive questions of law — 140 ٧. Summary — 141 **Jenny Boldon** The Madoff Ponzi Scheme — 143 Background — 143 I. The Aftermath —— 144 II. III. The US — 145 A. Trustee Recoveries/Clawback Claims — 145 B. Restitutionary Claims against Investors by Feeder Funds — 147 C. Investor Claims — 147 D. Regulatory Aspects — 147 E. Criminal Prosecutions — 148 The European Landscape — 148 IV. A. England and Wales — 149 Requests for information — 149 1. 2. Jurisdiction — 150 3. Enforcement — 151 4. MSIL Liquidator Action against MSIL Directors and Others — 151 B. Spain — 152 C. Ireland — 152 D. Luxembourg — 154 E. Switzerland — 154 F. Austria — 154 ٧. Insurance Issues —— 155 VI. The Market for Madoff Claims — 155 VII. Conclusion — 156 Harald Koch Mass Damage in Europe: Aggregation of Claims, Effective Enforcement and Adequate Representation — 157 I. Introduction — 157 A. Relevance and Notion of Mass Damage —— 157 B. European Initiatives — 157 C. Double Meaning of 'Damage' and Claims Mentality — 158 II. Focus: Damage as a Result of Mass Torts —— 159 Effective Enforcement of Mass Damage Claims — 159 A. Functions of Mass Damage Enforcement — 160 B. Effectiveness and Efficiency — 161 Suitable Ways to Handle Mass Damages Claims Procedurally — 162 A. Out-of-Court Negotiations, ADR, Arbitration — 162 B. Individual Pursuit of Claims, Test Litigation, and its Relevance for other Claims — 163

	C.	Aggregation of Claims —— 164  1. Joinder —— 164
		2. Assignment — 165
		3. Court controlled representation — 166
	D.	Adequate Representation — 166
		1. Conflict of interests — 166
		2. Representative's authority —— 167
	Ε.	Notice to all Parties Potentially Affected —— 168
		1. Notice of representation —— 168
		2. Notice of settlement and appropriation of award — 169
	F.	Financing Mass Litigation —— 169
٧.	Cop	oing with Mass Damages outside the Law: Delegalisation? —— 170
Rern	har	d A Koch
12		Itiple Tortfeasors in Mass Tort Cases —— 173
l.		roduction — 173
ii.		nge of Possible Outcomes — 174
11.	A.	T
	В.	Full Liability of one Defendant — 174
	С.	Proportional Liability of Some or All Defendants —— 177
	С.	1. Proportional liability in general — 177
		2. Loss of a chance — 178
	D.	
	E.	
	L.	All Defendants —— 180
		1. Solidary liability — 180
		2. Contribution (recourse) — 181
	F.	Alternative Compensation Schemes — 183
III.		ractors — 183
111.	A.	
	А.	1. Categories of causal scenarios — 183
		2. Proportional liability as one potential outcome — 185
		3. Legal causation — 186
	D	Type of Harm — 187
		Link(s) between the Parties —— 188
	D.	
	Ε.	Procedural Aspects — 190
11.7	F.	Further Factors — 191
IV.		icy Arguments in the Mass Torts Scenario —— 192
٧.	Cor	nclusion —— 195
Astri	id St	adler
		ca Damagas in Europa Allocation of Jurisdiction

## Astri

٧.

12 I. II.

III.

- 13 Mass Damages in Europe - Allocation of Jurisdiction -Cross-Border Multidistrict Litigation — 197
- Cross-Border Mass Torts and the Need for Forum Shopping —— 197 I.

II.	The Regulatory Framework of International Jurisdiction —— 202 A. European Union – Regulation 44/2001 (the Brussels I Regulation) —— 202						
	B. Mass Litigation on a Global Scale and the forum non conveniens Doctrine — 203						
	C. International Jurisdiction for Contentious Mass Litigation in the EU —— 207						
	1. Defendant's domicile — 207						
	<ol> <li>Tort cases — 211</li> <li>Contract cases — 212</li> </ol>						
	4. Choice-of-forum agreements — 213						
	<ul> <li>D. Mass Settlements and the Brussels I Regulation — 215</li> <li>1. Settlements negotiated in the course of contentious</li> </ul>						
	court proceedings —— 215						
	2. Settlements without contentious litigation —— 217 The Future of Jurisdictional Rules over Mass Claims in						
III.	Europe — 221						
	A. No Harmonisation of the Instruments of Collective Redress						
	in the EU —— 222  B. Harmonisation of the Instruments of Collective Redress and						
	New Rules on International Jurisdiction —— 228						
IV.	Summary and Questions to be Discussed in the Future — 229						
Chris	stopher Hodges  Delivering Redress through Alternative Dispute Resolution and						
l.	Regulation — 231 Introduction — 231						
II.	Describing the Mechanisms — 231 Origins of the Alternative Techniques 230						
III. IV.	Origins of the Alternative Techniques —— 239 Predicting the Future —— 241						
Mori	tz Brinkmann						
15	Mass Tort Related Insolvency Proceedings: Choice of Jurisdiction,						
	Treatment and Discharge of Tort Claims — 245						
I. II.	Introduction — 245 Choice of Jurisdiction — 246						
	A. Choice of Jurisdiction with respect to the Insolvency						
	Proceedings — 246						
	B. Decisions as to the Allowance of Claims – The Concept of Vis Attractiva Concursus — 249						
	1. The determination of a lodged and contested claim —— 250						
	2. The effect of the opening of insolvency proceedings						
III.	on pending trials —— 251 Treatment of Tort Creditors in Insolvency Proceedings —— 252						
A. Tort Creditors are General Creditors! (?) — 252							

	ь.	Proceedings — 253					
		1. The effects of a direct action approach in insolvency — 254					
		2. Earmarking of insurance policy proceeds — 254					
IV.		e Effects of a Discharge on the Position of Tort Creditors — 256					
	Α.	Discharge of Tort Claims in Principle —— 256					
	В.						
		Future Claimant Problem —— 257					
		1. Representation of future creditors' interests in plan					
		proceedings —— 257					
		2. Depletion of the established trust — 259					
٧.	Les	ssons to Be Learned From the Asbestos Bankruptcies —— 260					
Cor	hard	Wagner					
16							
10		ss Tort Resolution: Competition Between Jurisdictions and chanisms —— 263					
l. 		roduction — 263					
II.		e Spectrum of Mechanisms and Fora —— 265					
	Α.	Civil Procedure — 265					
		1. The commission recommendation on collective redress — 265					
		2. Lessons from the case studies — 266					
	В.	Alternative Dispute Resolution —— 269					
		1. Ad hoc ADR —— 270					
		2. The broader picture of ADR —— 271					
	C.	Criminal Procedure —— 272					
		1. Priority of criminal over civil procedure — 272					
		2. The italian asbestos trial — 273					
	D.	Insolvency Proceedings —— 275					
		1. Bankruptcy as the debtor's response to a mass of tort					
		claims — 275					
		2. Consolidation of claims via insolvency law — 275					
		3. Externalities imposed on tort creditors — 278					
III.	ΑF	unctional Approach towards Institutions Designed for Mass					
••••		ts — 280					
IV.		aluation — 282					
	Α.	Civil Procedure: Aggregate Litigation —— 282					
	В.	ADR: Piece-Meal Dispute Resolution out of Court — 285					
	C.	Dispute Resolution the Criminal Way — 287					
	D.	Insolvency Law: Dealing with Scarcity — 288					
v							
V.		Competition Between Modes and Fora of Dispute Resolution: Where Does the Race Lead? —— 289					
	Α.	The Range of Choices Available to Forum Shopping Claimants — 289					
	В.	The Supply Side: Responses by Lawmakers, Judges, and					
	_	Respondents — 293					
	C.	Evaluation of the Competition for the Resolution of					
		Mass Tort Cases — 294					