דיני ממונות פרק ראשון

משנה א: דִּינֵי מָמוֹנוֹת בִּשְׁלשָׁה גְּזֵלוֹת וַחֲבָלוֹת בִּשְׁלשָׁה נָזֶק וַחֲצִי נָזֶק תַּשְׁלוּמֵי כֶפֶל וְתַשְׁלוּמֵי אַרְבָּעָה וַחֲמִשָּׁה. הָאוֹנֵס וְהַמְפַתֶּה וְהַמּוֹצִיא שֵׁם רַע בִּשְׁלשָׁה דִּבְרֵי רַבִּי מֵאִיר וַחֲכָמִים אוֹמְרִים המוֹציא שם רע בעשרים וּשׁלשׁה שׁישׁ בּוֹ דִינִי נִפּשׁוֹת.

Mishnah 1: Money matters¹ are judged by three [judges]; robberies² and injuries³ by three [judges], damages⁴, half damages⁵, double restitution⁶ quadruple and quintuple restitution. The rapist⁷, the seducer⁸, and the calumniator⁹ are judged by three [judges], the words of Rebbi Meïr; but the Sages say that the calumniator is judged by 23 because it might be a capital case¹⁰.

- 1 Not only litigation involving loans, inheritances, gifts, or real estate, but also distribution of public funds (cf. Mishnah *Peah* 8:7).
- 2 Including fraud.
- 3 Inflicted on humans.
- 4 Caused on living or inanimate property.
- 5 Caused by somebody's animals (cf. Mishnaiot *Bava qamma* 1:4-5).
- 6 To be paid by the thief of inanimate objects, Mishnah *Bava qamma* 7:1. The thief of livestock pays quadruple or quintuple restitution.
- Who has to pay under four different categories (Mishnah *Ketubot* 4:1).
- 8 Who has to pay under three different categories.
- 9 Who accuses his wife not to have been a virgin (*Deut.* 22:13-19).
- 10 Since the woman is stoned if the husband's accusation is proved correct

(*Deut.* 22:20-21) and the biblical text implies that the court which might sentence the husband to a fine is the one which might pass a death sentence, the case has to be tried in a tribunal empowered to try capital cases.

According to rabbinic principles, Deut. 22:13-21 cannot refer to a husband accusing his wife of not being a virgin since 1° by marriage, the husband became his wife's relative and relatives are barred from appearing as witnesses; his case could not be heard. 2° there is no verse threatening a woman with death if she married when not a Therefore, the rabbinic intervirgin. pretation of the paragraph is that the husband presents witnesses to the fact that committed adultery preliminarily married to him. A preliminarily married woman is married in respect to criminal but not civil law (cf. Introduction to Tractate *Qiddušin*).

Another aspect of criminal law in this case is the law of perjury, *Deut.* 19:18-19. Since the husband's witnesses intended to

have the woman stoned, if they are shown to be perjured they themselves are stoned. Therefore, the case may be heard only in a court competent to impose death sentences.

(18a line 21) **הלכה א**: דִּינֵי מָמוֹנוֹת בִּשְׁלשָׁה כול'. מְנֶן תֵּיתִי לֵיהּ. וְהָיֹּוּ אֵלֶה לָכֶם לְחֵקֶּת מִשְׁפָּט. הָיִיתִי אוֹמֵר. אֶחֶד דִּינֵי מָמוֹנוֹת וְאֶחֶד דִּינֵי נְפְּשׁוֹת בַּדְרִישָׁה וּבַחֲקִירָה.

וּמְנַיִּין לְדִינֵי מָמוֹנוֹת שָׁהַן בִּשְׁלֹשָׁה. וְנִקְּרֵב בַּעַל־הַבַּיֻת אֶל־הֵאֶלֹהִים רִיבָה בָּהּ דִּייָן אֶחָד. עַד הֵאֱלֹהִים הֲרִי שְׁנַיִם. אֲשֶׁר יַרְשִׁיעוּן אֱלֹהִים הֲרֵי כָּאן שְׁלשָׁה. דִּבְרִי רְבִּי יֹאשִׁיָּה. רְבִּי יוֹנְתָן אָמַר. הָרִאשׁוֹן תְּחַלָּה נָאֱמַר וְאֵין דּוֹרְשִׁין תְּחִילוֹת. אָלָא עַד הֵאֱלֹהִים הֲרֵי אֶחָד. אֲשֶׁר יַרְשִׁיעוּן אֱלֹהִים הַרִי שְׁנַיִם. אֵין בֵּית דִּין שְׁקוּל מוֹסִיפִּין עֵלְיהֵן עוֹד אֲחַד. הַרֵי שִׁלשָׁה.

רָבִּי אוֹמֵר. בִּשְׁנֵיֵם הַכָּתוּב מְדַבֵּר. אַתָּה אוֹמֵר בִּשְׁנֵיֵם אוֹ אֵינוֹ אֶלֶא בְּאֶחָד. כְּשֶׁהוּא אוֹמֵר אֲשֶׁר יַרְשִׁיעָן אֱלֹהִים אֵין כָּתוּב כָּאן אֶלָא אֲשֶׁר יַרְשִׁיעוּן אֱלֹהִים. אֵין בֵּית דִּין שָׁקוּל מוֹסִיפִּין עַלִיהַן עוֹד אֶחָד. הֵרִי שָׁלֹשָׁה.

Halakhah 1: "Money matters are judged by three [judges]," etc. From where comes this? *These shall be the laws of procedure to you*, ¹¹ I would say that both civil cases and criminal cases are subject to the rules of verification and examination ¹².

¹³From where that civil cases are heard by three [judges]? *The owner shall go to the judge*, ¹⁴ he added here one judge. *Before the judge*, ¹⁵ these are two. *Whom the judges will find guilty*, these are three ¹⁶, the words of Rebbi Joshia. Rebbi Jonathan said, the first mention introduces the subject; one does not infer anything from introductions ¹⁷. But *before the judge*, there is one. *Whom the judges will find guilty*, there are two. No court may be even-numbered, ¹⁸ so one adds another one; this makes three.

Rebbi said, the verse speaks about two. You say about two, or maybe it is only about one? What He said, it is not written "whom the judge will find guilty" but *whom the judges will find guilty*. No court may be even-numbered, so one adds another one; this makes three.

11 *Num.* 35:29. The chapter covers the rules of levitic cities, laws of property, and of the homicide, criminal law.

12 Mishnah 4:1. *Examination* refers to cross-examination relating to questions which answer to "who", "when", and "where". *Interrogation* refers to answers to

"how". The distinction between the two is in regard to rules about discrepancies in testimony between different witnesses, Mishnah 5:1. The verse makes it clear that the rules also apply to lawsuits about subjects not covered by the detailed list in the Mishnah.

- 13 Babli 3b.
- 14 Ex. 22:7.
- 15 Ex. 22:8.
- 16 Since the paragraph mentions *judge* three times.
- 17 This is a generally accepted principle (Babli *loc. cit.*). The expression which

introduces a subject always is necessary and cannot be considered additional or extraneous to the subject at hand.

18 The duty of the court is to decide matters based on incomplete information. The possibility of a deadlock would defeat the purpose for which the court was convened. Therefore, no court may be even-numbered. If any of the judges did abstain from voting, the case would have to be tried anew with another judge substituting for the abstaining judge.

19 The plural in this sentence alone implies that at least two judges are involved.

(18a line 31) רָבְּי אַבָּהוּ בָּעֵי. וּכְרְבְּי דִּינֵי מָמוֹנוֹת בַּחֲמִשְּׁה וְשָׂיּנָמֵר בְּכ"ג. אַשְׁכָּח תַּנֵּי רְבִּי חִזְּקְיָה. הוֹאִיל וְאֶמֶרָה תוֹרָה. הֲרוֹג עַל פִּי מַשִּים הֲרוֹג עַל פִּי עֵדִים. מַה עַדִים שְׁנַיִם אַף מַשִּים שְׁנַים. אֵין בֵּית דִּין שָׁקוּל מוֹסִיכִּין עַלֵיהָן אָחֵר. הֲרֵי חֲמִשְׁה.

Rebbi Abbahu asked. According to Rebbi²⁰, should not civil suits be heard by five; should sentence not be passed by 23²¹? It was found stated by (Rebbi)²² Hizqiah: Since the Torah said, kill by a vote of the majority²³, kill by the testimony of witnesses. Since witnesses must be two²⁴, a majority also must be two²⁵. No court can be even-numbered; one adds another one. This makes five.

- 20 Who in Tosephta 1:1 requires five judges to hear civil cases.
- 21 Rebbi probably also will agree that common practice was to hear cases by a panel of three judges. If one already deviates from traditional practice, should not *Num.* 35:29 be interpreted as requiring identical procedural law for both civil and criminal cases?
- 22 Probably one should read דבי instead of 'רבי') and translate "the House of Hizqiah," referring to one of the twin sons
- of the Elder Rebbi Hiyya, known as the last person who formulated *baraitot*. The fourth generation *Rebbi* Hizqiah would be much too late. The *baraita* is found in both *Mekhiltot* (dR. Ismael, ed. Horovitz-Rabin p. 323, dR. Simeon ben Iohai ed. Epstein-Melamed p. 214.)
- 23 Majority rule for judicial sentences is introduced in *Ex.* 23:2: "to bend after the many." The same verse forbids a guilty verdict by a simple majority: "Do not follow the majority in bad things." Since the plural used for "many" implies at least two, it

follows that a guilty verdict by a majority of one is an acquittal, while by a majority of two it is a guilty verdict.

24 Since unanimity is not required, the court would have to be composed of at least

4, hence 5, members. The majority accepts this interpretation of *Ex.* 23:2 for criminal cases only.

(18a line 35) לֹא הֵן גְזֵילוֹת הֵן חֲבָלוֹת. אַשְׁכָּח תַּנֵי רְבֵּי שִׁמְעוֹן בֶּן יוֹחַי. וְאֵׁלֶּה ֹחַמִּשְׁפָּטִׁים אֲשֶׁר תַּשִּׁים לפניהם. אתא מימר לדָּ כִּפשׁוּטהּ דִּקרייא. ותייא כרבי יוֹסי בּר חַלְפַתָּא.

רְבִּי יוֹסֵי בַּר חֲלַפְתָּא אֲתוֹן תְּרֵין בַּר נַשׁ מֵידוֹן קוֹמוֹי. אֱמְרֵי לֵיהּ. עַל מְנָת שֶׁתְּדִינֵנוּ דִּין תוֹרָה. אֲמַר לוֹן. אֲנִי אֵינִי יוֹדֵע דִּין תוֹרָה אֶלֶא הַיּוֹדֵע מַהֲשְׁבוֹת יִפְרַע מֵאוֹתָן הָאֲנָשִׁים. מְקַבְּלִין עַלִיכוֹן מַה דַּנָּא אֱמַר לִכוֹן.

רְבִּי עֲקִיבָה כַּד הֲוָה בַּר נַשׁ אֲזַל בָּעֵי מֵידוֹן קוֹמֵיהּ הֲוָה אֲמַר לֵיהּ. הֲוֹה יוֹדְעִין לְפְנֵי מִי אֲתֶּם עוֹמְדִין. לְפְנֵי מִי שֶׁאָמַר וְהָיָה הָעוֹלֶם. שֶׁנֶּאֱמַר וְעֶמְדְּוּ שְׁנֵי־הָאֲנָשִׁים אֲשֶׁר־לָהֶם הָרֶיב לִפְנֵי יי. וִלֹא לִפְנֵי עֵקִיבָה בֵּן יוֹסֵף.

תּנֵּי. קוֹדֶם לְאַרְבָּעִים שָׁנָה עַד שֶׁלֹא חָרֵב הַבַּיִת נִיטְלוֹ דִינֵי נְפָשׁוֹת וּבִימֵי שִׁמְעוֹן בֶּן שֶׁטַח נִיטָלוּ דִינֵי מַמוֹנוֹת. אָמַר רְבִּי שִׁמְעוֹן בֵּן יוֹחַי. בִּרִידְּ רַחַמֶּנָא דְּלִי נָא חֲכִים מֵידוֹן.

Do not robberies and injuries fall under the same rules²⁶? It was found that Rebbi Simeon ben Iohai stated: *These are the procedures which you shall put before them.* It serves to explain to you the plain sense of the verse²⁷. This follows Rebbi Yose bar Halaphta.

Rebbi Yose bar Halaphta, when two people came before him for judgment and told him, "on condition that you judge us by the law of the Torah," told them, "the law of the Torah I do not know²⁸, but He Who knows thoughts should collect from those people²⁹. You have to accept what I shall tell you."

When a person came to Rebbi Aqiba to have a suit decided before him, he told him: "You should know before Whom you are standing, before Him Who commanded and the world came into existence, as it is said: *The two people who are quarrelling shall stand before the Eternal*,³⁰ not before Aqiba ben Joseph."

It was stated³¹: Forty years before the Temple was destroyed, criminal jurisdiction was removed from Israel³², and in the days of Simeon ben (Šetaḥ)³³ civil jurisdiction was removed. Rebbi Simeon ben Iohai said, praised be the Merciful³⁴, for I am not intelligent enough to judge ³⁵.

- 26 The same question is asked in the Babli, 2b. In contrast to the Babli, here it is understood that torts do not necessarily follow the same rules as disputes over money, and it is not obvious that a panel of three judges would be sufficient for these.
- 27 Ex. 21:1. As in Ex. 21-23, pure money matters are treated first, then torts. The order of the Mishnah follows the order of topics in these chapters.
- 28 He knew the theoretical law of the Torah but not necessarily the application to the case before him, since that would have presumed perfect and complete information. But the judge, by biblical decree, is dependent on what is told him in court. The information given to him by necessity is incomplete.
- 29 Who either lie in court or withhold information.
- 30 *Deut.* 19:17. The verse continues: "before the priests and the judges."

- 31 The correct version of this *baraita* is in 7:2; the first part is also quoted in the Babli, *Šabbat* 15a. The second part never applied in Babylonia.
- 32 When Judea came under direct Roman rule.
- 33 One has to read with 7:2: Simeon ben Iohai, as confirmed by the next sentence. The removal of civil cases to Roman courts cannot be dated to the reign of Alexander Yannai, Simeon ben Shetah's brother-inlaw, but to Hadrian, in the aftermath of the war of bar Kokhba.
- 34 For preventing me to have to act as a judge.
- 35 From his time on, rabbinic jurisdiction in Palestine was limited to courts of arbitration which are not representatives of God and therefore under less pressure to render absolutely correct judgment.

(46 line 46) שְׁמּוּאֵל אָמֵר. שְׁנֵים שְׁדָּנוּ דִּינֵיהֶן דִּין אֶלֶּא שְׁנִּקְרְאוּ בִּית דִּין חָצוּף. רָבִּי יוֹחָנָן וְרֵישׁ לְּקִישׁ תְּרִיהוֹן מָרִין. אֲפְלוּ שְׁנַיִם שְׁדָּנוּ אֵין דִּינֵיהֶן דִּין. תַּמֶן תַּנִּינֶן. הָיָה דָן אֶת הַדִּין. זִיכֶּה אֶת הַחִּינָב חִינֵב לַזַּכֵּאי. טְמֵּא לַטְהוֹר טִיהֵר לַטְמֵא. מַה שֶעְשָׂה עְשׁוּי וִישַׁלֵם מִבֵּיתוֹ. רְבִּי בָּא בְשִׁם רְבִּי אַבָּהוּ. בְּשֶׁאֵמְרוּ לוֹ. הָרֵי אַתְּ מְקוּבָּל עָלֵינוּ כִשְׁנֵים. מָה אֲנֶן קַייָמִין. אִם בְּשֶּׁשֶעה וְדָנֶן מִי אֲנִּקְהוּ בְּדָא מָה שֶעֶשָׂה עָשׁוּי וִישְׁלָם. בְּה אֲנֶן קַייָמִין. אִם בְּשֶּׁשֶעה וְדָנֶן מִי תְּיֹרָה. בְּדָּא מַה שֶעָשָׂה עְשׁוּי, אִם בְּשֶּׁשְעה וְדָנֶן דִין תּוֹרָה. בְּדָא יְשַׁלֵם מִבֵּיתוֹ. רְבִּי בָּא בְשׁם רְבִּי אַבָּהוּ בְּשֶׁמְרוּ לוֹ. הֲרֵי אַתְּ מְקוּבְּל עָלֵינוּ כִשְׁלְשָׁה עַל מְנֶת שֶׁתְּדִינוּנוּ דִין תּוֹרָה. לְּעָת הַדְּנָן מִשִּׁיקוּוֹל הַדַּעַת. יְשַׁלֵם מִבְּיתוֹ. וְבִּי וְעָשֶׁה וְדָנָן מִשְּׁיקוּל הַדַּעַת. יְשַׁלֵם מִבְּיתוֹ. מְשִׁנְי שְׁעָה וְדָנָן מִשְּׁיקוּל הַדַּעַת. יְשְׁלֶם מִבְּיתוֹ. אַלָּא אָחָד. שְׁנִי לְּעָיִים וְתִּיּיקוּל הַדְּעָת. יְשְׁלָם מִבְּיתוֹ. אַל אִתְּיקוֹל הַדְּינֵת מִּשְׁיקוּוֹל הַדְּעָת. מְשִׁיקוּל הַדְּעָת. מְשְׁרָשׁ תְּנִינוֹ מִשְׁיקוּל הַדְּעָת. מְשִׁרְם הַיִּעְשָּה עְשֹּיִי, מִּבְּתוֹ בְּשְׁעִם בְּתוֹ בִּילִילְּעָם בְּתִּי שְּעָשְׁה עְשִׁילְים בְּתְבִּי בְּיִבְּיִם בְּתִוּים בְּתִּי בְּעָת וֹיִילִי דִּין תּוֹרָה. דִּרְ תִּוֹרָה. בִּלְעִים בְּתִי בְּעָת בְּתוֹ בְּיִילִים בְּתוֹי בִּשְּעָת. בְּיִנְ מִּנִים בְּתוֹי בְּיִי בְּתוֹים בְּתִּבְים בְּעִים בְּיִבְים בְּתוֹי בְּיוֹ מִּיּנִים בְּעִים בְּנִים בְּיִבְּים בְּיִבְּים בְּעָב בְּיִם בְּיִי בְּיִבְּים בְּיִבְּים בְּיִבְּים בְּיִים בְּיִבְּים בְּיוֹב בְּיִיבְּים בְּיִבְּים בְּיִים בְּהְים בְּעִבּים בְּיִבְּים בְּיִים בְּיִבְּיִים בְּיִים בְּיִבְּי בְּיִבְּים בְּיִים בְּיִים בְּיִבְּים בְּיִבְים בְּיִים בְּיִבְים בְּיִבְּיִם בְּיִבְּים בְּבְיוֹב בְּיִים בְּיִבְּים בְּיִים בְּיִים בְּיִים בְּיִים בְּיִים בְּיִים בְּיִבְּיִים בְּיִים בְּיִים בְּיִּים בְּיִים בְּיִים בְּיִים בְּשְׁיִבְּים בְּיִים בְּיִי

Samuel said, if two men acted as judges, their judgment stands, but they are called an insolent court³⁶. Rebbi Johanan and Rebbi Simeon ben Laqish both are instructing: Even³⁷ if two men acted as judges, their judgment is no judgment³⁸. There, we have stated³⁹: "If he rendered judgment, acquitted the guilty and condemned the innocent, declared the pure impure or the impure pure, what he did is done but he has to pay from his own pocket." Rebbi

Abba in the name of Rebbi Abbahu: if they told him, we accept you as if you were two⁴⁰. What are we dealing with? If his error was that he judged them on his discretion⁴¹, then what he did is done. If his error was that he judged them by Torah law⁴², why should he pay from his own pocket? Rebbi Abba in the name of Rebbi Abbahu: if they told him, we accept you as if you were three on condition that you judge us by Torah law. He erred and judged them on his discretion. What he did is done, but since he erred and judged them on his discretion, he has to pay from his own pocket⁴³ because he was presumptuous to judge alone by Torah law, as we have stated⁴⁴: "Do not judge sitting alone, for only One judges sitting alone."

- 36 Babli 3a,5b,30a,87b; *Ketubot* 22a. Cf. *Berakhot* 7:1. Note 18.
- 37 Even if the parties accepted them as judges.
- 38 In the Babli, this opinion is represented by Rava (5b) and R. Abbahu (87b), the student of R. Johanan and R. Simeon ben Lagish.
- 39 Mishnah *Bekhorot* 4:4. The Mishnah refers to a person who did not pass the required examinations and was not formally qualified as a judge.
- 40 Since R. Abbahu follows his teachers and holds that any judgment passed by a court of two judges is void, as well as from the following quote, it is clear that one has to read "three" in place of "two".
- 41 If there exists no clear precedent for the case; different schools promulgate different rules and he followed a minority opinion because it seemed to him to be the correct one, his judgment is valid but there is no reason why he should have to pay. The Babli, 33a, declares a judgment against a clear majority of opinions as an error in law. 42 If his judgment contradicted a Mishnah or a clear precedent, in Israel a judgment of the Patriarch's court or in Babylonia a concurrent judgment of both Yeshivot, his judgment is void (cf. Ketubot 9:2, Note 100). If any money changed hands as a consequence of the erroneous judgment, it has to be returned.
- 43 As a fine.
- 44 Mishnah Avot 4:8.

(18a line 56) אָמַר רְבִּי יְהוּדָה בֶּן פָּזִי. אַף הַקּדוֹשׁ בָּרוּךְ הוּא אֵין דָּן יְחִידִי. שְׁנָּאֶמַר וְכָּל־צְבָּא הַשְּׁמִאלְוֹ. אֵילּוּ מַטְּין לְכַף זְכוּת וְאֵילּוּ מֵטְין לְכַף חוֹבָה. אַף עַל פִּי שְׁאֵין דָּן יְחִידִים עָלְיו מִימִינְוֹ וּמִשְּׁמֹאלְוֹ. אֵילּוּ מַטְין לְכַף זְכוּת וְאֵילּוּ מֵטְין לְכַף חוֹבָה. אַף עַל פִּי שְׁאֵין דָּן יְחִידִי חוֹתֵם יְחִידִי. שְׁנָּאֱמֵר אֲבָל אַנִּיד לְּךְּ אֶת־הַרָּשִּׁים בִּכְתָב אֱמֶת. אָמַר רְבִּי יוֹחְנָן. לְעוֹלְם אֵין הַקּדוֹשׁ בָּרוּךְ הוּא עוֹשֶּׁה בְעוֹלְמוֹ דָּבָר עַד שְׁנִמְלַךְּ בְּבֵית דִּין שֶׁלְמַאֲלָן. מַה טַעַם. נַאֱמֶת הַדְּבָר וְצְבָּא נְדְּוֹל. אֵימָתַי חוֹתָמוֹ שֶׁלְהַקְּדוֹשׁ בָּרוּדְּ הוּא אֱמֶת. בְּשָׁעָה שָׁנְהִל שְׁנְהֹי שְׁנִמְלֹךְ בְּבִית דִּין

שֶׁלְמַעֲלָן. אָמַר רִבִּי לֶעָזָר. כָּל־מָקוֹם שֶׁנֶּאֱמֵר יי אֱלֹהִים הוּא וּבֵית דִּינוֹ. וּבְנְיַין אָב שֶׁבְּכוּלָם וַיי דָּבֵּר עַלִיו רַעָה.

מָהוּ חוֹתָמוֹ שֶׁלְהַקָּדוֹשׁ בָּרוּדְּ הוּא. רְבִּי בֵּיבֵי בְשֵׁם רְבִּי רְאוּבֵן. אֱמֶת. מָהוּ אֱמֶת. אָמֵר רְבִּי בּוּן. שֶׁהוּא אֱלֹהִים חַיִּים וּמֶלֶדְּ עוֹלֶם. אָמֵר רֵישׁ לָקִישׁ. אָלֶ"ף רֵישֵׁיהּ דְּאַלְפָה־בִּיטָא. מֵ'ם בְּאֶמְצָעִיתָא. תָּי'ו בְּסוֹפָהּ. לוֹמַר אֲנֵי יִי רְאשׁוֹן שֶׁלֹא קִיבַּלְתִּי מֵאַחֵר. וּמִבַּלְעָדַי אֵין אֱלֹהִים שְׁאֵין לִי שׁוּתָף. וְאֶת־אֲחַרוֹנִים אֲנִי־הָוּא. שְׁאֵינִי עָתִיד לְמוֹסְרָהּ לְאַחֵר.

Rebbi Jehudah ben Pazi said, even the Holy One, praise to Him, does not judge alone, as it is said⁴⁵: *all the hosts of Heaven were standing by Him, to His right side and to His left.* These vote to acquit, those to convict. Even though He does not judge alone, He signs alone, as it is said: *really I shall tell you what is noted in true writing.*⁴⁶

Rebbi Johanan said, the Holy One, praise to Him, never does anything in His world unless He took counsel with the Heavenly Court⁴⁷. What is the reason? *True is the statement and a large host*.⁴⁸ When is the seal of the Holy One, praise to Him, true? When He took counsel with the Heavenly Court. Rebbi Eleazar said, any place where it is said "the Eternal, Almighty,⁴⁹" it is He and His Heavenly Court. The paradigm of all is: *and the Eternal spoke evil about him*.⁵⁰

What is the seal of the Holy One, praise to Him? Rebbi Bevai in the name of Rebbi Reuben, "true.⁵¹" What means "true"? Rebbi Abun said, that He is a Living Power and the King of this World. Rebbi Simeon ben Laqish said, x is the start of the alphabet, n the middle,⁵² and n the end. To say, *I, the Eternal, am First*,⁵³ I did not receive anything from another. *Besides Me there is no supreme power*,⁵⁴ for I have no co-owner. *And with the last, I shall be*,⁵³ I shall not in the future turn it over to anybody else.

- 45 1K. 22:19. In MT, "was standing."
- 46 Dan. 10:21.
- 47 Babli 38b.
- 48 Dan. 10:1.
- 49 In *Lev. rabba* 24(2) in the name of R. Eliezer, in *Num. rabba* 3(3) in the name of "the rabbis": Any place where it is said "*and* the Eternal." Since the verse quoted in support refers to this version, the editor of

the Žitomir-Wilna edition changed the text here. It is more likely that the text originally read "the Eternal of hosts" and the proof text was *Jer*. 11:17.

- 50 1K. 22:23.
- 51 Babli *Šabbat* 55a, in the name of R. Hanina, a midrash on *IK*. 22:23.

52 Since the Hebrew alphabet has 22 letters, the middle is between the 11th (k) and the twelfth (l) letter.

53 Is. 41:4.

54 *Is.* 44:6. The verse probably should have been quoted three times.

(18a line 69) רְבִּי בָּא וְרְבִּי בִנְיָמִין בַּר יֶפֶת הֲווּ דַייְנִין קוֹמֵי רְבִּי יִצְחָק וּנְפַק דִּינָא עַם רְבִּי בִנְיָמִין. אַתָא רבִּי בַּא בַּעִי מִיטָרוֹף. עַל רבִּי אִמִּי וָאַלף. מוּמחה שׁכַּפַף וְדָן דִּינוֹ דִּין.

רְבִּי אַכָּהוּ הֲנָה יְתִיב דַּייָן בִּכְנִישְׁתָּא מְדַרְתָּא דְקַיסָרִין לְגַרְמֵיהּ. אֵמְרִין לֵיהּ תַּלְמִידוֹי. וְלָא כֵּן אַלְפָּן רְבִּי. אַל תְּהִי דָן יְחִידִי. אֲמֵר לוֹן. כֵּיוָן דְאַתּון חֲמוּ לִי יְתִיב דַּייָן לְגַרְמִי וִאְתוֹן לְגַבִּיי כְּמִי שֶׁקִּיבְּלוּ אַלֵיהֶן. וְתַנֵּי כֵן. בַּמֶּה דְבָרִים אֲמוּרִים. בִּזְמֵן שֶׁלֹּא קִיבְּלוּ אֲלֵיהֶן. אֲבָל אִם קִיבְּלוּ אַלֵיהֶן דָן אֲפִילוּ יָחִידִי.

Rebbi Abba and Rebbi Benjamin bar Jephet had a lawsuit before Rebbi Isaac; the judgment was for Rebbi Benjamin. Rebbi Abba wanted to complain⁵⁵; Rebbi Immi came and taught: If an expert⁵⁶ forced his opinion as a judgment, his judgment stands.

Rebbi Abbahu was sitting as sole judge in the inclining⁵⁷ synagogue of Caesarea. His students said to him, did the rabbi not teach⁴⁴: "Do not judge sitting alone"? He told them, since you see me sitting alone as a judge and they come to me, it is because they accepted this. It was stated thus⁵⁸: "When was this said? If they did not accept it. But if they accepted it, he even judges as sole judge.⁵⁹"

55 After the fact, he wanted to invalidate the judgment because R. Isaac sat as sole judge.

56 A person who passed the required examinations and was ordained as a judge, in Palestine by the Patriarch, in Babylonia

by the Head of the Diaspora.

57 A synagogue built on an incline in his hometown of Caesarea Philippi on the slopes of the Golan Heights.

- 58 Babli 5a, Rosh Haššanah 25b.
- 59 Since he is acting as an arbitrator.

(18a line 76) רְבִּי יוֹחָנֶן אֲזַל מֵידוֹן קוֹמֵי רְבִּי חִינֶה רַבָּה. אֵייְתִיב גַּבֵּיהּ חַד תַּלְמִיד. וְלֹא כֵן תַּנֵּי. אָב וּבְנוֹ הָרָב וְתַלְמִידוֹ שְׁנֵיהֶן נִימְנִין אֶחָד. נֵימֵר חָבֵר וְתַלְמִיד הָיָה. כְּרַבִּי לְּעָזְר לְרַבִּי יוֹחָנֶן. גְּזַר דִּין נְפָקוּ מִן דְּרָב לְגַרְמֵיהּ. מֵן דְּרָבִּי אָחָא לְגַרְמֵיהּ. מֵן דְּרָבִי יוֹנָה וְרָבִּי יוֹמֵי לְגַרְמֵיהוֹוֹן.

Rebbi Johanan went with a lawsuit before the Elder Rebbi Hiyya. He took a student to sit with him. But did we not state: "A father and his son, a teacher and his student, the two are counted as one.⁶⁰" Let us say that he was both student and colleague, like Rebbi Eleazar to Rebbi Johanan⁶¹.

Judgments were rendered by Rav alone, by Rav Aha alone, by Rebbi Jonah and Rebbi Yose by themselves⁶².

- 60 Tosephta 7:2; Babli 36a. The son or the student cannot be counted on for an independent opinion.
- 61 Where both Talmudim report many disagreements between them. At this stage, the former student will have independent
- opinions and, more importantly, will not be afraid publicly to state them.
- 62 The head of a Talmudic Academy everywhere is empowered to sit as sole judge.

(18b line 4) תַּמָּן תַּנִּינֶן. הַנּוֹטֵל שְׂכָרוֹ לָדוּן דִּינָיו בְּטֵילִין. כֵּינִי מַתְנִיתָא. הֶחָשׁוּד לִיטוֹל שְׂכָרוֹ וְדַוִּ.

חַד בַּר נַשׁ אֲזַל מֵידוֹן קוֹמֵי רַב הּנָא. אֲמֵר לֵיה. אֵייתִי לִי בַּר נַשׁ דִּיסוֹק תְּחוּתִי לְדִיקְלָא. רַב הּוּנָא הֲנָה רֹעֵי תוֹרִין וַהֲנָה יְדַע שְׁהַדוּ לְחַד בַּר נַשׁ. אֲמֵר לֵיה. אַיְתָא שְׁהַד עָלִי. אֲמֵר לֵיה. הַב לִי אַגְרִי. וְתַנֵי בֵן. נוֹתָנִין לַדִּייָן שְׁכַר בְּטֵילוֹ וְלָעֵד שְׁכַר עִדוּתוֹ.

There, we have stated⁶³: "If somebody takes a fee to judge, his judgments⁶⁴ are invalid." So is the Mishnah: "He who is suspected of taking a fee⁶⁵ but he judges."

A person brought a suit before Rav Huna⁶⁶, He told him, bring me a person who climbs the date palm instead of me.

Rav Huna was a cowherd when he knew testimony for a person, who told him, come and testify for me. He answered him, give me my wages. It was stated thus: "One pays the judge for his time and the witness for his testimony.

- 63 Mishnah *Bekhorot* 4:6, Babli *Bekhorot* 29a, *Ketubot* 105a, *Qiddušin* 58b.
- 64 The plural implies that all his judgments are invalid, even those for which he did not take money.
- 65 It takes an action of a supervising authority to invalidate his judgments.
- 66 Who started out poor as an agricultural worker and only became rich when middle aged. Babli *Ketubot* 105a.

(9 18b line) חַד בַּר נַשׁ סְאַב לְחַד כֹּהֵן. אֲתַא עוֹבְדָא קוֹמֵי רְבִּי יִצְחָק וְאוֹכְלֵיהּ חוּלִין. סֵבְרִין מֵימֵר שִׁיּוֹצְאִין לוֹ דָמֵי תִרוּמָה מִתּוֹכָם. A person made a Cohen impure⁶⁷. The case came before Rebbi Isaac who made him eat profane food⁶⁸. They wanted to say that one deducts the price of heave from the amount⁶⁹.

67 He made it impossible for him to eat heave, which must be eaten in purity. One has to assume that the impurity was that of corpses, which can be dissolved only by sprinkling with water containing the ashes of the red cow, which at that moment had become unavailable; cf. *Berakhot* 1:1, Note 3.

68 He forbade the Cohen to eat heave; this is a biblical rule agreed to by everybody. It is implied that he required the offending party to pay for the Cohen's food since heave, permitted only to Cohanim, is sold at a discount.

Impurity of persons and food is *invisible damage* which according to the Babli, *Gittin* 53a, can be punished only by a rabbinic fine. According to the Babli, *Sanhedrin* 8a, fines can be imposed only by a panel of three ordained judges. Since it is implied here that R. Isaac was sitting as sole judge, the Yerushalmi strongly disagrees with the Babli. (Cf. *Bava qamma* 9:2, Note 24.)

69 The guilty party only had to pay the difference between profane and sanctified food, i. e., the additional cost incurred by the victim.

(18 line 11) תַנֵּי. רְבִּי אֱלִיעֶזֶר בֶּן רְבִּי יוֹסֵי הַנְּלִילִי אוֹמֵר. הַמְּבַצֵּעַ חוֹטֵא וְהַמְבָּרֵךְ אֶת הַמְבַצֵּעַ הַרִי זֶה כִּמְנָעֵץ לִבְּנֵי הַמָּקוֹם. שֶׁנֶּאֱמֵר וּבַּצֵע בַּרְךְ נָאֵץ יי. אֶלָא יִקוֹב הַדִּין אֶת הָהָר כְּשֶׁעְשֶׁה מֹשֶׁה. אֲבָל אָהַרֹן מֵשִים שַׁלוֹם. שַׁנֵּאֲמֵר בְּשָׁלִוֹם וּבְמִישׁוֹר הַלֵּךְ אִתִּׁי.

It was stated⁷⁰: Rebbi Eliezer ben Rebbi Yose the Galilean says, the person who arranges a compromise is sinning⁷¹, and the one who praises a compromiser is like a blasphemer before the Omnipresent, as it is said⁷²: *he who blesses a compromiser slanders the Eternal!* The law should pierce the mountain, as Moses did⁷³. But Aaron promoted peace⁷⁴, as it is said⁷⁵, *in peace and straightness he went with Me*.

70 Tosephta 1:2, Babli 6b, *Yebamot* 92a.
71 It is clear from the following paragraphs and the parallels, that the statement is interpreted to apply to cases where either the trial already has started or where existing law allows a clear decision.
72 *Ps.* 10:3.

- 73 Who ordered all people with suits to appear before him for judgment (*Ex.* 18:16) and did not invite them to an arbitration panel.
- 74 The midrash (*Avot dR. Nathan* I 12, II 24) explains that he dissuaded people from going to court. This is preferable according to everybody.

75 Mal. 2:6.

(18b line 14) תַּנֵּי. רְבִּי לִיעֶזֶר בֶּן יַעֲקֹב אוֹמֵר. מַה תַלְמוּד לוֹמֵר וּבֹּצֵעֵ בַּרְּףְ נְאֵץר יִי. מֵשְׁלוּ מָשְׁל לְמָה הַדָּבָר דּוֹמֶה. לְאֶחָד שָׁנָנַב סְאָה חִישִּין וְהוֹלִיכָהּ לַנַּחְתּוֹם וְהִפְּרִישׁ חַלְּתָהּ וְהָאֱכִילָהּ לְבָנָיוּ. הרֵי זָה מְבָרַךְּ וְאֵינוֹ אלָא מְנָעֵץ.

ָרְבִּי מֵאִיר אוֹמֵר. מַה תַּלְמוּד לוֹמַר וּבַּצֵע ֹבֵרָךְ נְאַץ יי. אֵילוּ אֲחֵי יוֹסֵף. שְׁנָּאֱמַר מַה־בָּצַע כֵּי נַהַרֹגֹ אֵת־אָחִינוּ וגו'.

It was stated⁷⁰: ⁷⁶"Rebbi Eliezer ben Jacob says, why does the verse say⁷², *he who blesses unlawful gain slanders the Eternal*? They gave a parable, to what can this be compared? To one who stole a *se'ah* of wheat, brought it to the baker, separated its *hallah*⁷⁷, and fed it to his children. He pronounces blessings but it is blasphemy.

⁷⁸Rebbi Meir says, why does the verse say⁷², *he who blesses unlawful gain slanders the Eternal*? These are Joseph's brothers, as it is is said⁷⁹: *What is the gain if we slay our brother*, etc."

76 Babli *Bava qamma* 94a. In other Yerushalmi sources (*Hallah* 1:9 Notes 218-221; *Šabbat* 13:3 14a l. 50) it is an Amoraic statement.

77 To avoid committing a deadly sin. If he recites the required blessing, he commits an intentional sin; if he induces the baker to

recite the blessings, the baker commits an unintended sin and the thief violates the commandment not to put a stone in the way of a blind man.

78 In the Tosephta (1:3) an anonymous statement.

79 Gen. 37:26.

(18b line 19) רְבִּי יְהוֹשָׁעַ בֶּן קָרְחָה אוֹמֵר. מִצְוָה לְבַצֵּעַ. שֶׁנֶּאֱמַר אֱמֶת ׁוּמִשְׁפַּט שָׁלוֹם. כָּל־מָקוֹם שָׁיֵשׁ אֱמֶת אֵין מִשְׁפַּט שָׁלוֹם. יֵשׁ שָׁלוֹם אֵין מִשְׁפַּט אֱמֶת. וְאֵי זֶהוּ אֱמֶת שָׁיֵשׁ בּוֹ מִשְׁפַט שָׁלוֹם. הַנִי אוֹמֵר. זֶה בִצוּעַ.

דָן אַת הַדִּין זִיכֶּה אֶת הַזַּכֵּיי חִייֵב לַחַייָב. מַעֲלֶה עָלָיו הַכְּתוֹב כִּילוּ עָשָׂה צְדָקָה עִם הַזַּכֵּיי וְכִילוּ עָשָׂה צְדָקָה עִם הַחַייָב. צְדָקָה עִם הַזַּכִּיי. שֶׁהֶחֱזִיר לוֹ מָמוֹנוֹ. וְעִם הַחַייָב. שֶׁהוֹצִיא גְזֵילוֹ מִתַּחַת יָדוֹ. אָמֵר רְבִּי אַבָּהוּ. מִשְׁפָּט מִשְׁפָּט אֲמוּרִין בַּפָּרָשָׁה. אֱמֶת ׁ וּמִשְׁפַּט שָׁלוֹם שִׁפְטִוּ בִּשַּׁעֲרֵיכֶם:

⁸⁰"Rebbi Joshua ben Qorha says, it is a good deed to mediate a compromise, as it is said⁸¹: *Truth and judgment of peace*. If there is truth, there is no judgment of peace. If there is peace, there is no judgment of truth.

What is truth containing a judgment of peace? I am saying, this is compromise."

⁸²"If somebody judged correctly, absolved the innocent and condemned the guilty, the verse counts it as if he practiced kindness both towards the innocent and towards the guilty. Kindness towards the innocent, because he returned his money to him. And towards the guilty, for he removed the proceeds of robbery from his hands.⁸³" Rebbi Abbahu said, *judgment* and *judgment* is written in the verse⁸¹: "Truth and judgment of peace judge in your gates."

- 80 Tosephta 1:3.
- 81 Zach. 8:16, cf. Ta`aniot 4:2 (68a l. 75), Megillah 3:7 (74b l. 48).
- 82 Tosephta 1:4, a statement of Rebbi; the same in the Babli 6b.
- 83 And therefore protects him from the judgment of Heaven.
- 84 Each referring to one aspect of the act of judging.

(18b line 26) רָבִּי זְכַרָיָה בָּעֵי קּוֹמֵי רָבִּי אָמִי. עֱבְדִין עוֹבְדָא כְּהָהֵן תַּנָּייָא. תַּנֵּי. רָבִּי שִׁמְעוֹן בֶּן מְנַסְיָא אוֹמֵר. פְּעָמִים שֶׁאֵתָּה רַשַּׁאי לְבַצֵּעַ. פְּעָמִים שֶׁאֵין אַתָּה רַשַּׁאי לְבַצֵּעַ. פְּעָמִים שֶׁאֵין אַתָּה רַשַּׁאי לְבַצֵּעַ. פְּעָמִים שֶׁאֵין אַתָּה רַשַּׁאי לְבַצֵּע. פִיצִד. שְׁנִּים שֶׁבָּאוּ אֶל הַדִּייָן. עַד שֶׁלֹּא שָׁמַע דְּבְרֵיהֶן אוֹ מִשֶּׁשָּׁמַע דְּבְרִיהֶן וְאוֹיִבְע אַתָּה הַיִּכְן וְאֵינוֹ יוֹדֵע הֵיכָן הַדִּין נוֹטֶה אֵין אַתָּה רַשַּׁאי לְבְצוֹעַ. לֹחָרֵיב לְּחָוֹשׁ. עַד שֶׁלֹא נִתְנַּלַע הָרִיב אַתָּה רַשַּׁאי לְנִוֹיְשׁוֹ. אָמֵר רְבִּי מַתַּנְיָה. אַף הפרשה בְּּאַי לְנוֹיְשׁוֹ. אָמֵר רְבִּי מַתַּנְיָה. אַף הפרשה בּּבְּע הַרִיב אַתָּה בַשְׁאוֹ לְנוֹיִשְׁשׁוֹ. אָמֵר רְבִּי מַתַּנְיָה. אַף הפרשה בּּבְּע הַרָּעַב הַדְּעַת.

Rebbi Zachariah asked before Rebbi Immi: Does one act following that Tanna, as it was stated: ⁸⁶ Rebbi Simeon ben Menassiah says, sometimes you are empowered to propose a compromise, sometimes you are not empowered to propose a compromise. How is this? Two come before a judge; before he heard their arguments, or after he heard their arguments but does not know how to correctly apply the law, he may tell them, go and work out a compromise. After you heard their arguments and know how to correctly apply the law, you may not propose a compromise, as it is said⁸⁷: *One may remove the beginning of a quarrel like water; before it becomes manifest, the quarrel may be abandoned.* Before the quarrel became manifest, you are permitted to abandon it; after the quarrel became manifest, you are not

permitted to abandon it." Rebbi Mattaniah said, even compromise needs intelligent decision⁸⁸.

85 Read with the parallel sources: הַפְּשַׁרַה.

86 Tosephta 1:6, Babli 6b.

87 Prov. 17:14.

88 He objects to the statement that the judge should send the parties away to work out a compromise on their own; he requires the judge to guide them towards a reasonable compromise.

(18b line 34) רָבִּי יְהוּדָה בֶּן לָקִישׁ אָמֵר. שְׁנֵים שְׁבָּאוּ אֶל הַדַּיָּן אֶחָד רַדְּ וְאֶחָד חָזָק. עַד שְׁכֹּא שְׁמֵע דַּבְרֵיהֶן רַשַּׁאי לוֹמֵר לָהֶן. אֵינִי נִזְקָק לָכֶם. שְׁמָא יִתְחִייֵב הֶחָזָק וִיהֵא חָזָק אוֹיְבוֹ. מִשְּׁשְׁמַע דַּבַרִיהֵן אֵין רַשָּׁאי לוֹמֵר לָהֶן. אֵינִי נִזְקַק לָכֶם. שְׁנֵאֲמַר לָא תַגֹּוּרוּ מִפְּנֵר אִׁישׁ.

ָרָבָּי יְהוֹשָׁעַ בֶּן קָרְחָה אוֹמֵר. הֲרֵי שָׁהָיָה יוֹשֵׁב אֵצֶל הַדַּייָן וְרָאָה זְכוּת לֶעָנֵי וְחוֹבָה לֶעָשִׁיר. מָנַיִּין שֵׁלֹא יִשׁתּוֹק. שֶׁנֵאֲמֵר לָא תָגוּרוּ מִפָּנֵי־אִׁישׁ. אַל תַּכָנִיס דְּבָרִידְ מִפְּנֵי אִישׁ.

וְיהוּ הַדִּינָנִין יוֹדְעִין אֶת מִי הֵן דָּנִין וְלְפְנֵי מִי הֵן דָנִין. וְיִהְיוּ הָעִדִים יוֹדְעִין אֶת מִי הֵן דָנִין וְלְפְנֵי מִי הֵן דָנִין. וְיִהְיוּ הָעִידִין. לְפְנֵי מִי שֵׁאָמֵר וְהָיָה הָעוֹלְם. שְׁנֶּאֲמֵר וְעָמְדְוּ שְׁנֵי־הָאֲנָשִׁים אֲשֶׁר־לָתֶם הָרִיב לְפְנֵי יִי וְאוֹמֵר אֲלֵהִים נִצְב בַּעֲדַת־אֵל. וְבֵן יְהוֹשְׁפָט אוֹמֵר לַשּוֹפְטִים רְאוֹּ מָה־אַתֶּם עִשְׁים כֵּי לְא לְאָדֶם תִּשְׁפְּטָוּ כִּי לַיִי. וְכִי אֶיפְשַׁר לְבָשֶׁר וְדָם לָדוּן אֶת בּוֹרְאוֹ. אֶלָא אָמֵר הַקְּדוֹשׁ בָּרוּדְּ הוּא. אָנָא אַמְרִית דִּיהֵא לִרְאוּבֵן ק' דִּינָרִים וּלְשִׁמְעוֹן וְלֹא כְלוּם. וְאַתְּ נוֹטְלֵן מִזֶּה וְנוֹתְנֶן לְזֶה. עָלִי לִשְּׁלֶם לוֹ וְלְפָּרֶע מֵאוֹתוֹ הָאִישׁ.

תַּנֵּי. רַבָּן שִׁמְעוֹן בֶּן גַמְלִיאֵל אוֹמֵר. הַדִּין בִּשְׁלשָׁה וּפְשָׁרָה בִשְׁנַיִם. יָבֶּה כֹחַ הַפְּשָׁרָה מִכֹּחַ הַדִּין. שָׁשְׁנַיִם שַׁדָּנוּ יְכוֹלִין לַחֲזוֹר בָּהֵן וּשְׁנַיִם שָׁפִּשְׁרוּ אֵין יְכוֹלִין לַחֲזוֹר בָּהֶן

⁸⁹ Rebbi Jehudah ben Laqish said: If two people appeared before a judge, one decent and one agressive. Before he started to hear their arguments, he may tell them, I will not hear your case, lest the agressive one lose his case and become his enemy. After he started hearing their arguments, he may not tell them, I will not hear your case, for it is said, ⁹⁰ do not be afraid of anybody.

⁹¹"Rebbi Joshua ben Qorha says, if somebody⁹² was sitting next to a judge and saw a benefit for the poor and a detriment for the rich, from where that he should not keep silent? For it is said⁹⁰, *do not be afraid of anybody*.

⁹⁷The judges have to know with Whom they judge, and the witnesses have to know before Whom they are testifying: before Him Who commanded and the world came into existence, as it is said³⁰, *the two people who are quarrelling shall stand before the Eternal*, and it is said⁹³, *the Almighty stands*

in the assembly of the judges. So Josaphat told the judges, look what you are doing, for you do not judge for man, but for the Eternal⁹⁴. How is it possible for flesh and blood to judge his Creator? But the Holy One, praise to Him, said: I said that Reuben should have 100 denars and Simeon nothing. You take from one and give it to the other⁹⁵. I have to repay it to him, and will Myself be paid by this man⁹⁶.

It was stated⁹⁷: "Rabban Simeon ben Gamliel says, judgment is passed by three [judges], a compromise is made by two⁹⁸. The compromise is stronger than the judgment in that two [judges] who judged can repeal their judgment⁹⁹ but two who negotiated a compromise cannot repeal¹⁰⁰.

- 89 Tosephta 1:7, *Tanhuma Mišpatim* 6, *Sheiltot Mišpatim* 58, and in all Medieval quotes; only in Babli 6b both in the Munich ms. and *editio princeps* incorrectly: R. Simeon ben Laqish.
- 90 Deut. 1:17.
- 91 Tosephta 1:8.
- 92 In a similar, anonymous, *baraita* in the Babli (*Ševuot* 31a): A student sitting before his teacher. This probably has to be understood here.
- 93 Ps. 82:1.
- 94 2Chr. 19:6.
- 95 Incorrectly.

- 96 The judge.
- 97 Tosephta 1:9.
- 98 This also is the text of the Babli, 5b. But in the Tosephta: Just as judgment is rendered by three [judges], so compromise is made before three [judges].
- 99 Since is was rendered in regular fashion.
- 100 From the moment a party acquired what was awarded to him by the compromise, since this is a monetary transaction following the rules of *Qiddušin* 1:4-6.

(30 line 50) הָאוֹנֵס וְהַמְפַתָּה כול'. רְבִּי מָנָא אָמַר. בְּנַעֲרָה מְאוֹרָסָה פְּלִיגֵי. רְבִּי מֵאִיר אוֹמֵר. מַפְסֶדֶת בְּתוּבְּתָה בִּשְׁלֹשָׁה וְנִסְקֵלֶת בְּעֶשְׂרִים וּשְׁלֹשָׁה. וַחֲכָמִים אוֹמְרִים. מָקוֹם שְׁנִּסְקֶלֶת שָׁם מַפְסֶדֶת כְּתוּבְּתָה. אֲבָל בְּמוֹצִיא שֵׁם רַע כְּל־עַמָּא מוֹדוּ מְקוֹם שָׁהָעִדִים נִסְקָלִין שָׁם הַבַּעל לוֹקָה וְנוֹתֵן מֵאָה סֶלַע. אָמֵר לֵיהּ רְבִּי יוֹסֵי בֵּירְבִּי בּוּן. הֵן תַּנִּינֶתָהּ פְּלְנָה אַתְּ עֲבִיד פְּלְגִיה. אֶלֶא לוֹקָה וְנוֹתֵן מֵאָה סֶלַע. בְּיִלְינִי. רְבִּי מֵאִיר אוֹמֵר. הַבַּעל לוֹקָה וְנוֹתֵן מֵאָה סֶלַע בִּשְׁלוֹשָׁה. וְרָבָיִין מָרִין. מָקוֹם שֶׁהָעִדִים נִסְקַלִין שָׁם הַבַּעַל לוֹקָה וְנוֹתֵן מֵאָה סֶלַע בִּשְׁלוֹשָׁה. וְרָבָיִי מָמִים וֹשְׁלֹשָׁה. וְרָבְנִין מָרִין. מָקוֹם שֶׁהָעִדִים נִסְקַלִין שָׁם הַבַּעַל לוֹקָה וְנוֹתֵן מֵאָה סְלַע בִּשְׁלִשְׁה וְנוֹתֵן מֵאָה סְלַע בִּיִּלְּהָה וְנוֹתֵן מֵאָה סְלַע בִּשְּׁלִיי, וְרָבִּי מָבְירִבִּי בִּנְבְּי בִּנְבְיה מְאוֹרָסָה בְּל-עַמָּא מוֹדוּ מְקוֹם שֶׁהָּקְלֶת שָׁם מַפְּסֶדֶת כְּתוּבָּתָהּ. וַתְיִיא דְּרְבִּי בּוּן בְּרָבִי בִּוֹ בְּרָבִי בּוּן בְּרָבִי בּוּן בְּרָבִי בִּוּן בְּרָבִי בִּוּן בְּרָבִי בִּעְרָה וֹּדְירָבִי וֹּלְיִה בְּרָבִי בּוּן בְּרָבִי בּוֹ בְּירָבִי בּוּן בְרָבִי בִּוּן בְּרָבִי בִּוּן בְּרָבִי וּלִיה וְעִירָב וּדְּבָּי בְּוֹלְבִי וֹם בְּירְבִי בּוֹן בְּרָבִי בִּוֹבְּבְרִה מִבְּלְרִי שָּבְּל בְּנַבְרָה מְאוֹרְדָּבְי וֹלְיִי בְּרָבִי בּוּן בְּרָבִי אַבְּל בְּבִּי בְּנִרְה בִּי בְּירִבִּי בּוֹך בְּרָבִי אַבְּר בְּי בָּירְבִי בּוֹלְייִי בְּירִבּי בּוּן בְּרָבִי אַבְּרִבּי בּוּן

1 פליגין כ פליגין 2 בעשרים ושלשה | כ בשלשה ועשרים 3 שם | כ שם היא הן תנינתה פלגה את עביד פלגיה | כ פליגין ב פלגיה | כ פליגין הבעל | כ - 6 מרין | כ אמ' 7 ותייא | כ ואתייא

"The rapist and the seducer," etc. ¹⁰²Rebbi Mana said, they disagree about the preliminarily married adolescent female. Rebbi Meïr says, she loses her *ketubah* in a court of three and is stoned in a court of 23; but the Sages say, at the same place which sentences her to be stoned she loses her *ketubah*. But in the case of the calumniator, everybody agrees that by the court in which the witnesses are stoned, the husband is flogged and pays 100 tetradrachmas. Rebbi Yose ben Rebbi Abun said to him, would they differ where it was not stated? But they differ about the calumniator! Rebbi Meïr says, he is flogged and pays 100 tetradrachmas by the judgment of three and the witnesses are stoned by the judgment of 23; but the rabbis say, by the court in which the witnesses are stoned, the husband is flogged and pays 100 tetradrachmas. But in the case of the preliminarily married adolescent female, everybody agrees that at the same place which sentences her to be stoned she loses her *ketubah*. It turns out that Rebbi Mana follows Rebbi Ze'ira and Rebbi Yose ben Rebbi Abun follows Rebbi Abbahu.

101 The *Ketubot* text is intelligible in contrast to the *Sanhedrin* text. The translation is taken from *Ketubot*.

102 This paragraph is from Ketubot 4:4,

explained there in Notes 113-116. The *Ketubot* (**2**) text is original since only there is the disagreement between Rebbis Ze'ira and Abbahu being explained.

(18b line 60) רְבִּי אַבָּהוּ שָׁאַל. שׁזֹר הַנִּסְקֵל כְּרְבִּי מֵאִיר מָהוּ שֶׂיִּתֵן הַכֶּסֶף בִּשְׁלשָׁה וְיִּסְקֵל בְּנְבִי וּשְׁלשָׁה. אָמֵר לֵיהּ רְבִּי יזֹסֵי בֵּירְבִּי בּוּן. שׁזֹר הַנִּסְקֵל כּוּלוֹ מָמוֹן הוּא וּגְזַירַת הַכְּתוּב הָנִשְׁרִים וּשְׁלשָׁה. אָמֵר לֵיהּ רְבִּי יזֹסֵי בֵּירְבִּי בּוּן. שׁזֹר הַנִּסְקֵל כּוּלוֹ מָמוֹן הוּא וּגְזַירַת הַכְּתוּב הוּא שֵׁיִּיּפֶּקֵל.

¹⁰³Rebbi Abbahu asked: Following Rebbi Meïr, should an ox which is stoned ¹⁰⁴ have to pay damages ¹⁰⁵ by a court of three and be stoned by 23? Rebbi Yose ben Rebbi Abun told him, the rules of an ox which is stoned are all about money ¹⁰⁶; it is a decision of the verse that it should be stoned.

103 The paragraph is repeated in Halakhah 4.

104 The animal which killed a human (*Ex*. 21:28).

105 Not the ox but its owner.

106 Since fines and corporal punishment are mutually exclusive (*Terumot* 7:1 Notes 19-70; *Gitțin* 5:5 Note 136), it is clear that stoning the ox is a fine imposed on its owner.

(fol. 17d) **משנה בּ**: מַכּוֹת בִּשְׁלשָׁה מִשּׁוּם רַבִּי יִשְׁמָעֵאל אֱמְרוּ בְּעֶשְׂרִים וּשְׁלשָׁה. עבּוּר הַחֹדֶשׁ בְּשְׁלשָׁה עבּוּר הַשְּׁנָה בִּשְּׁלשָׁה הַבְּרֵי רַבִּי מֵאִיר. רַבָּן שִׁמְעוֹן בֶּן נַּמְלִיאֵל אוֹמֵר בִּשְׁלשָׁה מַתְּחִילִין בְּשְׁלשָׁה מִנּאַרוּ בַשְׁלשָׁה מִעוּבְּרֵת. וּבְּחֵמִשְּׁה נוֹשְׁאִין וְנוֹתְנִין וְגוֹמְרִין בְּשִׁבְעָה. וְאָם נַּמְרוּ בַשְׁלשַׁה מִעוּבְּרֵת.

Mishnah 2: Whipping by three [judges]; in the name of Rebbi Ismael they said, by 23¹⁰⁷. The lengthening of a month by three [judges]¹⁰⁸, the intercalation of a year by three [judges]¹⁰⁹, the words of Rebbi Meïr. Rabban Simeon ben Gamliel says, one starts with three, one discusses with five, and one votes with seven¹¹⁰. But if they voted with three, it is intercalated.

107 The imposition of corporal punishment needs an official court of duly ordained judges. The Mishnah is a reconstruction of what was assumed to be the historic procedure; R. Ismael lived about 70 years after the removal of criminal jurisdiction from Jewish courts and R. Meïr, represented by the anonymous opinion, more than 100 years afterwards. But the prescription of the Mishnah certainly was followed by the autonomous Jewish courts under the Parthians.

108 Whether a thirtieth day should be counted for the current month. Fixing the calendar was the sole privilege of a committee of the Patriarch's court as a successor to the Synhedrion. The current computed calendar was promulgated by such a court in the middle of the Fourth Century (*Eruvin* 3:11 21c 1. 24).

109 In the current calendar, the addition of 30 days to the lunar year, labelled as "First Adar."

110 A committe of three has to decide whether the year be a candidate for intercalation; an enlarged committe of five has to do the detailed computations; a further enlarged committee of seven has to confirm the computations. Intercalated months in the lunar year are necessary to keep Passover in the "month of spring" as required by *Ex.* 13:4.

There is no basic disagreement between R. Meïr and Rabban Simeon, since the latter agrees that in an emergency situation, a duly empowered committee of three is competent. Since Rabban Simeon was the Patriarch of the restauration during the second half of the Second Century, his procedure was the one actually used.

(18b line 63) **הלכה ב**: מַכּוֹת בִּשְׁלֹשָׁה כול'. רְבִּי אַבָּהוּ בָעֵי. מַכּוֹת בְּעֶשְׂרִים וּשְׁלֹשָׁה. בְּעָמִים שַׁמֵּת מִמַּכּוֹתִיו וָהַרִי יֵשׁ בּוֹ דִינֵי נְבָּשׁוֹת.

Halakhah 2: "Whipping by three [judges]," etc. Rebbi Abbahu asked: Should not a sentence of whipping be passed by 23 [judges], since sometimes he might die from the whippings, so that it is a case of potential death sentence¹¹¹.

111 He holds that R. Ismael's position is the only reasonable one. But since the

problem is purely theoretical (Note 32), it is not pursued further.

(18b line 65) בַּר קַפָּרָא שָׁמַע פּוּלְהוֹן מֵהָדָא. יְבֶרְכְךָּ יי וְיִשְׁמְרֶךְּ: מִכֶּן שֻׁמַּתְחִילִין בִּשְׁלֹשָׁה. יָשֶׁא יי פָּנָיוֹ אֵלֶיִדְ וְיָשֵׁם לְךָּ שְׁלוֹם. מִכֶּן יי פָּנָיוֹ אֵלֶיִדְ וִיחֵנֶּדֵ: מִכֶּן שֶׁנוֹשְאִין וְנוֹתְנִין בַּחֲמִשָּׁה. יִשְּׂא יי פָּנָיוֹ אֵלֶיִדְ וְיָשֵׁם לְךָּ שְׁלוֹם. מִכֶּן שַׁגּוֹמֵרִין בַּשָּבַעַה.

רְבִּי יְהוֹשֻׁעַ בֶּן לֵוִי שָׁמַע פּוּלְהוֹן מֵהָדָא. וַיֵּקֵּח רַב־טַבָּהִים אֶת־שְׁלְשֶׁת שְׁמְתֵי הַפַּף: מִבֶּן שֶׁמַתְחִילִין בִּשְׁלֹשָׁה. וַחֲמִשָּׁה אֲנָשִׁים מֵרֹאֵי פְנֵי־הַמֶּּלֶדְּ. מִבֶּן שֶׁנוּשְׁאִין וְנוֹתְנִין בַּחֲמִשָּׁה. וְשִׁבְעָה אַנִשִּׁים מֵרֹאֵי פָנֵי־הַמֵּלֵדְ. מִבּן שָׁגּוֹמִרִין בָּשִׁבַעָה.

אָמַר רָבִּי יוֹנֶתֶן. מִיכָּן לְסַנְהָדְרִין גְּדוֹלָה שְׁלְכָל־יִשְׂרָאֵל. וַיֵּקַח שַׂר הַשַּבְּחִים אֶת־שְׂרָיָה ׁכֹּהֶן הָרִי שְׁנָיָהָרִין גְּדוֹלָה שְׁלְכָל־יִשְׂרָאֵל. וַיֵּקַח שֵׂר הַשַּבְּחִים אֶת־שְׂרָיָה ׁכּהֵּן הָרֵי שְׁעָנִה. וְשִׁשְׁים מֵרֹאֵי פְנֵי־הַמֶּּלֶדְ ֹהֵרִי שִׁעְעָה. וְשִׁשְׁים אִישׁ מֵעֲם הָאֶרֶץ הֲרִי שִׁבְעִים חָסֵר אֶחָד. וּמִן־הָעִיר סָרִּיס אֶחָד. וַיִּקַח רַב־הַשַּבְּחִים אֶת־שְׁלְשֶׁת שְׁמְרֵי הַפַּף וְשִׁבְעָה מֵרֹאֵי פְנֵי־הַמֶּּלֶדְ וְשִׁשִׁים אִישׁ מֵעֲם הָאֶרֶץ. וּמְן־הָעִּיר לָקַח סְרִּיס אֶחָד. הְרֵי שִׁבְּעִים וְאֶחָד. וְלְמָה קוֹרֵיהוּ סָרִיס. שְׁמְסַרֵס אֶת הַהָּלֶרָה. הַהַּלְכָה.

בָּתוב אֶחָד אוֹמֵר חֲמִשָּׁה וְכָתוב אֶחָד אוֹמֵר שִׁבְעָה. לְהָבִיא שְׁנֵי סוֹפְרֵי הַדַּייָנִים.

Bar Qappara understood everything from here: *May the Eternal bless you* and preserve you, from here that one starts with three. *May the Eternal illuminate His Presence for you and be gracious to you*, from here that one continues with five. *May the Eternal turn His Presence to you and give you* peace, from here that one finishes with seven¹¹².

Rebbi Joshua ben Levi understood everything from the following: *The chief executioner took*... the three guards of the threshhold¹¹³, from here that one starts with three. And five men from the king's entourage¹¹⁴, from here that one continues with five. And seven men from the King's entourage¹¹⁵, from here that one finishes with seven¹¹⁶.

Rebbi Jonathan said, from there the great Synhedrion of all of Israel. *The minister of the executioners took Seraiah the Chief Priest and Zephania the priest*¹¹³, these are two. *And seven men from the king's entourage*¹¹⁵, this makes nine. *And sixty men from the People of the Land*¹¹⁷, this makes seventy minus one. *And from the city one eunuch*¹¹⁵, this makes seventy. There are Tannaïm who state seventy-one: *The chief executioner took* . . . the three guards of the threshhold, and seven men from the king's entourage, and sixty men from the People of the Land, and from the city he took one eunuch, this

makes seventy-one. And why is he called a eunuch? Because he transposes practice¹¹⁸.

One verse says *five*, the other verse says *seven*. The latter includes the two clerks of court

112 From the verses of the priestly blessings, *Num*. 6:24-26, which have 3, 5, and 7 words respectively, one may infer that proceeding by 3,5,7 will give a blessed result. In the Babli, 10b, this argument is attributed to early Amoraïm; in *Megillah* 23a it is applied to the number of people called to read from the Torah.

113 Jer. 52:24.

114 2K. 25:19.

115 Jer. 52:25.

116 Cf. Babli 10b. According to Rashi *ad loc.*, the verses describe the members of

king Sedekia's court and therefore are appropriate to the determination of the calendar which is a governmental exercise.

117 2K. 25:19, Jer. 52:25.

118 In rabbinic Hebrew, סרט "to castrate" is also used in the sense of "to transpose words or letters". While in the verse he is described as minister of defense, he is taken here as president of the court who can transpose precedents to apply to other cases. Cf. Tosafot *Megillah* 21b, s. v. בנגד.

(3 line 3) לֵית כָּאן עִיבּוּר הַחוֹדֶשׁ אֶלֶּא קִידּוּשׁ הַחוֹדֶשׁ. שְׁמוּאֵל אָמַר. אֵין קִידּוּשׁ הַחוֹדֶשׁ פֶּחוּת מֵצְשָׂרָה. חֲבֵירִים מָהוּ לִיפָּנֵס לְקִידּוּשׁ הַחוֹדֶשׁ. אָמַר רְבִּי הוֹשַׁעְיָה. חָבֵר הַוִּינֶא וְאַצְלִי רְבִּי שְׁחוֹדֶשׁ בַּחוֹדֶשׁ וְלִי נָא יְדַע אִין סַלְקִית מִמְּנְייָנָא אִין לָא. פְּשִׁיטָא דְלָא סְלִיק. לְמָה. בְּגִין דַּהֲיָה חֲתָנֵיה. אוֹ מִשׁוּם שָׁאֵין חֲבֵירִין נִכְנָסִין לְקִידּוּשׁ הַחוֹדֶשׁ. אָמָר רְבִּי סְלִיק. לְמָה. בְּגִין דַּהֲיָה חֲתָנֵיה. אוֹ מִשׁוּם שָׁאֵין חֲבֵירִין נִכְנָסִין לְקִידּוּשׁ הַחוֹדֶשׁ. אָמָר רְבִּי כַּנְסִין לִקִידּוּשׁ הַחוֹדָשׁ. הָדָא אֱמְרָה כַּבְּיסִין לִקִידּוּשׁ הַחוֹדשׁ.

חֲבֵירִים מָהוּ לִיכָּנֵס לְעִיבּוּר שָׁנָה. נִישְׁמְעִינָהּ מֵהְדָא. מַעֲשֶׁה בְּרַבָּן גַּמְלִיאֵל שֶׁאָמַר. יִקְרוּנִי שִׁבְּירִים מָהוּ לִיכָּנֵס לְעִיבּוּר שָׁנָה. נִישְׁמְעִינָהּ מֵהְדָא. מַעֲשֶׁה בְּרְשׁוּת. עָמַד שְׁמוּאֵל הַקְּטֶן שַׁלִּא בִּרְשׁוּת. עַל רַגְלָיו וְאָמֵר. אֲנִי עַלִיתִי שָׁלֹא בִּרְשׁוּת. הַלְּכָה נִצְרְכָה לִי וְנִבְנַסְתִּי לִשְׁאוֹל עַלֶיהָ. אָמֵר לוֹ רַבָּן עַל רַגְלָיו וְאָמֵר. אֲנִי עַלִיתִי שֶׁלֹא בִרְשׁוּת. הַלְכָה נִצְרְכָה לִי וְנִבְנַסְתִּי לְשְׁאוֹל עַלֶיהָ. אָמֵר לוֹ רַבָּן גַּמְלִיאֵל. וּמָה אֶלְדָּד וּמֵידָד שָׁכָּל־יִשְׂרָאֵל יוֹדְעִים שְׁאֵילוּ הֵן שְׁנֵים אָמַרְתִּי שְׁאַתָּה אֶחָד מֵהֶן. נַאֲבִילוּ כֵן לֹא עִיבְּרוּהָ בְּיוֹמָא דְבַתְּרָא.

There is no lengthening of the month, but sanctification of the month¹¹⁹. Samuel says, sanctification of the month needs no less than ten [participants]¹²⁰. Should Fellows ¹²¹ be able to enter for the sanctification of the month? Rebbi Hoshaia said, I was a Fellow and Rebbi Samuel bar Rav Isaac took me to the sanctification of the month, but I do not know whether I was counted or not. It is obvious that he was not counted ¹²², but why? Was it

because he was his son-in-law¹²³, or because Fellows are nor able to enter for the sanctification of the month? Rebbi Cahana said, I was a Fellow when Rebbi Tanhum bar Hiyya took me to the sanctification of the month and I was counted. This implies that Fellows enter for the sanctification of the month.

Are Fellows able to enter for the intercalation of the year? Let us hear from the following: 124 It happened that Rabban Gamliel said, call seven Elders to a meeting on the upper floor, but eight entered. He asked, who is the one who entered without permission? Samuel minor 125 stood up and said, I came up here without permission since I have a practical problem and I have to ask advice on it. Rabban Gamliel told him, you compare to Eldad and Medad; if I had said "two", you would have been one of them. Nevertheless, they did not intercalate on that day but talked about themes in the Torah and intercalated the next day 126.

119 Babli 10b. In the absence of a computed calendar, the lengthening of the month is automatic. If the Synhedrion does not declare the 30th of a month as the 1st of the next, the 31st automatically is the first of the next month since no lunar month has more than 30 days. Therefore, action by the Synhedrion is needed not to lengthen, but to shorten the month and to designate the 30th as a semi-holiday.

120 To make it a public event. In contrast to the intercalation of the year, the determination of the month has to be made public as soon as possible.

121 Members of the Academy who are pledged to follow the rules of fellowship (cf. Introduction to Tractate *Demay*) but have not yet reached the status of rabbi.

122 If the chair of the meeting had asked him for his opinion, he would have remembered.

123 Since relatives are barred from sitting together as judges and R. Hoshaia was R. Samuel's son-in-law, he could not participate even if qualified.

124 Babli 11a.

125 He is called "minor" because of all people called Samuel only the prophet of the same name reached a higher state of holiness

126 To protect the secrecy of the algorithm with which the years of intercalation were computed. This supports the contention of the Yerushalmi Gaon Eviatar haCohen in his Epistle that during the entire time of the Second Temple the calendar was computed and testimony about the new moon was only used as confirmation of the computation (M. Gil, *Palestine during the first Moslem period*, vol. 2, Tel Aviv 1983).

(18c line 17) תַּנֵּי סַנְהֶדְרִין שֶׁרָאוֹ אֶת הַהוֹרֵג. אִית תַּנָּיֵי תַנֵּי. שְׁנַיִם נַעֲשִׂין עֵדִים וּמֵעִידִין בִּפְנֵי הַשְּׁאָר. אִית תַּנָיֵי תַנֵּי. כּוּלָן עֵדִים וּמֵעִידִין בִּפְנֵי אֲחֵרִים. רְבִּי יְהוּדָה בַּר פָּזִי בְשֵׁם רְבִּי זְעִירָה. כִּשֵּׁם שֵׁחוֹלִקִין כַּאן כַּדְּ חוֹלִקִין בַּעִדוּת הַחוֹדִשׁ.

וִיקוּם חַד וִיתִּיב חַד וִיתִּיב חַד וִיתִּיב חַד. שַׁנְייָא הִיא שֶׁאֵין הָעֵד נַעֲשֶׂה דַייָן. רַב הוּנָא הְוָה יָדַע שַּׂהֲדוּ לְחַד בַּר נַשׁ. אֲזַל בָּעֵי מֵידוֹן קוֹמֵיהּ וְכָפַר בֵּיהּ. אָמֵר רְבִּי שְׁמוֹאֵל בַּר רַב יִצְחָק. בְּגִין דְּאַתְּ יְנֵע דְּרִבִּי הוּנָא אִינְשָׁא רַבָּה אַתְּ כְּפַר בֵּיהּ. מָהוּ דְינֵזִיל וְיִסְהוֹד עֲלֶדְ קוֹמֵי בֵית דִּין חוֹרוֹן. אֲמֵר לֵיהּ רַב הוּנָא. וְעֲבָדִין כֵּן. אֲמֵר לֵיהּ. אִין. וְשֶׁרָא רַב הוּנָא גַרְמֵיהּ מִן הַהוּא דִינִא וַאֲזַל וָאַסְהִיד קּוֹמֵי בַּית דִּין חוֹרוֹן.

1 רב הונא | ר כהדא רב חונה 2 קומיה | ר קומוי אמ' | ר אמ' ליה 3 דר' הונא אינשא רבא | ר דרב חונא בר נשא רבא מהו דייזיל | ר מה אילו ייזיל 3 ויסהוד | ר וישהד 4 הונא | ר חונה (פעמים) 5 ואסהיד | ר וושהיד חורון | ר חורן

¹²⁷It was stated: If the Synhedrion¹²⁸ saw the killer. Some Tannaïm state: two of them become witnesses and testify before the rest of them¹²⁹. There are Tannaïm who state that they all are witnesses and have to go and testify before other [judges]¹³⁰. Rebbi Jehudah ben Pazi in the name of Rebbi Ze`ira: As they differ here, so they differ about testimony for the New Moon¹³¹.

difference because a witness cannot be a judge¹³⁴. Rav Huna knew testimony for somebody. He¹³⁵ came and wanted to have the suit judged before Rav Huna, and denied all. Rebbi Samuel ben Rav Isaac told him, because you know that (Rebbi) [Rav]¹³⁶ Huna is an important person¹³⁷ you deny everything. What if Rav Huna went and testified against you in another court? Rav Huna asked him, does one do that? He answered, yes. Rav Huna recused himself from that suit, went, and testified before another court¹³⁸.

127 This paragraph has parallels in *Roš Haššanah* 3:1, *Sotah* 9:1 (Notes 18-19). The two are copies of one another; the text here is a reformulation.

128 In the other sources, "a court". The text here is influenced by the sequel which deals with a court empowered to treat calendar matters, the Synhedrion.

129 After addition of another two judges to complete the required number of judges.

130 They hold that a witness is automatically disqualified as a judge since he has an opinion about the case before the start of the proceedings.

131 Whether members of the Synhedrion who saw the New Moon may act as witnesses before their colleagues.

132 This paragraph is a parallel to the text in *Roš Haššanah* 3:1 (58d l.2), noted **7**.

133 This refers to Mishnah Roš Haššanah3:1 which prescribes that if three members

of the Court saw the New Moon, one of them may sit with two others as a court and the other two who saw the New Moon appear as witnesses before them. The question arises, why does one have to call two others; would one not be sufficient if alternatingly one stands up as a witness and one sits down as a judge.

134 Even if one does not hold that a potential witness be automatically disqualified as a judge, he must hold that once a person acted as a witness he is disqualified as a judge.

135 Rav Huna knew testimony for a creditor. The debtor required that the suit be heard in Rav Huna's court. He knew that

Rav Huna, as judge, could not act as witness and was prevented from using his knowledge in his judgment. He thought it was safe to deny any debt.

136 The correct title is in 7.

137 As Rav's successor, he was Chief Judge of all courts under the jurisdiction of the Academy of Sura. The debtor assumed that a Chief Judge could not appear in a lower court.

138 This decides practice. A judge who knows of the case has to disqualify himself and appear as a witness in another court. The Babli agrees, *Roš Haššanah* 25b, *Bava qamma* 90b.

(30 line 26) תַּנֵּי רָבִּי שָׁמְעוֹן בֶּן יוֹחַי. וְקְדַּשְׁעֶּׁם אֵת שְׁנַת הַחֲמִשִּׁים שֻׁנָּה. שְׁנִים אַתָּה מְקַדֵּשׁ הַלֹּא חֲדָשִׁים. וְהָתַנִּינֶן. רֹאשׁ בֵּית דִּין אוֹמֵר. מְקוּדָשׁ. מָהוּ מְקוּדָשׁ. מְקוּיִים. תַּנֵּי. לְמִידּוּשׁ הַחוֹדֶשׁ מַתַּחִילִין מִנְּדוֹל. אָמֵר רְבִּי חִייָה בֵּר אָדָא. מַתְנִיתָּא אֱמְרָה כֵּן. רֹאשׁ בֵּית דִּין אוֹמֵר. מְקוּדְשׁ. מַתְּיִילִּין מִנְּדִּשׁ. תְּבִּי חִינָה בְּר אָדָא. וְהָהֵן בִּיתָא דְלְרַע לָא נְהִיגִּוּ בַּן וְלָא שְׁמִע דָּמֵר רַבִּי חִוֹיָדֶשׁ מַתְחִילִין מִנְּדוֹל. לְעִיבּוּר שְׁנָה מַתְחִילִין מִן הַצֵּד. וּכְבֶּר נִכְּנַס רְבִּי יוֹחָנֶן וְהָנָה קַטְּן בְּחִיּיִם. אֶמְרוּ לוֹ. אֱמוֹר. הָרֵי הַשְּׁנָה מְקּיִּשְׁת בְּעִיבּוּר. אָמֵר. הָבֵי יוֹחָנֶן וְהָיָה קַטְּן בְּעִיבּוּרה. אָמֵר רְבִּי יוֹנֶתוֹן. רְבִּי יוֹחָנֶן הַנְּצָּח. אִילּוּ אָמָר. בְּעִיבּוּרָה. הָּמִים שְׁלְשִׁים יוֹם. בְּנִי מִּלְּוֹן שְׁלִּימְדָנוּ בֶּן הַנַּבְּח. אִילּוּ אָמָר. בְּעִיבּוּרָה. הָּמִים שְׁלִשִׁים יוֹם. בְּנִיבּוּרה. אָמֵר רְבִּי יוֹנֶתוֹן. רְבִּי יוֹחָנֶן וְהַנִּשְׁת בְּעִיבּוּר. שְׁהִוֹסְיפוּ לַהְ הַנְּחָבְּשׁ בְּעִבּוּרה. אָמָר רִבִּי יוֹנֶתוֹן. רְבִּי יִשְׁעָן שְׁלְּעִים וֹוֹם בְּר אָחָא רְבִּי יַשָּא בְשִׁם רְבִּי יוֹחָנֶן וְבִּי שְׁלִּעִים יוֹם. בְּר אָחָא רְבִּי יַשָּא בְשִׁם רְבִּי יוֹחָנֶן וְרִבּי הַנְיִינְה בְּר אָחָא רְבִּי יַשְּא בְּעִיבּוּר הוֹלְכִין אַחֵר הַבְּי אָחָא רְבִּי יַשְּא בְּעִם בּר הִוֹנְעִן הוֹת בִּי בִּי מִשְׁן וְרָבִי הַבְּי יוֹחָנֵן וְרִבּי חֲנִעִיה בְּר אָחָא וְעָל רְבִּי יַעְקוֹב בַּר אָחָא רְבִּי לְבִּי בְּבִי מְשְׁר בִּר אָחָא רְבִּי בְּבָּי מִוֹנְעִד הֹּיִבְי בְּיִבְים בְּר אִחָם, רְבִּי הַנְּי בְּיבְּר בְּרָ יִבְים לְבִּי בְּיִבְי לְנִבּין בְּי בְּר אָחָא וְעָל רְבִּי יַעֲקּוֹב בַּר אָחָא א בְּבִי בְּי מְעִבּי בְּי בְּילְ מְשְר בִּי בְּי בְּי בְּיב בָּר אָחָא בְּבִי בְּיב בְּר אָחָא בְבִי בְּיב בְּר אָחָא בְּבֵר בְּי בְּרָב בְּר אָחָא בְּבִי בְּעִיבוּ בְּר בְּב בְּר אָחָא בְּי בְּבְּר בְּר בְּר אָחָא בְּבִר בָּי מְלְּיב בְּר אָחָא בְּב בְּב בְּרְב בָּר בְּבְּי בְּי בְּבְּר בְּיבְיבְּר בְּיב יִבְּיבְּב בְּר בְּיתְים בְּב בְּי מְּבְּי בְּי

1 יוחי | ר יוחי או' אתה מקדש | ר מקדשין 2 ולא | ר ואין מקדשין והתנינן | ר והא תנינן 3 מגדול | ר מן הגדול 4 החודש | ר השנה ביתא | ר בייתא 5 מרייא | ר מדייא ור' יונה ור ר' יונה ר' בא בר חייה | ר בא ר' חייה בשם ר' חייה 6 לעיבור | ר לקידוש שנה | ר השנה 7 והיה קטן שבכולם | ר והוא היה הקטן שבהן 8 בעיבורה | ר בעיבור 9 לבנה | ר הלבנה בכל שנה יום | ר יום ועיבורה 11 הרגל | ר הרגיל שיש | ר שיה א אחד | ר אחד ואחד וחותם | ר יוריש לקיש חותמין | ר ור' שמעון בן לקיש חתמין הרגיל שיש | ר שיא אחד | ר אחד ואחד וחותם | ר יוריש לקיש חותמין | ר ור' שמעון בן לקיש חתמין

¹³⁸Rebbi Simeon ben Iohai stated: You shall sanctify the Fiftieth Year¹⁴⁰. You shall sanctify years but not months¹⁴¹. But did we not state¹⁴²: "The president of the court says: sanctified"? What means sanctified? Confirmed¹⁴³. It was stated: For sanctifying months one starts¹⁴⁴ with the most senior person. Rebbi Hiyya bar Ada said, the Mishnah says this: "The president of the court says: sanctified." It was stated, for intercalation of the (month) [year]¹⁴⁵ one starts from the side¹⁴⁶. Rebbi Zebida said, but this lower house¹⁴⁷ do not proceed in this way, for they did not hear what Rebbi Hivya bar Marius¹⁴⁸ and Rebbi Jonah, Rebbi Abba bar Hivva said in the name of Rebbi Johanan: For lengthening the month one starts with the most senior person, for intercalating the year one starts from the side. When Rebbi Johanan was participating as the most junior person, they told him, say: "this year is sanctified in its intercalation." He said, "this year is sanctified in intercalation." Rebbi Jonathan said, look at the language which the smith's son 149 taught us. If he had said, "in its intercalation," I would have said that this refers to the eleven days by which the solar year exceeds the lunar one, but [he said] "in intercalation", that the Sages added thirty days to it 150. Rebbi Jacob bar Aha, Rebbi Yasa in the name of Rebbi Johanan: For intercalation one follows the date of ordination. In the Academy one follows usage; each one gives his opinion at his place and sums up. For example, Rebbi Hanina¹⁵¹ started, Rebbi Johanan and Rebbi Simeon ben Lagisch summed up. Rebbi Abba bar Zavda started, Rebbi Yasa and Rebbi Immi¹⁵² summed up. Rebbi Haggai started, Rebbi Jonah and Rebbi Yose summed up. Rebbi Cahana was ordained before Rebbi Jacob bar Aha, but Rebbi Rebbi Jacob bar Aha participated in intercalation before Rebbi Cahana was invited. He said, the person who formulated the tradition does not respect it for himself¹⁵³.

139 This and the following paragraphs are copied from *Roš Haššanah* 2:6 (7), which is the original source.

140 Lev. 25:10.

141 The "sanctification of the month" (Note 119) really is a sanctification of the

Day of the New Moon (*Num.* 28:11-15), not of the entire month. But the Jubilee year itself is holy.

In the Babli 10b, this is in the name of R. Eliezer or R. Eleazar; in *Roš Haššanah* 8b in the name of "the rabbis."

- 142 Mishnah Roš Haššanah 2:8.
- 143 The day is confirmed as one of additional religious services.
- 144 Polling the judges' opinions.
- 145 The text in brackets, from *Roš Haššanah*, is the correct one. The *Sanhedrin* text, in parentheses, is a scribal error.
- 146 The senior judge sat in the middle, the most junior judge sat on the last chair to his left or right. One starts polling the most junior judge.
- 147 The Patriarch's court, the only one empowered to determine the calendar, was held in low esteem by him.
- 148 It is impossible to determine whether מדייא or מדייא is the correct reading.

- 149 R. Johanan bar Nappaha, "son of the bellows-blower."
- 150 Any intercalary year has an additional month of 30 days; in the computed calendar this is Adar I.
- 151 He probably is R. Hinena, the student of R. Johanan in Tiberias, not R. Hanina, his teacher at Sepphoris
- 152 This is the correct text, not "R. Hiyya, R. Yasa, and R. Immi" as in *Roš Haššanah* since R. Hiyya (bar Abba), while also a student of R. Yasa, is not known to have participated in an Academy with him.
- 153 By his own teaching in the name of R. Johanan, he should have insisted that R. Cahana be invited first.

(18c line 44) רְבִּי חִייָה בָּר ווָה הֲנָה קָאִים מַצְלֵי. אָעֵל רַב כַּהֲנָא וְקֶם לֵיהּ מִן חוֹרֵי מַצְלֵי. חֲסַל רָב כַּהֲנָא וְקָם לֵיהּ מִן חוֹרֵי מַצְלֵי. חֲסַל רְבִּי חִייָה וִיתִּיב לֵיהּ בְּגִין דְּלָא מֵיעֲבָר קוֹמוֹי. שֶׁרֵי רַב כַּהֲנָא מַאֲרִידְּ בִּצְלוּתִיהּ. כֵּיוָן דַּחֲסַל אֲמֵר. כֵּן אַתּוּן נְהִגִּין לִצְעוֹרֵי רַבְּכוֹן. אֲמֵר לֵיהּ. אֲנָא מִבֵּית עֵלִי קַאֲתִינָא. דְּכְתִיב בָּהוּ אֶם־יִתְּכַּבֵּּר עֲןֹן בַּתִיעִלְי בְּלִי עֲלוֹי וְזָכָה בֵּית־עַלֵּי בְּלֵי בְּלִי עֲלוֹי וְזָכָה בִּית־עַלֵּי בְּלֵי בְּלִי עֲלוֹי וְזָכָה לָמִיסָב עַד דְּאִיתִעַבְּדוֹן טוּפְרוֹי סוּמִקִין כְּהָדָא רַקְּקָה.

1 ווא|ר בא רב|ר ר' מן חורי מצלי|ר מצלי מן אחורויי חסל|ר מן דחסל 2 ר' חייה|ר ר' חייה בר בא מן צלותיה ויתיב|ר יתיב מיעבר|ר מיעבור שרי רב כהנא|ר ר' כהנא כיון|ר מן אמר|ר ר' כהנא אמ' ליה 3 כן|ר הכין נהגין לצעורי רבכון|ר נהיגין גביכון מצערין רברביכון ליה|ר ליה. ר'. מבית עלי קאתינא|ר מדבית עלי דבתיב בהו|ר וכתיב על בית עלי 4 ובמנחה |ר ובמנחה עד עולם מתכפר|ר מתכפר לו (×2) 5 טופרוי סומקין |ר טפרוי סומוקין רקקה|ר דקקה

154Rebbi Hiyya bar Abba was standing praying. Rav¹⁵⁵ Cahana came and stood behind him in prayer. When Rebbi Hiyya had finished, he sat down in order not to walk by him. Rav Cahana spent a long time praying. After he finished, he told him, is that your way to make your teacher suffer? He told him, I am a descendant of the House of Eli, of whom it is written¹⁵⁶: *if the sin of the House of Eli would be atoned for by sacrifice and offering*. By sacrifice and offering it cannot be atoned for, but it can be atoned for by prayer¹⁵⁷. He prayed for him, he reached old age while his fingernails remained red like those of a small child¹⁵⁸.

154 This paragraph is inserted only because R. Cahana, a very minor figure, was mentioned before and there was a story known about him. In the Babli, *Berakhot* 27a, it is told that R. Jeremiah bar Abba was praying behind Rav, and that Rav remained immovable until R. Jeremiah had finished praying, from which it is inferred that one may not walk by a person standing in silent prayer.

155 The person here must be *Rebbi* Cahana, as correctly identified in *Roš Haššanah*, not one of the *Rav* Cahanas mentioned in the Babli, one of which was a student (and possibly stepson) of Rav, one generation before R. Hiyya bar Abba, and the other a contemporary of Rav Ashi, five

generations later. Nevertheless, this *Rebbi* Cahana is characterized as a Babylonian, first by his use of the prefix p for the present participle and also because only Babylonian families of priests trace their family back to the priest Eli of Shiloh, whose male descendants are cursed to die young.

156 IS. 3:14.

157 In the Babli, *Roš Haššanah* 18a, the verse is applied to the family of Rabba bar Nahmani, who could prolong life by Torah study and charity work.

158 Translation of the text of \mathbf{n} , הקקה, rather than the text here, הקקה "exceedingly thin".

(18c line 50) רֵישׁ לָקִישׁ אַקְדְּמוֹן לֵיהּ חַד סָב בְּעִיבּוּר וְאַעְלוּנֵיהּ מִן הַהוּא תַּרְעָא דְּלְהוֹ. אָמַר. בֶּן יְהֵא בִשְׂכָרְן. וְלָא שְׁמִיעַ דָּמַר רִבִּי קְרִיסְפְּדָא בְשׁם רְבִּי יוֹחָנֶן. מֵעֲשֶׂה וְעִיבְּרוּ אֶת הַשְּׁנָה שְׁלְשָׁה רוֹצֵי בָּקָר. חֲד אָמֵר. בְּכִיר וְלָקִישׁ בְּאֲדָר מִינֶץ. וְחַד אָמֵר. הְּצְירָיָה יָמוּת וּבְטוּל הֵּשְׁכָּה מִשְׁכֵּיה. וְנָן חַמְיִין הָדֵין שְׁתָּא תִּשְׁכֵּיה. וְנָן חַמְיִין הָדֵין שְׁתָּא תִּשְׁכֵּיה חַדְּי אָמָר. קִדוּם בְּאֲדָר פַּח בְּלוֹעַדְּ יִפּוּק לְקִיבְּלִיהּ. וַנָּן חַמְיִין הָדֵין שְׁתָּא וְלִית בָּה חַד מִינְּהוֹן. וְעִיבְּרוּ הַשְּׁנָה עַל פִּיהֶם. אָמָר רְבִּי חֶלְבוֹ וּבִית דִּין הִסְכִּים עִמְּהֶן. וְלֹא כֵּן אָמֵר רְבִּי זְעִירָא. וְהוּא שָׁיָּהוּ הַכֹּל מוֹדִין מִצֵּד אֶחָד. בַּיָן דְּאִילֵין מוֹדִין לְאִילֵין וְאִילֵין לְאִילֵין לְאִילֵין מְשָׁדָד אָחָד.

1 ריש | ר ר' שמעון בן בעיבור | ר לעיבורא דלהן | ר דלהל 2 דמר | ר דאמ' קריספדא | ר קריספא | ר קריספא | ר שעיברו את | ר 3 חד | ר חד מינון ולקיש | ר לקיש וחד | ר וחורנה 4-3 תור באֲדָר ... קדום באֲדָר | ר משכיה | ר בעריה | ר בעדרייה ובטול | ר ובטל ישלח משכיה | ר משכיה ישלח פח בלועך | ר פוח לוחיך יפוק | ר ופוק לקיבליה | ר לקובליה ונן | ר ואגן הדון | ר 5 לית | ר דלית השנה | ר את השנה ובית דין הסכים בית דין ולא | ר לא 6 זעירא | ר זעורה והוא | ר והן הכל מודין מצד | ר כולם מורין מטעם כיון | ר מכיון מודין | ר מורין לאילין | ר מודין מאלין | ר שכולן מורין מטעם

They preferred an old man to Rebbi Simeon ben Laqish for intercalation but had to remove him by the other door¹⁵⁹. He said, this is their reward¹⁶⁰. Did he not hear what Rebbi Crispus¹⁶¹ said in the name of Rebbi Johanan: It happened that the year was intercalated by three cow hands. One said, in Adar, early and late grain¹⁶² sprout together. One said, in Adar the ox will die

naked¹⁶³ and try to strip off his hide under the fig tree. One said, if in Adar the East wind¹⁶⁴ blows, open your jaws and go towards it. And we see that this year nothing of these applies. They intercalated the year on their word. Rebbi Helbo said, because the Court agreed with them¹⁶⁵. Rebbi Ze`ira said, but they all have to agree to the same reason¹⁶⁶. But since they all agree with one another it was that they all agreed as to the reason¹⁶⁷.

159 He turned out not to have the required qualifications.

160 The embarassment of having to remove a member of the Court is deserved punishment for the powers-that-be who neglected R. Simeon ben Laqish.

161 The form קריטפדא is Babylonian. The story is anonymous in Babli 18b.

162 Barley and wheat.

163 In Roš Haššanah בעדרייה "in its herd". In Adar (about March) sometimes it is cold and cattle might die; sometimes it is hot.

cattle look for shade under trees and rub themselves against them as if they tried to remove their hides.

164 The start of the Hamsin season.

165 The cow hands might have provided the arguments but the action was the Court's; the story is irrelevant for R. Simeon ben Laqish's complaint.

166 How could they follow the cow hands if each of them gave a different reason?

167 All three of them agreed to all three reasons.

(18c line 59) וְרֵישׁ לָקִישׁ מַקְפִּיד עַל הָדָא מִילְתָא. חָשַׁשׁ עַל מַה דָמַר רְבִּי לֶגְיָר. דָּמַר רְבִּי לֵגְיָר. דָּמַר רְבִּי לֵגְיָר. וְהָיְתָה יָדִי עַל הַנְּבִיאִים הַחֹזִים שַׁוְאֹ בְּסְוֹד עַמֵּי לֹא־יִהְיֹּוּ זָה עִיבּוּר רְבִּרְתָב בֵּית־יִשְׂרָאֵל לֹא יָבָּוֹאוּ זָה אֶרֶץ יִשְׂרָאֵל. רְבִּי לֵגְיָר כַּד סְלַק הָכָא לְא יִבּוֹאוּ זָה אֶרֶץ יִשְׂרָאֵל. רְבִּי לֵגְיָר כַּד סְלַק הָכָא אֲמֵר. הָא גַבַּיי חְדָא. כַּד מְנוּנֵיהּ אֲמֵר. הָא גַבַּיי הְּרֵי. כַּד אָעַל לְעִיבּוּרָא אֲמֵר. הָא גַבַּיי הְּלָת. 1 ריש וּרר' שמעון בן מקפִיד וְר מקפד על מה דמר | ר להיא דאמ' דמר | ר דאמ' 2 בסוד | ר וְהַקּסְמִים כּּכְּלֵב בְּסְוֹד עיבור | ר סוד העיבור 3 ר' לעזר | ר ואמ' ר' לעזר סלק הכא אמ' | ר סלקית להכא אמרית \$ בּיי מנוניה אמ' | ר מנוני אמרית גביי תרי | ר גבי תרתיי אעל | ר עלית אמ' | ר אמרית גביי תלת | ר תלתיהון גבי

Why was Rebbi Simeon ben Laqish offended by this? He was worried about what Rebbi Eleazar said, since Rebbi Eleazar said¹⁶⁸: My hand will be against the prophets who see . . . vain things, in my people's council¹⁶⁹ they shall not be; that is [the secret of]¹⁷⁰ intercalation; in the documents of the House of Israel they will not be inscribed; that is ordination; and to the earth of Israel they shall not come; that is the Land of Israel. When Rebbi Eleazar came here, he said, I have one. When they ordained him, he said, I have two.

When he was asked to participate in the intercalation, he said, I have all three [with me]¹⁷⁰.

168 Ez. 13:9. In the Bali, Ketubot 112a.
169 Identifying biblical שמד "council" with rabbinic מדד "secret".

170 From the text in Ros Hassanah.

(18c line 64) רְבִּי בָּא בַּר זַבְדָּא בְשֵׁם רַב. טַעֲמָא דְּרְבִּי לֶעְזָר בֵּירְבִּי צָדוֹק בְּשָׁעָה שֶׁרוֹאִין בֵּית דִּין שַׁלְמַעֵלן, דִּין שַׁלְמַטֵּן שַׁמִקדּשִׁין אוֹתוֹ גִּם הם מקדּשִׁין אוֹתוֹ.

Rebbi Abba bar Zavda in the name of Rav: The reason of Rebbi Eleazar ben Rebbi Sadoq: When the Heavenly Court sees that the earthly Court sanctifies it, they also sanctify it¹⁷¹.

171 This is a slightly garbled reformulation of the corresponding paragraph in *Roš Haššanah*; it has no relevance here. The reference is to Mishnah *Roš Haššanah* 2:8 where Rebbi Eleazar ben Rebbi Sadoq says that the New Moon after a month of 30 days

does not need sanctification by the Court since it was sanctified by Heaven. In *Roš Haššanah* one reads: When the Heavenly court sees that the Earthly Court *did not* sanctify (the 30th day), they (automatically) sanctify (the 31st day.)

(66 line 66) רָבִּי לֶעֶזֶר בְּשֵׁם רְבִּי חֲנִינָה. מֵעֲשֶׂה בְּעֶשְׁרִים וְאַרְבַּע קְרִיּוֹת שֶׁלְבֵית רְבִּי שֶׁנָּכְנְסוּ לְעַבֵּר שְׁנָה בְלוֹד וְנִכְנְסָה בָּהֶן עַיִּן רַע וָמֵתוּ כּוּלֶם בְּפֶּרֶק אֶחָד. מֵאוֹתָהּ שָׁעָה עַקְרוּהָ מִיהּיְדָה וּקְבָּעוֹהָ בַּנְּלִיל. בְּעֵיּוֹן מִיעֲקֵר אַף אָהֵן סֵימָנָא. אֲמֵר לוֹן רְבִּי סִימוֹן. אֵיוֹ אָנוּ מַנִּחִין בִּיהוּדָה וְזִימְנִין מִיְּהוּדָה וְזִימְנִין מִיְּהוּדָה וְזִימְנִין מִיְּהוּדָה וְזִימְנִין מִיְּהוּדָה וְזִימְנִין מִיְּהוּדָה וְזִימְנִין מִיְּהוּדָה וְזִימְנִין מִיְּרָה בְּצֵעְלָת הַרֵי מָבְיתוֹ וּבְּעֻלֶּת הַבְּעֻלָּת הַרִי הֵן מִיְּהוּדָה וְשְׁדוֹת מִדְּן. רְבִּי יִרְמְיָה בְּעָא קּוֹמֵי רְבִּי זְעִירָא. וְלוֹד לָאוֹ הַשְּנִה הִיא. אָמֵר לֵיהּ אִין. וּמִפְּנִי מַה אֵין מְעַבְּרִין בָּה. אָמֵר לִיהּ. שְׁהַוֹּ נְפִּי רוּחַ וּמְעוּטֵי מִנְיהוּה הִיא. אָמֵר לֵיהּ. אָמָר רְבִיה אִיּא וְבְּי יוּדָה בֵּן פָּיִי. אָמַר לִיהּ. אִיטָא עַבַדְתָּנִי מְבַּיָּה רָבָּוֹן תִּרָּבִי יוּדָה בֵּן פָּיִי. אָמַר לִיהּ. אִיטָא עַבַדְתָּנִי מְבָּיָה רָבָּוֹן תִּרָי הַבְּיִּוֹם בִּיִּהְהָּוֹם בְּיִּהְהָּה בְּבְּיִלִי הְבִּי יוּדָה בֵּן פְּיִי. אָמֵר לִיהּ. אִיטָא עַבַדְתָּנִי מְבַּיָּה רָבִּין הִיּה הִיּוּ

Rebbi Eleazar in the name of Rebbi Hanina. It happened that 24 people appointed by the House of Rebbi entered to intercalate the year at Lydda when an evil eye entered with them and they all died at the same time. From that time on they removed it from Judea and established it in Galilee. They also wanted to remove the signal¹⁷². Rebbi Simon told them, do we not even want to leave a remembrance in Judea? But we find that they intercalated the year at Ba'alat. This Ba'alat is sometimes [mentioned as being] in Judea,

sometimes in Dan. *Elteqe, and Gibbeton, and Ba`alat* are from Judea¹⁷³. *Ba`alah, and Iyyim, and Asem*¹⁷⁴ are from Dan, and we find that they intercalated the year at Ba`alat. Let us say that the houses were in Judea and the fields in Dan. Rebbi Jeremiah asked before Rebbi Ze`ira: Is Lydda not in Judea¹⁷⁵? He answered, yes. Then why does one not intercalate there? He told him, because they are coarse of spirit and have little learning¹⁷⁶. He turned his face and saw Rebbi Aha and Rebbi Judah ben Pazi¹⁷⁷. He said to him, presently¹⁷⁸ you made me insult rabbis.

172 They wanted to drop the condition of agriculture in Judea from consideration in deciding on intercalation, as described in the next paragraph.

173 Jos. 19:44, in the list of cities of Dan. 174 Jos. 15:29, in the list of cities of Jehudah. Probably the reference should have been to v. 24, mentioning the town of הצעלות.

175 In post-biblical Judea; it was in biblical Benjamin (*1Chr.* 8:13).

176 While before the war of Bar Kokhba it was one of the main seats of learning.

177 Rabbis of Lydda.

178 Greek εττα. Since the word is also found in Syriac, it is not impossible that two native Babylonians used it when speaking to one another.

(1 line 1) עַל שְׁלשָׁה סֵימָנִין מְעַבְּרִין אֶת הַשָּׁנָה. עַל אָבִיב וְעַל הַתְּקוּפָּה וְעַל פֵּירוֹת הָאִילֶן. עַל שְׁנַיִּם מְעַבְּרִין עַל אֶחָד אֵין מְעַבְּרִין. וְאָם עִיבְּרוּהְ הֲרִי זֶה מְעוּבֶּרֶת. וּכְשֶׁהָיָה אָבִיב אֶחָד מֵהֶן הַיִּי שְּמֵחִין. רַבַּן שִׁמִעוֹן בֵּן גַּמִלִּיאָל אוֹמֵר. אָף עַל הַתִּקוּפַה.

¹⁷⁹ For three indications one intercalates a year: because of fresh grain¹⁸⁰, the equinox¹⁸¹, and tree fruits¹⁸². One intercalates because of two of them, because of a single one does not intercalate; but if they intercalated, it is intercalated. If fresh grain was one of the reasons, everybody was happy¹⁸³. Rabban Simeon ben Gamliel said, also¹⁸⁴ because of the equinox."

עַל שָׁלשׁ אֲרָצוֹת מְעַבְּרִין לַשָּׁנָה. עַל יְהוּדָה וְעֵל עֵבֶר הַיַּרְדֵּן וְהַגָּלִיל. עַל שְׁתַּיִם מְעַבְּרִין עַל אַחַת אֵין מְעַבְּרִין. וְאָם עִיבְּרוּהָ אֵינָהּ מְעוּבֶּרֶת. וּלְשֶׁקְיְתָה יְהוּדָה אַחַת מֵהֶן הָיוּ שְׂמֵחִין מִפְּנֵי שַׁהַעוֹמֵר בַּא מִמֵּנַה.

"For three regions one intercalates a year, because of Judea, Transjordan, and Galilee¹⁸⁶. One intercalates because of two of them, while because of one of them one does not intercalate; if they did intercalate it is not

intercalated^{187,188}. If Judea was one of the reasons, everybody¹⁸⁹ was happy since the *'Omer* was brought from there.

אֵין מְעַבְּרִין לֹא מִפְּנֵי הַצִּינָּה וְלֹא מִפְנֵי הַגְּשָׁמִים. וְאִם עִיבְּרוּהָ אֵינָהּ מְעוּבֶּרֶת. אֵין מְעַבְּרִין לֹא מִפְּנֵי הַגּוֹזְלִין וְלֹא מִפְּנֵי הָתְּלָב. וְכִּילָם סַעַד לַשָּׁנָה. וְאָם עִיבְּרוּהָ מִפְּנֵי הַגּוֹזְלִין וְלֹא מִפְּנֵי הָתֹּלְב. וְכִילָן סָעַד לַשָּׁנָה. וְאָם עִיבְּרוּהָ מְעוּבֶּרֶת. רְבִּי יַנַּאִי אָמַר מִשׁוּם רַבָּן גַּמְלִיאֵל שְׁהָיָה אוֹמֵר. אִימְרַיָּא רַכִּיכִין וְגוֹזְלַיִיָּא דַקִּיקִין וּשְּׁבָּע עַל שַׁתָּא דָא תַלְתִּין יוֹמִין. וּשְׁבַּערִיי מוֹסְבָּא עַל שַׁתָּא דָא תַלְתִּין יוֹמִין.

¹⁹⁰"One intercalates neither because of the cold, nor because of the rains¹⁹¹; if they did intercalate it is not intercalated¹⁸⁸. One intercalates neither because of kid goats nor because of pigeon chicks, nor because of milk [lambs]¹⁹²; but all of them are ancillary reasons for the year. ¹⁹³Rebbi Yannai¹⁹⁴ said that Rabban Gamliel¹⁹⁵ used to say: The sheep are thin and the pigeon chicks small; it appears good in my eyes and in those of my colleagues to add thirty days to the year."

תּנֵּי. אָמַר רַבִּי יוּדָן. מַעֲשֶׂה בְרַבָּן נַּמְלִיאֵל וּזְסִינִים שֶׁהָיוּ יוֹשְׁבִין עַל גַּב מַעֲלָה בְּהַרַ הַבַּּיִת וְיּזֹחָנָן סוֹפֵּר הַכָּּז יוֹשַׁב לְפְנֵיהֶן. אָמַר לוֹ רַבָּן נַּמְלִיאֵל. כְּתוֹב. לַאֲחָנָא בְּנֵי דְרוֹמָא עִילְאָה לַאֲחָנָא בְּנִי דְרוֹמָא אַרְעַיִּא שְׁלַמְכוֹן יִסְבֶּא. מוֹדַעְנָא לְכוֹן דְּמָטָא זְמֵן בִּיעוּרָא. לַאֲפִוּקִי מֵעְשְׂרִייָא מֵעוּמְרֵי שׁוֹבְלַיִיָא. וְלַאֲחָמָא בְנֵי גָּלִילְא עִילָּאָה וּבְנֵי גָלִילְא אַרְעַיָּא שְׁלַמְכוֹן יִסְגָּא. מוֹדַעְנָא לְכוֹן דְמָטָא זְמֵן בִּיעוּרָא. תַּבְּקוֹן מֵעְשְׁרִייָא מִמְּעְטְנֵי זִיתַיָּא. לַאֲחָמָא בְנֵי גָּלוּתָא דְיָנָן וּשְׁאָר בָּל־נַּנְא לְכוֹן דְּאִימְנָא שְׁלַמְכוֹן יִסְגָּא לְכוֹן דְּאִימְרָיָּא דְקִינְן וּיִשְׁאָר בָּל־נַּלְוֹתָא דְיִשְׂרָאֵל שְׁלַמְכוֹן יִסְגָּא. מוֹדַעְנָא לְכוֹן דְּאִימְרָיִי דְמָדִי בְּנֵי גָּלוּתָא דְקִיקון וְזִימְנָא דַאֲבִיבָּא לָא מְטָא וּשְׁפַר מִילְתָא בַּאַפֵּיי וּבְאַנְבֵּי הֲבִירִיי מוֹסְבָּא יַל שַׁתָּא דָא תַלְתִּין יוֹמִין.

were sitting on stairs on the Temple Mount¹⁹⁷; their scribe Johanan was sitting before them when Rabban Gamliel said to him, write: To our brothers in the Upper Southland and the Lower Southland¹⁹⁸, may you have much peace. We inform you that the time of liquidation has come, to deliver tithes from the sheaves of grain. To our brothers in the Upper Galilee and the Lower Galilee¹⁹⁹, may you have much peace. We inform you that the time of liquidation has come, to deliver tithes from the olive presses. To our brothers in the diaspora of Babylonia, the diaspora of Media, the diaspora of Greece, and all other diasporas of Israel, may you have much peace. We inform you that the sheep are thin, the pigeon chicks small, and springtime has not

arrived; it appears good in my eyes and those of my colleagues to add thirty days to this year."

אֵין מְעַבְּרִין אֶת הַשָּׁנָה אֶלָּא אִם כֵּן הֶיְתָה חֲסֵירָה רוֹב הַחוֹדֶשׁ. וְכַמָּה רוֹב הַחוֹדֶשׁ. י"ו יוֹם. רָבִּי יְהוּדָה אוֹמֵר שְׁתֵּי יָדוֹת הַחוֹדֶשׁ כ"א יוֹם. אָמֵר רָבִי שְׁמואֵל בַּר נַחְמֶן. וְהוּא שֶׁיִּשְׁלוּ לוּלָב בְּסוֹף סוֹף נִיסָן שֶׁלַתְּקוּפוֹת. אָמֵר רָבִּי יוֹסֵי. עַד הַכֶּּסַח. אָמֵר רַב מַתִּנְיָה. וְהוּא שֶׁיִּשְׁלוּ לוּלָב בְּסוֹף תִּשָׁרֵי שַׁלַתְּקוּפוֹת.

²⁰⁰"One does not intercalate a year unless it be missing most of a month. How much is most of a month? 16 days²⁰¹. Rebbi Jehudah says two thirds of a month, 21 days." Rebbi Samuel bar Nahman said, only that the '*Omer* should be brought at the end of Nisan of equinoxes²⁰². Rebbi Yose said, before Passover²⁰³. Rav Mattaniah said, only that *lulav* be taken at the end of Tishre of equinoxes²⁰⁴.

אַין מְעַבְּרִין לַשְּׁנָה לא פָחוּת מֵחוֹדֶשׁ וְלֹא יוֹתֵר עַל חוֹדֶשׁ. וְאָם עִיבְּרוּהָ אֵינָהּ מְעוּבֶּרַת. 205"One intercalates a year neither less than a month, nor more than a month; if it was intercalated."

179 The following paragraphs are all in the Tosephta, Chapter 2, 2-11. The present paragraph and the following also are in the Babli, 11b.

180 Since the celebration of the festival of unleavened bread requires the offering of fresh barley grain in the 'omer ceremony (Lev. 23:9-14), the lunar year has to be adjusted so that Passover be celebrated in the "month of fresh grain" (Ex. 13:4-5).

181 As explained later, the verses *Ex.* 13:4-5 are interpreted to mean that the spring equinox must fall before Passover, but no more than 30 days earlier.

182 If it seems likely that the fruits will not be edible by Pentecost, the start of the season for First Fruits.

183 It is forbidden to eat from new grain before the 'omer ceremony, Lev. 23:14. If the year was intercalated because new grain

was not ready, it imposed no restrictions on people since new grain was not available anyhow.

184 Rashi in his Commentary wants to delete nx "also", since it dos not make much sense in the context. But since the text is confirmed by three sources, it cannot be deleted.

185 The Babli is undecided as to whether Rabban Gamliel refers to the reasons for intercalations, that the date of the equinox is sufficient as single reason for intercalation, or to reasons for joy.

186 Since the 'omer can be offered from any place in the Holy Land if barley is not ripe in Judea. Transjordan is defined as that part East of the Jordan which is included in the legal definition of the Land of Israel, cf. Ševi'it 6:1, Notes 32-51.

187 In the Tosephta: "it is intercalated." The sentence is missing in the Babli, which has to be read as tacit endorsement of the Tosephta (or better, the Tosephta has to be read as explaining the Babli's position.)

188 Since intercalation was the prerogative of the Synhedrion, the expression "it is not intercalated" can only mean that in this case the intercalation is revocable, in contrast to other cases where it is irrevocable once announced.

189 In Judea, where one could expect cheap new grain after Passover.

190 Babli 11a.

191 The convenience of travelers, pilgrims for the Passover festival, should not be a consideration.

192 Most private obligations of sacrifices are satisfied either with a couple of pigeons or with offerings of lambs and kid goats. It is desirable that there be an ample supply available for pilgrims at Passover time, but this is not an absolute necessity.

193 The text of the Babli makes clear that this sentence explains what is meant by "ancillary reasons for intercalation."

194 The Tanna.

195 In both Babylonian sources, Rabban Simeon ben Gamliel. The Yerushalmi version is preferable, as shown by the later text of Rabban Gamliel I's proclamations.

196 Babli 11b, Tosephta 2:6.

197 Since they assembled outside the Temple precinct, the procedure is to be dated to the time of the Roman procurators who had taken over criminal jurisdiction "40 years before the destruction of the Temple" (Note 31).

198 The grain growing areas South of Lydda, both in the hills (the Upper) and near

the coast (the Lower). Heave and tithes, which have to be separated immediately after processing, have to be delivered before the holiday, and Second Tithe, in years 1,2,4,5 of the Sabbatical cycle, taken to Jerusalem for the holiday celebration.

199 For definition of these regions see *Ševi`it* 9:2. Notes 40-41.

200 Babli 13a, Tosephta 2:7.

201 The version of the Tosephta implies that a month has to be added if otherwise the Spring equinox would fall on the 17th of Nisan or later, for R. Jehudah on the 22nd or later.

202 "Nisan of the equinox" is the solar period 30 days from the equinox. He is more restrictive and holds that the equinox must fall on or before (but no more than 30 days before) the 15^g of the lunar Nisan. "At the end" means "after the first." (Today's computed calendar sometimes violates this rule.)

203 Since the Passover day is the 14th of Nisan, he requires the Spring equinox not to fall after this date. He is reputed to be the editor of the computed calendar, which however has come to us only through the works of authors living several hundred years later.

204 He requires in addition that the fall equinox be no later than the 15th of Tishre. In our computed calendar, this rule is violated about once in a 19 year period.

205 Babli 11a, Tosephta 2:8. The Tosephta here follows the Yerushalmi text, which allows for a "month", i. e., either 29 or 30 days. The Babli prescribes 30 days; it notes 29 as permissible only for Rabban Simeon ben Gamliel (II). The computed calendar in this matter follows the Babli.

206 For a different number of days.

(18d line 28) אֵין מְעַבְּרִין לֹא בַשְּׁבִיעִית וְלֹא בְמוֹצָאֵי שְׁבִיעִית. וְאָם עִיבְּרוּהָ הֲרֵי זוֹ מְעוּבֶּרָת. אֵימָתִי רְגִילִין לְעַבֵּר. בְּעַרְבִי שְׁבִיעִית. רְבִּי זְעִירָא בְשֵׁם רְבִּי אַבָּהוּ. הָדָא דְתִימַר עַד שֶׁלֹּא הִתִּיר רְבִּי לִיקַּח יָרָק מֵחוּץ לָאָרֶץ לָאָרֶץ. אֲבָל מִשֶּׁהִתִּיר רְבִּי לִיקַּח יָרָק מֵחוּץ לָאָרֶץ לָאָרֶץ הִיא יְבָּל מִשֶּׁהִתִּיר רְבִּי לִיקַּח יָרָק מֵחוּץ לָאָרֶץ לָאָרֶץ הִיא שְׁבִּיעִית הִיא שָׁאַר שְׁנֵי שַׁבוּע.

²⁰⁷"One intercalates neither in a Sabbatical year nor the year following a Sabbatical; but if it was intercalated it is intercalated. When does one usually intercalate? The year preceding a Sabbatical." Rebbi Ze'ira said in the name of Rebbi Abbahu, that is before Rebbi permitted to import produce from outside the Land into the Land. But since Rebbi permitted to import produce from outside the Land into the Land, there is no difference between a Sabbatical and the remaining years of the Sabbatical cycle.

207 Babli 12a, Tosephta 2:9, *Nedarim* 6:13, *Šeqalim* 1:2 (46a 1.51). The text from here to the end of the Halakhah is essentially copied in *Nedarim*, explained in Notes 83-141. The short parallel in *Šeqalim* belongs to a different tradition. The

paragraphs are alternatingly shortened and extended; they cannot be considered exact copies of one another. The Notes to the text following are restricted to indicate deviations from the text in *Nedarim*.

(18d line 32) הָיָה רְבִּי מֵאִיר אוֹמֵר. הֲרִי הוּא אוֹמֵר. וְאִישׁ בָּׁא מִבַּעַל שָׁלִשְׁה וַיָּבֵּא ֹ לְאִישׁ הַאֱלֹהִים לֶחֶם בִּכּוּרִים ֹ עֶשְׂרִים־לֶחֶם וּגוֹ'. וְהוֹאִיל וְהַיְּתָה הַשְּׁנָה צְרִיכָה לְהִתְעַבֵּר מִפְּנֵי מַה לֹא עִיבְּרָה אֱלִישִׁע. אֶלָּא מְלַמֵּד שָׁהִיוּ שְׁנִי רְעָבוֹן וְהָיוּ קוֹפְצִים לָגְרָנוֹת.

²⁰⁸Rebbi Meïr used to say: it is said, *a man came from Baal Shalisha and brought to the Man of God first fruit bread, twenty loaves*, etc. Since the year should have been intercalated, why did Elisha not intercalate? This teaches that it was a year of famine and people were eager for threshing floors.

(18d line 36) אָית תַּנָיִי תַּנִּי. אֵין מְעַבְּרֵין מִפְּנֵי הַטּימְאָה. יְשָׁנֶעְרוּ בְּי מְרְבִּית הָעָׁם מֵאֶפְרַיִם וּמְנַשְּׁה וְיִשְּׁשׁכֶּר וּזְבֵּלוּן לְא בְּחָזְקָיָה שְׁעִיבֵּר מִפְּנֵי הַטּוּמְאָה. שְׁנֶאֱמַר כִּי מַרְבַּית הָעָׁם מֵאֶפְרַיִם וּמְנַשְּׁה וְיִשְּׁשׁכֶּר וּזְבֵּלוּן לְא הַבְּית הָעָם מֵאֶפְרַיִם וּמְנַשְׁה וְיִשְּׁשׁכֶּר וּזְבֵלוּן לְא הַבְּית הָעָם מֵאֶפְרַיוּ וּמְנַיִּ הַבְּיד. רְבִּי שְׁמְעוֹן בֶּן יְהוּדָה אוֹמֵר מִשּׁוּם שְׁמְעוֹן אוֹמֵר. אַף עַל פִּי שָׁעִיבְּרוּ נִיסָן אֵין מְעוּבָּר אֶלְא אֲדָר. רְבִּי שְׁמְעוֹן בֶּן יְהוּדָה אוֹמֵר מִשּׁוּם רְבִּי שְׁמְעוֹן. עִישֶּׁה יְחִזְּקְיָהוּ לְצִיבּוּר לַעֲשׁוֹת בְּּסֵח שֵׁינִי.

Some Tannaïm state: "One does not intercalate because of impurity." Rebbi Yose²⁰⁹ says, one intercalates, since we find that Hezekiah intercalated because of the impurity, as it is said: For most of the people from Ephraim, Manasse, Issachar, and Zevulun had not been purified, for they ate the Passover contrary to what is written, for Hezekiah had prayed, saying, the Eternal, the Benevolent, will pardon this. Rebbi Simeon says, even though he intercalated Nisan, it was Adar which was intercalated. Rebbi Simeon ben Jehudah said in the name of Rebbi Simeon: Hezekiah forced the community to celebrate the Second Passover."

(18 line 41) אִית תַּנָּיִי תַנֵּי. מְעַבְּרֵין מִפְּנֵי הַטּוּמְאָה. אִית תַּנָּיִי תַנֵּי. אֵין מְעַבְּרִין. מָאן דְּאָמַר אֵין מְעַבְּרִין. מִיהְ הַּנָּיִי תַנֵּי. מְעַבְּרִין. מָה מְקַיִיֵם בְּלָא אֵין מְעַבְּרִין. מִינְהּ כִּי־אֶבְלְוּ אֶת־הַפֶּסֶח בְּלָא כַפָּתְוּב. וּמָאן דָּמַר מְעַבְּרִין. מַה מְקַיִיֵם בְּלָא כַּפָּתֻוּב. מִפְנֵי שָׁעִיבְּרוּ נִיסָן וְאֵין מְעַבְּרִין אֶלֶּא אֲדָר. וַתְייָא כַּיי דָּמַר רְבִּי סִימוֹן בַּר זְבִיד. נּנְלְּוֹלְת אָרְנָן הַיִּבוּסִי מְצְאוּ תַּחַת הַמִּזְבֵּחַ.
מּוֹלְגוֹלֶת אָרְנָן הַיִּבוּסִי מְצְאוּ תַּחַת הַמִּזְבֵּחַ.

Some Tannaïm state: One intercalates because of impurity. Some Tannaïm state: One does not intercalate. He who says, one does not intercalate, from the following: for they ate the Passover contrary to what is written. How does the one who says one does not intercalate, explain contrary to what is written? They intercalated in Nisan but one intercalates only in Adar. This follows what Rebbi Simon bar Zavdi said: they found the skull of Ornan the Yebusite under the altar.

(18d line 46) פָּתִיב כָּל־לְבָבָּוֹ הֵבִּין לִדְרָוֹשׁ הֲאֱלֹהֵים וְלַצְשׁוֹת וּלְלַמֵּד בְּישְׂרָאֵל חֹק וּמִשְׁפָּט. רְבִּי סִימוֹן בַּר זְבִיד וְרְבִּי שְׁמוּאֵל בַּר נַחְמָן. חֵד אָמַר. אֲפִילוֹ כַמָּה עָשָׂה לְטָהֲרֵת הַקּוֹדֶשׁ לֹא יָצָא יְדֵי טְהֵרת הַקּוֹדֶשׁ. וְחַד אָמַר. אֲפִילוּ כָּל־מֵצְשִׁים טוֹבִים שֶׁעוֹשִׂין לְטָהֵרת הַקּוֹדֶשׁ לֹא יָצָא יְדֵי טְהֵרת הַקּוֹדֶשׁ. רְתִּיב וַיְחֵלוּ בְּאוֹל בְּחוֹדֶשׁ הַראשׁוֹן לְקַדֵּשׁׁ וּבְיוֹם שְׁמוֹנָה לַחוֹדֶשׁ בָּאוּ לְאוּלְם יי טְהַרת הַקּוֹדֶשׁ. בְּתִיכ שְׁלשָׁה וּבְיוֹם שְׁשָׁה עֲשֶׁר לַחוֹדֶשׁ הָראשׁוֹן כִּילוּ וְהָלֹא לְיוֹם אֶחָד הִיוּ וַיְקִבּים שְׁהִיוּ הָּלָשָׁה וּבְיוֹם שְׁשָּׁה עֲשֶׂר רְבִּי אִיִדִי. מִפְּנֵי צַלְמֵי כַשְׂדִּים שֶׁהִיוּ חֲקוּקִים שְׁהִיוּ חֲקוּקִים בְּשִׁר. אָמֵר רְבִּי אִיִּדִי. מִפְּנֵי צַלְמֵי כַשְׂדִּים שֶׁהִיוּ חֲקוּקִים בְּשִּׁר.

It is written²¹¹: With all his heart he prepared himself to seek God, to act, and to teach law and justice in Israel. Rebbi Simon bar Zavdi and Rebbi Samuel bar Nahman. One said, with all he did for the purity of the Temple, he did not fully establish the purity of the Temple. One said, with all the good

works he did, he did not fully do his duty for the purity of the Temple. It is written²¹²: *They started on the first of the first month, and on the eighth day they entered the Eternal's Hall and sanctified the Eternal's House for* three *days; on the sixteenth of the month they finished.* Could they not have eliminated all idolatry from there in one day? Rebbi Idi said, because of Chaldean idols which were engraved in vermilion.

(18d line 49) שׁשֶּׁה דְבָּרִים עָשֶּׂה חָזְקָּהָהּ. עַל שְׁלשֶׁה הוֹדוּ לוֹ וְעֵל שְׁלשָׁה לֹא הוֹדוּ לוֹ. גְּיֵרֵר עַצְמוֹת אָבִיו עַל מִישַׁת חֲבָלִים וְכִיתֵּת נְחֵשׁ הַנְּחוֹשֶׁת וְגָנֵז טַבֶּלָה שֶׁלְרְפוּאוֹת. וְהוֹדוּ לוֹ. סְתַם מֵימֵי גִּיחוֹן הָעֶלְיוֹן וְקִיצֵץ דֵּלְתוֹת הַהֵּיכָל וְעִיבֵּר נִיסָן בְּנִיסָן. וְלֹא הוֹדוּ לוֹ.

Six things did Hezekiah do; with three they agreed, with three they did not agree. He dragged his father's bones on a bier of ropes, he smashed the bronze snake, and he hid the table of medicines; they agreed. He closed the upper Gihon spring, and he cut down the Temple doors, and he intercalated Nisan in Nisan; they disagreed.

(18d line 57) אֵין מְעַבְּרִין קּוֹדֶם רֹאשׁ הַשָּׁנָה וְאִם עִיבְּרוּהָ אֵינָהּ מְעוּבֶּרֶת. אֲבָל מִפְּנֵי הַדּוֹחַק מְעַבְּרִין אַחַר רֹאשׁ הַשָּׁנָה מִיָּד. אַף עַל פִּי כֵן אֵינוֹ מְעוּבָּר אֶלָּא אֲדָר. רִבִּי אוֹמֵר.נִיסָן לֹא נִתְעַבֵּר מִיָּמָיו. וְהָתַנִּינֶן. אִם בָא הַחוֹדֶשׁ בִּוְמֵנוֹ. אִם בָּא. לֹא בָא. רַב אָמֵר. תִּשְׁרֵי לֹא נִתְעַבֵּר מִיָּמָיו. וְהָתַנִּינֶן. אִם הָיָה הַחוֹדֶשׁ מְעוּבָּר. אִם הָיָה. לֹא הִיה.

One does not intercalate before New Year's Day; if they did intercalate it would be invalid. But for an urgent need one may intercalate immediately after New Year's Day. Nevertheless, only Adar is intercalated.

²¹³Rebbi says, Nisan was never lengthened. But did we not state: "If the New Moon appeared in time"? If it would appear; it did not appear. Rav said, Tishre was never lengthened. But did we not state: "If the month was long"? If it would be, it never was.

(18d line 62) וּכְשֶׁקּיִדְשׁוּ אֶת הַשְּׁנֶה בְּאוּשֶה בִּיּוֹם הָרְאשׁוֹן עָבַר רַבִּי יִּשְׁמֵעֵאל בָּן רַבִּי יוֹחָנֶן בֶּן בְּרוֹקָה וְאָמֵר כְדִבְרֵי רְבִּי יוֹחָנֶן בֶּן נוּרִי. אָמֵר רַבָּן שִׁמְעוֹן בֶּן גַּמְלִיאֵל. לֹא הָיִינוּ נוֹהֲגִיו כֵּן בְּיַבְּנֶה. בַּיּוֹם הַשֵּׁינִי עָבַר רִבִּי חֲנַנָיָה בֶּן רִבִּי יוֹסֵי הַגָּלִילִי וְאָמֵר כְּרָבִּי עֲקִיכָה. אָמֵר רַבָּן שִׁמְעוֹן בֶּן נַּמְלִיאֵל. כָּדְּ הָיִינוּ נוֹהֲגִיו בְּיַבְנֶה. וְהָתַנֵּי. קִידְ־שׁוּהוּ בָראשׁוֹן ובַשֵּׁינִי. רְבִּי זְעִירָא בְשֵׁם רַב חְסְדַּאי. אוֹתָה הַשָּׁנָה נִתְקַלְקְלָה. מַה בָּראשׁוֹן וּמָהוּ בַשֵּׁינִי. רַבָּא בְשֵׁם רַב. שָׁנָה א' וְשָׁנָה ב'. וְהָתַנֵּי קִידְּשׁוּהוּ בַּיּוֹם הַראשׁוֹן וּבַיּוֹם הַשִּׁינִי.

"When they sanctified the year at Usha, on the first day Rebbi Ismael, the son of Rebbi Johanan ben Beroqa, led and recited following the opinion of Rebbi Johanan ben Nuri. Rabban Simeon ben Gamliel said, we did not follow this at Jabneh. On the second day, Rebbi Hananiah, the son of Rebbi Yose the Galilean, led and recited following Rebbi Aqiba. Rabban Simeon ben Gamliel said, this we did follow at Jabneh." But does this not mean that they sanctified it on the first and the second day? Rebbi Ze'ira in the name of Rav Hisda: That year was disorganized. What is "on the first, on the second"? Rav Abba in the name of Rav: The first year, the second year! But was it not stated: the first day, the second day?

(18d line 64) קִידְּשׁוּהוּ קּוֹדֶם זְמֵנּוֹ אוֹ לְאַחַר עִיבּוּרוֹ יוֹם אֶחָד. יָכוֹל יְהֵא מְקוּדָּשׁ. תַּלְמוּד לוֹמֵר אוֹתֶם. אוֹתֶם אֵלֶּא הֵם. אֵין אֵלֶה הֵן מוֹעֲדָיי. קוֹדֶם לְזְמֵנּוֹ כ"ט יוֹם. לְאַחַר עִיבּוּרוֹ ל"ב יוֹם. וֹמְנַיִין שָׁמְעַבְּרִין אֶת הַשָּׁנָה עַל הַנְּלִיּוֹת שָׁנְלוּ וַאֲדִיִין לֹא הְגִּיעוּ. תַּלְמוּד לוֹמֵר בְּנֵי יִשְׂרָאֵל מֹעֲדָי. עֵשֵׂה מוֹעֲדוֹת שַׁיַּעֲשׁוּ כָּל־יִשְׂרָאֵל. אָמַר רְבִּי שִׁמוּאֵל בַּר נַחְמֶן. וְהֵן שֶׁהִגִּיעוּ לְנְהַר פְּרָת.

If they sanctified it before its time or after its lengthening, should I assume it was lengthened? The verse says, *them, them;* not *these are My holidays*? Before its time, the 29th day; after its lengthening, the 32nd day. From where that one intercalates for the year because of the diaspora²¹⁵ who set out but did not yet arrive? The verse says, *the Children of Israel*. Make the holidays so they can be observed by all of Israel. Rebbi Samuel bar Naḥman said, only if they had reached the river Euphrates.

(18d line 75) אֵין מְעַבְּרִין אֶת הַשְּׁנָה אֶלֶּא בִיהוּדָה. וְאִם עִיבְּרוּהָ בַּגָּלִיל מְעוּבֶּרֶת. הֵעִיד חֲנִינָה אִישׁ אוֹנוֹ שָׁאִם אֵינָהּ יְכוֹלָה לְהִתַּעַבֵּר בִּיהוּדָה שְׁמְעַבְּרִין אוֹתָהּ בַּגָּלִיל. אֵין מְעַבְּרִין אוֹתָהּ בָּוּלִיל. אֵין מְעַבְּרִין אוֹתָהּ בָּחוּצָה לָאָרֶץ, וְאָם עִיבְּרוּהָ אֵינָהּ מְעוּבֶּרֶת. אַתְּ חֲמִי. בַּגָּלִיל אֵין מְעַבְּרִין נְאִם עִיבְּרוּהָ אֵינָהּ מְעוּבֶּרֶת. בְּחוּצָה לָאֶרֶץ אֵין מְעַבְּרִין וְאִם עִיבְּרוּהָ אֵינָהּ בְּנִלִיל אֵין מְעַבְּרִין וְאִם עִיבְּרוּהָ אֵינָהּ מְעוּבֶּרָת. בְּחוּצָה לָאֶרֶץ יִשְׂרָאֵל שֶׁמְעַבְּרִין מְעַבּּרִין לְעַבֵּר בְּאֶרֶץ יִשְׂרָאֵל שֻׁמְעַבְּרִין מְעַבּּרִין לְעַבֵּר בְּאֶרֶץ יִשְׂרָאֵל שִׁמְעַבְּרִין אִתּהּ בַּחוּצַה לִארץ.

"One intercalates a year only in Judea, but if it was intercalated in Galilee it is validly intercalated. Hanina from Ono testified that, if it cannot be intercalated in Judea, one intercalates in Galilee." One does not intercalate a year outside the Land; if it was intercalated, it is not validly intercalated. Look at it, one does not intercalate in Galilee; could one intercalate outside the Land²¹⁷? One does not intercalate in Galilee; but if it was intercalated it is validly intercalated. One does not intercalate outside the Land; if it was intercalated it is not intercalated if it was possible to intercalate in the Land of Israel; but if one cannot intercalate in the Land of Israel, one intercalates it outside the Land.

(6) וֹרְמְיָה עִיבֵּר בְּחוּצָה לָאֶרֶץ. יְחֶזְּקְאֵל עִיבֵּר חוּצָה לָאֶרֶץ. בְּרוּדְ בֶּן וֵרְיָּה עִיבֵּר בְּחוּצָה לָאֶרֶץ. שְׁלַח לֵיהּ רְבִּי ג' אִיגְרִין גַּבִּי רְבִּי יִצְחָק לְאָרֶץ. חַנְנְיָה בָּן אֲחִי רְבִּי יְהוֹשֻׁעַ עִיבֵּר בְּחוּצָה לָאָרֶץ. שְׁלַח לֵיהּ רְבִּי ג' אִיגְרִין גַּבִּי רְבִּי יִצְחָק וְרָבִי נְתָן. בְּחָדִא כָתַב. גְּדְיִים שְׁהִינַּחְתָּ נַעֲשׂוּ תַיִּישִׁים. וּבְחָדָא כָתַב. אִין אַתְּ מְקְבֵּל עֻלֶיךְ צֵא לְךְּ לְמִדְבַּר הָאָטֶד וּתְהִי שׁוֹחֵט וּנְחוֹנְיוֹן זוֹרֵק. קָרָא קַדְמִייָתָא וְעֹיְבָּר הָאָטֶד וּתְהִי שׁוֹחֵט וּנְחוֹנְיוֹן זוֹרֵק. קָרָא קַדְמִייָתָא אִלְא מוֹעֲדִי חֲנַנְיָה בֶּן אֲחִי רְבִּי יְהוֹשַׁע. אַמְרִין אֲשָׂר אוֹקרוּן. תִּנְיתָא בְעָא מַבְּסְרְתְּהוֹן. אֵמְרִין לֵיהּ. לֵיה לְבִּי יְהוֹשְׁע. אַמְרִין אֲשֶׂלִם. אַמְרוֹן לֵיהּ. לַּהְ רָבִי יְהוֹשְׁע. אַמְרִין אֲשִׂלְם הְבָּיוֹן הַבְּיִיתְּן אַשְּׁלְם. אַמְר לוֹן. גַּבָּן. קְם רְבִי יְתָן וְאַשְׁלִם. בִּי מִבְּבֶל תַּצֵא תוֹרָה וּדְבַר־יִּהְוֹה מִּבְּרָר הְּלִוֹן צִיה. כֵּי מִבְּבֶּל תְּלִיהוֹן גַּבָּי רְבִי אַמְר לוֹן. גַּבָּן בְּתִירָה לְנְצִיבִין. אֲמֵר לִיהּ, אַחֲרִיהָם אַחֲרִיהָם. אַמֵר לוֹן. גַּבָּן בְּעִיבִין, אֲמָר לִיה, אַחֲרִיה בְּוֹבְייִתְ מִחְשְׁבָּה דְּכְּוֹתְיה יִבְּיב מִוֹתְ מְמָשׁל לוֹן בִּישְׁלְם. אַמְרִילְן דְּיִּיבְּין בְּיִבְּיבִין אַמְּיבְיוֹן, אַמְרִיבִין, אַחְלָּבְה דְּכְיוָתִיה מִבְּיוֹן דְּלָא יְדְע מַה תַּשְּׁרָ בְּיִים מְחַשְּבָּה דְּכְיוָתְנִיה יִשְׁבְּב מִילְן דְּאִינּוּן חָכְמִין מְחַשְּׁבָּה דְּכְוֹתְנִיה יִשְׁבְּילְיוֹל מִר בְּיוֹנְתִיה יִים מְּעִבּיבוּן מְחַשְּבָּה דְּכְוֹתְנִיה מִבְּילְם בְּילִם מְיִבְיבִין מְבְיבִים מְיִבְּיבְיוֹן בְּיִבְיִים בְּן בְּיִבְיוֹן בְּיִבְייִם מְּחִבּים בּיִבְּילְקוּל. מִיב בְּיִילְקּיּל.

Jeremiah intercalated outside the Land. Ezechiel intercalated outside the Land. Baruch ben Neriah intercalated outside the Land. Hanania the nephew of Rebbi Joshua intercalated outside the Land. Rebbi sent him three letters through Rebbi Isaac and Rebbi Nathan. In one he wrote, to His holiness Hanania. And in one he wrote, the kid goats you left behind became rams. And in one he wrote, if you do not accept, go to the thistle desert, do the slaughtering and let Onias sprinkle. After the first [letter], he honored them. After the second, he honored them. After the third, he wanted to disgrace them. They said to him, you cannot do that since you already did honor us. Rebbi Isaac rose and read in the Torah: These are the holidays of Hanania the

nephew of Rebbi Joshua. They said to him, *the holidays of the Eternal*. He told them, that is with us. Rebbi Nathan rose and concluded: For from Babylonia will Torah go forth and the Eternal's word from Nahar-Peqod. They said to him, *for from Zion will Torah go forth and the Eternal's word from Jerusalem*. He told them, that is with us. He went to complain about them to Rebbi Jehudah ben Bathyra at Nisibis. He said to him, follow them, follow them. He said to him, I do not know whom I left there. Who would tell me that they are knowledgeable in computations as I am? If they did not know the computations, they should listen to him. Since they are knowledgeable in computations as he is, he has to listen to them. He got up and rode on a horse. Where he reached, he reached. Where he did not reach, they continued to follow the corrupt [calendar].

(12 line 21) פְּתִיב אֶל־יֻּעֶר זִקְנַיַ הַגּוֹלָה. אָמֵר הַקְּדוֹשׁ בָּרוּדְּ הוּא. בְּיוֹתֵר הֵן עָלַי זִקְנֵי הַגּוֹלָה. הֲבִיבָה עָלַי כַּת קְטַנָּה שֶׁבְּאֶרֶץ יִשְׂרָאֵל מִפְּנְהֶדְרִין גְּדוֹלָה שֶׁבְּחוּצָה לָאֶרֶץ. פְּתִיב הֱחָרָשׁ וְהַמַּסְגֵּר אֶׁלֶף. וְאַתָּ מֵר הָכֵין. רְבִּי בְרֶכָיָה בְשַׁם רְבִּי חֶלְבּוֹ וְרַבָּנִן. הֶחָרָשׁ אֶׁלֶף וְהַמַּסְגֵּר אֶׁלֶף. וְרַבָּנִן אֵמְרִי. פּוּלְהוֹן אֶלֶף. רְבִּי בְרֶכְיָה בְשַׁם רְבִּי. אֵילוּ הַחַבֵּירִים. וְרַבָּנָן אֱמְרִין. אֵילוּ הַבּּוּלְבוֹטִין.

It is written: to the outstanding Elders in the diaspora. The Holy One, praise to Him, said: The Elders of the diaspora are very dear to me. But more beloved by Me is a small group in the Land of Israel than a great Synhedrion outside the Land. It is written: *The craftsmen and the smiths one thousand*, and you say so? Rebbi Berekhiah in the name of Rebbi Helbo and the rabbis. Rebbi Berekhiah said, one thousand craftsmen and one thousand smiths. But the rabbis say, together one thousand. Rebbi Berekhiah in the name of Rebbi [Helbo said]²¹⁸, these are the Fellows; but the rabbis say, these are the councilmen.

רָבּי הוֹשַׁעְיָה כַּד הֲוָה מְקַבְּל שַּהְדֵייָא בְעֵינֵי טָב הֲוָה אֲמַר לוֹן. הֵיוּ יֵדְעִין כַּמְּה line 27) רָבִּי הוֹשַׁעְיָה כַּד הֲוָה מְקַבְּל שַּהְדֵייָא בְעֵינֵי טָב הֲוָה אֲמַר לוֹן. הֵיוּ יֵדְעִין כַּמְּה עִדְיּתִי כָּמָּה שְׁכַר בָּתִּים יוֹצֵא מְפִּיכֶם. אָמַר רְבִּי אֲבוּנָא. וְאִין כֵּינִי אֲבִּילוּ בְּדִינֵי יְנָשׁוֹת. בַּת שְׁלשׁ שָׁנִים וְיוֹם אֶחָד בָּא עָלְיָה הֲרֵי זְּה בִסְקִילָה. נְמְלְכוּ בֵּית דִּין לְעַבְּרוֹ וּבָא עָלְיִה הֲרֵי עָלְיוֹן לְאֵל נְּמֵר עָלֶי. בַּת שְׁלשׁ שָׁנִים וְיוֹם אֶחָד מִּלְכִי בַּית דִּין לְעַבְּרוֹ אֵין הַבְּתוּלִין חוֹזְּרִין. וְאִם לָאוֹ הַבְּתוּלִין חוֹזְרִין.

Rebbi Hoshaia, when he received witnesses at Kallirhoë, used to say to them: you should know the importance of the testimony that comes from your mouths; how much rent money depends on your mouths. Rebbi Abuna said, if it is so, it is even a matter of criminal law. If somebody sleeps with a girl three years and one day old, he is stoned. The court decides to lengthen, if he sleeps with her he is not stoned. Rebbi Abun said, *I am calling to Almighty God, to the God Who decides with me*. If a girl is three years and one day old, if the court decides to lengthen her hymen does (not) repair itself, otherwise it does [not] repair itself²¹⁹.

208 This paragraph has been shortened and become incomprehensible. Cf. *Nedarim* 6:13, Notes 86-88. Both Talmudim always assume that a prophet by his office must have been a member of the High Court.

209 In *Nedarim*: R. Jehudah. This seems to be the correct quote.

210 2Chr. 30:18.

211 There is no such verse in the Bible. The text is a combination of *2Chr*. 30:19 and *Ezra* 7:10.

212 2Chr. 29:16.

213 This and the following paragraphs also are found in *Ševi`it* 10:2 (Notes 41-55) and *Roš Haššanah* 3:1 (58c l. 51).

214 Lev. 23:2. The verse which prescribes publication of the dates of festivals traditionally is spelled defectively "you", empowering the High Court to decree the times even in disagreement with the astronomical data.

215 The Babylonians who come to Jerusalem in caravans to celebrate Passover. 216 The full text of the verse, *Num.* 23:44, is quoted in the other sources, resulting in an intelligible argument.

217 Why has the prohibition of intercalation outside the Land to be mentioned at all? Could this prohibition not be inferred from the rule for Galilee?

218 Added from the consensus of the parallel texts, missing here.

219 The text here obviously is wrong, but is correct in the parallel texts. It is talmudic doctrine that a girl becomes nubile one day after her third birthday. If she should have been raped before that day, her hymen will regrow and she remains a virgin; after that day the deflowering is definitive. It is stated that her body will follow the calendar decreed by the court.

(fol. 17d**) משנה ג**ּ: סְמִיכַת הַזְּקֵנִים וַעֲרִיפַת הָעֶגְלָה בִּשְׁלֹשָׁה דִּבְרֵי רְבִּי שִׁמְעוֹן. וְרְבִּי יְהוּדָה אוֹמֵר בַּחַמִשָּׁה. הַחַלִּיצה והמיאוּנִין, בּשׁלשׁה. נטע רביעי וּמעשר שׁנִי שַׁאַין דַּמַיו יִדוּעִין בְּשַׁלשַׁה וְהַהֶּקְדֵּשׁוֹת בִּשְׁלשָׁה. וַעֲרָכִין הַמִּישַלְטְלִין בִּשְׁלשָׁה. רְבִּי יְהוּדָה אוֹמֵר אֶחָד מֵהֶן כֹּהֵן. וְהַקַּרְקֶעוֹת תִּשְׁעָה וְכֹהֵן. וְאָדָם כַּיּוֹצֵא בָהֶן.

Mishnah 3: The leaning of Elders²²⁰ and the breaking of the calf's neck²²¹ by three [judges], the words of Rebbi Simeon, but Rebbi Jehudah says, by five. *Halîşah*²²² and repudiations²²³ by three [judges], [redemption of] the growth of the fourth year²²⁴ and Second Tithe²²⁵ whose value is not known by three [appraisers], also of Temple dedications²²⁶ by three. Estimations of movables²²⁷ by three [appraisers], Rebbi Jehudah says that one of them must be a Cohen; of real estate²²⁸ nine and a Cohen. The same holds for humans²²⁹.

220 Lev. 4:15. If the High Court realized that they had erred in a ruling and permitted something which is biblically prohibited, they have to bring a purification sacrifice and a deputation of the Court has to lean with their hands on the head of the sacrificial animal while confessing their error, as detailed in Traxtate Horaiot.

221 The ritual of atonement for an unsolved murder, *Deut.* 21:1-9, *Soţah* Chapter 9.

222 The ceremony by which the widow of a childless man is freed from levirate marriage, which requires involvement of "the Elders", *Deut.* 25:5-9.

223 The repudiation of a marriage by an underage girl, married off by her mother or brothers after her father's death and whose marriage during her minority is valid only rabbinically; cf. *Yebamot* Chapter 13.

224 The fruits of a tree in the fourth year after planting, the first year they are permitted as food, have to be eaten in holiness by the rules of Second Tithe (*Lev.* 19:24; *Ma`aser Šeni* Chapter 5). They may be redeemed under the rules of Second

Tithe, the sanctity being transferred to the redemption money.

225 Cf. *Ma'aser Šeni* 4:2, Note 51. Second Tithe remains the farmer's property but must be eaten in purity at the site of the Temple. If there is any danger of spoilage in transport, the produce may be redeemed and the sanctity transferred to the redemption money, *Deut.* 14:22-26; cf. Introduction to Tractate *Ma'aser Šeni*.

226 Property donated to the Temple which will be sold by the Temple treasurer upon appraisal by a committee of three; *Lev*. 27:11.

227 If a person makes a vow to donate the estimated value of a person to the Temple, the amount payable is specified in *Lev*. 27:2-7 depending on age and sex. If the person wants to pay with movables in lieu of money, their value has to be determined by a committee of three. These rules are detailed in Tractate '*Arakhin*.

228 Lev. 27:14-25. In all verses speaking of redemption of property donated to the Temple, 'the Cohen" is mentioned in the singular.

229 Somebody promising to pay to the sold as a slave. Temple the value a person would fetch if

(3) **הלכה ג**: סְמִיכָת הַזְּקַנִּים וַצְרִיפַת הָעָגָלָה בְּשְׁלְשָׁה דִּבְרֵי רְבִּי שִׁמְעוֹן. וְרְבִּי יְהוּדָה אוֹמֵר בַּחֲמִשְׁה. מַה טַצְמָּא דְרְבִּי שִׁמְעוֹן. וְסָמְכוּ שְׁנֵיִם. אֵין בֵּית דִּין שְׁקוּל מוֹסִיפִין עֲלֵיהֶן עוֹד אַחַר הַרֵי שְׁלשָׁה. מַה טַצְמָא דְרְבִּי יְהוּדָה. וְסֵמְכוּ שְׁנִיִם. זְקְנֵי שְׁמְעוֹן. זְקֵינֶיְדְּ שְׁנִים. מִיסִיפִין עֲלֵיהֶן עוֹד אָחָד. הֲרִי חֲמִשָּׁה. וּבְעָגְלָה עֲרוּפָּה מַה טַצְמָא דְרְבִּי שִׁמְעוֹן. זְקֵינֶיְדְּ שְׁנִים. מִיסִיפִין עֲלֵיהֶן עוֹד אֶחָד. הֲרִי חֲמִשָּׁה. וּבְעָגְלָה עֲרוּפָּה מַה טַצְמָא דְרְבִּי שִׁמְעוֹן. זְקֵינֶיְדְּ שְׁנִים. מִין בֵּית דִּין שְׁקוּל מוֹסִיפִין עֲלֵיהֶן עוֹד אָחָד הֲרִי חֲמִשְׁה. מַה טַעְמָא דְרְבִּי יְמִילָה וְשְׁלִּים. מִין בֵּית דִּין שְׁקוּל מוֹסִיפִין עֲלֵיהֶן עוֹד אַחָר הֲרִי שְׁלְשָׁה. אָמִר הְבִי יְהוּדָה בְּעֵרוּפָה דְּלָא דְרִישׁ וְנֵצְאוּ וּבְרֵי רְבִּי יְהוּלְה בְּעֵרוּפָה לְמוֹ דוּ דְרָשׁ וְעֵצְאוּ וּבְרֵי רְבִּי יְהוּלָה בְּעֵרוּפָה לְמוֹ דוּ דְרָשׁ וְעָצְאוּ שְׁנִים. זְקִינֶיךְ שְׁנִים. וְשֹׁפְטִיךְ שְׁנִים. וְשֹׁפְטִיךְ שְׁנִים. אֵין בֵּית דִין שָׁקוֹל מוֹסִיפִין עֲלֵיה, וְשֹׁבְּיי, וְבִילִיךְ שְׁלְּיִם בְּעִייךְ שְׁלְּיִיךְ שְׁבִיים. אֵין בִּית דִּין שְׁקִּיי, וְשְׁכְיי, וְשִׁבְּין שְׁנִים. וְשְׁכִּיי, וְשִׁבְּיִיךְ שְׁנִים. וְשֹׁפְטִיךְ שְׁנִים. אֵשְׁנִים רְבִּי יְהוּלְשְׁיִם וְשְׁכִים רְבִּי שְׁמְעוֹן זְקֵינִיךְ שְׁנְיִם. אֵין שִׁנִים רְבִּי שְׁמְעוֹן זְקַינִיךְ וְשֹׁפְטִיךְ. זְבִינֶיךְ שְׁבְּיִב בְּעוֹים רְבִּי שְׁמְעוֹן זְקַינִיךְ וְשְׁכְייךָ. מָלְינִיךְ שְּבָי, מָהְעִיךְ. מָּבִייך. מָבּי שְׁמְשׁוֹן וְשִבְּיִר בְּן יַעַלְב אוֹבּית. זְין שְׁנְבִיך. מָּה עִיִיךְּ שְּבִייך. מָב יִית דְּין הַבָּייך. מְשִׁילְן הַבְּרִיל מִילְיבָּיך בְּיִילְ שְּבִייך. בְּי שְׁמְעוֹן וְּבְיִיךְ שִּבְּי בְּישְׁים בְּיוֹים שִּיְּיבִים וְיבִּיים וְּעִיּים וְּבִים בְּיִים וְּעִים בְּיִבְיים בְּיִיבְיי שְּבְּיִבּים וְּיִים וְיִים בְּיִים בְּיִיבְיּים וְּעִים בְּיִים וְּיִים בְּיִים וְעִיבְּיִים וְּעִים בְּיִים בְּיִים וְּיִים בְּיִים בְּיִים בְּיִים בְּיִים בְּיִים בְּיִים וְּעִים בְּיִים בְּיִים בְּיִים וְיִיּיִים בְּיִים בְּיִים ו

Halakhah 3: "The leaning of Elders and the breaking of the calf's neck by three [judges], the words of Rebbi Simeon, but Rebbi Jehudah says, by five." ²³⁰What is the reason of Rebbi Simeon? "[The Elders] shall lean," two. No court has an even number of members; one adds another one; that makes three. What is the reason of Rebbi Jehudah? "They shall lean," two. "The Elders," two. No court has an even number of members; one adds one; that makes five. And for the calf whose neck is broken. 231 what is the reason of Rebbi Simeon? "Your Elders," two, "and your judges," two. No court has an even number of members; one adds one; that makes five. What is the reason of Rebbi Jehudah? "Your elders, your judges," two. No court has an even number of members; one adds another one; that makes three. Rebbi said, the words of Rebbi Jehudah are reasonable for the breaking of the neck, for he does not refer to "they shall go out." The words of Rebbi Simeon are reasonable for the leaning of the hands, for he does not refer to "they shall lean." If you would say that the words of Rebbi Jehudah are reasonable for the calf whose neck is broken, if he refers to "they shall lean", he also should refer to "they shall go out." It turns out that you have to say "they shall go out" two, "your Elders" two, "and your judges" two. No court has an even number of members; one adds one more; that makes seven. How does Rebbi

Simeon explain "your Elders and your judges"? Your Elders who are your judges. It was stated: Rebbi Eliezer ben Jacob says "your Elders," that is the High Court; "and your judges," these are king and High Priest.

230 A very similar text is in *Sotah* 9:1. The commentary, Notes 32-45, also applies here. In the Babli, the parallels are *Sanhedrin* 13b, *Sotah* 44b.

231 The arguments of rabbis Simeon and Jehudah have to be switched; cf. *Sotah* 9:1 Note 33. The argument of R. Jehudah

(attributed here to R. Simeon) is explicit in Mishnah *Sotah* 9:1. The corrected text, treating R. Jehudah's opinion before R. Simeon's, follows the Babli which as a matter of principle insists on strict chronological order.

(17 line 47) תַּנֵּי הַסְּמִיכוֹת בִּשְׁלשָׁה. לֹא סְמִיכָּה הִיא סְמִיכוֹת. תַּפֶּן קְרֵיי לְמְנוּייָה סְמִיכוּתָא. אָמֵר רְבִּי בָּא. בַּרְאשׁוֹנָה הָיָה כָּל־אֶחָד וְאֶחָד מְמֵנֶּה אֶת תַּלְמִידָיו. בְּגוֹן רַבָּן יוֹחָנָן בֶּן זַכִּיי מִינֶּה אֶת רְבִּי לִיעֶזֶר וְאֶת רְבִּי יְהוֹשֻׁעַ אֶת רְבִּי עֲקִיבָה. וְרְבִּי עֲקִיבָה אֶת רְבִּי מֵאִיר וְאֶת רְבִּי שִׁמְעוֹן. אָמֵר לוֹ רְבִּי מֵאִיר וְאֶת רְבִּי שִׁמְעוֹן. אָמֵר לוֹ רְבִּי מֵאִיר תְּחִילָּה. נִתְכַּרְכְּמוֹ פְנֵי רְבִּי שִׁמְעוֹן. אָמֵר לוֹ רְבִּי עֲקִיבָּה. דִּייֶּךְ שְׁמְעוֹן. אָמֵר כֹּוֹ רְבִּי מֵאִיר תְּחִילָּה. נַתְּכַּרְכְּמוֹ פְנֵי רְבִּי שִׁמְעוֹן. אָמֵר לוֹ רְבִּי עֲקִיבָּה. דִּייֶּךְ שְׁמְנִינִּי וֹ שְׁמִּינָּה שְׁלֹא לְדַעַת בַּית הַנְשְׁיא שָׁמִינָּה שָׁלֹא לְדַעַת בֵּית דִּין מִינִּייוֹ מִינּוּי וְנָשִׂיא שָׁמִינָּה שָׁלֹא לְדַעַת בֵּית דִּין מִינּיִיוֹ מִינּוּי וְנָשִׂיא שְׁמִינֶּה שָׁלֹא לְדַעַת בֵּית דִּין מִימִנִּין אֵלָא מִדַּעַת הַנְּשִׂיא וְשֵׁלֹא יְהֵא הָנַשִּׂיא מְמֵנֶּה אֵלָּא מִדְּעַת בְּיִת דְּיִן.

It was stated: Leanings by three [judges]. Is not leaning the same as leanings²³²? There²³³, they call ordination "leaning".

Rebbi Abba said, in earlier times²³⁴, every one was ordinating his students; for example Rabban Johanan ben Zakkai ordained Rebbi Eliezer and Rebbi Joshua²³⁵, Rebbi Joshua Rebbi Aqiba, and Rebbi Aqiba Rebbi Meïr and Rebbi Simeon²³⁶. He said, Rebbi Meïr shall preside; the face of Rebbi Simeon became yellow. Rebbi Aqiba told him, it is enough that I and your Creator recognize your power²³⁷.

They changed and honored this dynasty²³⁸, saying: If a court ordained without the consent of the Patriarch, their ordination is no ordination, but if the Patriarch ordained without consent of the court, his ordination is ordination. They changed again²³⁹ and instituted that neither shall the Court ordain without consent of the Patriarch, nor the Patriarch without consent of the Court.

232 What does the *baraita* imply that is not implied by the Mishnah?

233 In Babylonia, ordination is called "leaning of the hands," in imitation of *Num.* 27:23. In the Babli, 13b, this usage is labelled as that of the Galilean R. Johanan. Modern usage naturally follows the Babli.

234 Before the war of Bar Kokhba.

235 Before his time, one finds neither formal ordination nor the title "Rebbi".

236 In the tradition of the Babli, both were ordained, together with three others, by R. Jehudah ben Bava. This tradition is questioned by the Babli itself, *loc. cit.*; its

historical accuracy is in doubt since it counts among the five also R. Jehudah, who belongs to the school of R. Eliezer, not R. Joshua.

237 In the tradition of both Talmudim, R. Simeon is a higher authority than R. Meïr; cf. *Terumot* 3:1, Note 25.

238 In the time of the reconstruction after the war of Bar Kokhba, when the authority of the Patriarch became a political necessity. The dynasty is Hillel's family, reputed to be of Davidic descent.

239 Under Rebbi's successors, who had neither learning nor standing to act alone.

(19a line 56) תַּנֵּי. בָּרָאשׁוֹנָה הָיוּ כוֹתְבִין שִׁטְרֵי חֲלִיצָה. בְּמוֹתָב פְּלוֹנִי וּפְלוֹנִי חֵלְצָה פְלַנִית בַּת פְלוֹנִי לִפְלוֹנִי בֶּן פְּלוֹנִי בְּפָנֵינוּ. דְּקֵרְבַת לְקַדְמָנָא וְשָׁרַת סְיֵנֵיהּ מֵעִילוֹי רִיגְלֵיהּ דִּימִינָא וְרָקַת קַדְמָנָא רוֹקָא דְמִתְחֵזִי לָנָא עַל אַרְעָא וְאָמָרֶת כָּכָה יֵעֲשֶׂה לָאִישׁ אֲשֶׁר לְא־יִבְנָהְ אֶת־בִּית אָחִיוּ

It was stated: From earlier times²⁴⁰, one was writing documents of *halîşah*²⁴¹: Before X and V²⁴² did Z daughter of U perform *halîşah* for V son of W, by coming before us, removing his shoe from his right foot, spitting before us visible spittle on the ground, and saying: *So shall be done to the man unwilling to build his brother's house*²⁴³.

240 Meaning that the text is traditional; its first author is unknown. The parallel in *Mo'ed qatan* 3:5 (82a l. 48) does not have the introduction. [The text in *Mo'ed qatan* is somewhat shortened in the Leiden ms. and *editio princeps* but the full text is in the Ashkenazic fragments published by J. Sussman, *Kobez al Yad* 12(1994) p.70.] A

somewhat enlarged text in the Babli, *Yebamot* 39b. is ascribed to R. Jehudah.

241 Needed by the widow to be able to remarry in another jurisdiction.

242 There should be three names mentioned here since the court must have three members.

243 Deut. 25:9.

(19a line 60) תַּנֵּי. בָּרְאשׁוֹנָה הָיוּ כוֹתְבִין שִׁטְרֵי מֵיאוּנִין. בְּמַצְמַד פְּלוֹנִי וּפְלוֹנִי מִיאֲנָה בְּלָנִית בַּת פְלוֹנִי בִפְלוֹנִי בַר פְּלוֹנִי בָּפָנִינוּ. לָא רְעִינָא בֵיהּ לָא שְׁוִייהֲנָא לֵיהּ לָא צְבִינָּא לְהִתְנַסְּבָא לֵיהּ.

It was stated: From earlier times²⁴⁰ one was writing documents of repudiation²²³: Before X and Y^{242} did Z daughter of U repudiate V son of W,

by coming before us: I do not like him, I do not want to stay with him, I do not agree to be married to him²⁴⁴.

244 In the Babli, *Yebamot* 107b, this is the required oral declaration of repudiation. The Babli has no document of repudiation; neither have medieval formularies.

(39 line 63) אָמַר רְבִּי יוֹחָנֶן הֶקְדֵּשׁ שֶׁפְּדָייוֹ יוֹתֵר עַל דָּמָיו הֲרֵי זֶה כָּדוּי. וּמַעֲשֵׂר שֵׁינִי שֶׁפְּדָייוֹ יוֹתֵר עַל דָּמָיו הֲרֵי זֶה אִינוֹ כָּדְהִי הָה. מַה בִּין הֶקְדֵּשׁ מַה בִין מֵעֲשֵׁר שֵׁינִי. אָמַר רְבִּי לָא. הָקְדֵּשׁ יֵשׁ לֹ תוֹבְעִין הַנְּא שֵׁירִ שֵׁינִי אֵינוֹ כִּנְכָסִיו. בְּרָם כְּמָאן לֹ תוֹבְעִין מַעֲשֵׂר שֵׁינִי אָמֵר רְבִּי יוֹמֵי. וְלֹא כְבָר נֶאֲמֵר טַעֲמָא. דְּמַר. כִּנְכָסִיו הוּא. מַה בֵּין הֶקְדֵּשׁ מַה בֵּין מַעֲשֶׁר שֵׁינִי. אָמֵר רְבִּי יוֹמֵי. וְלֹא כְבָר נֶאֲמֵר טַעְמָא. הַקְּדָּשׁ יָשׁ לוֹ תוֹבעין.

רְבִּי זֵירָא בְּעָא קּוֹמֵי רְבִּי אִמִּי. נְבְדַּק אוֹתוֹ הָאִישׁ וְאָמַר. לֹא נְתְפַוֹנְנְתִּי. אָמַר לֵיהּ. לְכִי בְּדַק. אָמֵר רְבִּי יוֹחָנֶן הֶקְדֵּשׁ שֶׁפְּדָייוֹ וְלֹא הוֹסִיף חוֹמֶשׁ הֲרֵי זֶה בְּדוּי. וּמַעֲשֵׂר שִׁינִי שֶׁפְּדָייוֹ וְלֹא הוֹסִיף חוֹמֶשׁ הֲרֵי נְהָבְּדַשׁ. אָמֵר רְבִּי לֹא. שֶׁכֵּן אָדָם מָצוּי לְהְיֹּדְשׁ. אָמֵר רְבִּי לֹא. שֶׁכֵּן אָדָם מָצוּי לְהְיֹלְת מֵרְבָּה בְּהֶקְדֵּישׁוֹ רָבִי יוֹנֶה בְּעָא. כְּמָאן דָּמֵר. אֵינוֹ כִנְכָסִיו. בְּרַם כְּמָאן דָּמַר. כִנְכָסִיו. מַה בִין הַקְדֵּשׁ לְמַצְשֵׁר שֵׁינִי. אָמַר רְבִּי יוֹסֵי. וְלֹא כְבָר אַתְּ אָמַרְתָּ טַעְמָא. שֶׁאָדָם מָצוּי לֹהַרְבּוֹת הַהִדּישׁוֹ.

²⁴⁵Rebbi Johanan said, if somebody redeemed Temple dedications for more than their worth, it is redeemed²⁴⁶. But if somebody redeemed Second Tithe for more than its worth, it is not redeemed. What is the difference between dedications and Second Tithe? ^{247,253}Rebbi La said, dedications have claimants, Second Tithe has no claimant. Rebbi Jonah asked, that is following him who says that it is not his property²⁴⁹. But for him who says it is his property, what is the difference between dedications and Second Tithe? Rebbi Yose said, the reason has already been explained: dedications have claimants, Second Tithe has no claimant.

²⁵⁰Rebbi Ze`ira asked before Rebbi Immi: If the person was checked and he said, that was not what I intended? He answered him, when he will be checked.

Rebbi Johanan said, if somebody redeemed Temple dedications without adding its fifth it is redeemed²⁵¹. But if somebody redeemed Second Tithe dedications without adding its fifth, it is not redeemed²⁵². What is the difference between dedications and Second Tithe? ²⁴⁸Rebbi La said, because a person usually adds to his dedications. Rebbi Jonah asked, that is following

him who says that it is not his property²⁴⁹. But for him who says it is his property, what is the difference between Second Tithe and dedications? Rebbi Yose said, did you not already give the reason? Because a person usually adds to his dedications.

245 This piece is a composite of two paragraphs in *Ma`aser Šeni* 4:3, Notes 63-68. In the order of subjects, the sources differ from one another.

246 These rules are purely rabbinical in character.

247 This is a *non sequitur*. The text in *Ma'aser Šeni* shows that the text is garbled; the text which belongs here is the other one starting with "R. La said," with Note 248. 248 If a person overpays for redemption of his Temple dedications, it is a natural thing to do. But if he overpays for Second Tithe, the amount set aside for consumption in Jerusalem would be a mixture of sacred and

profane money.

249 This refers to R. Meïr's opinion regarding Second Tithe; cf. *Ma'aser Šeni* 4:3, Note 67.

250 This belongs after the text noted ²⁴⁸ and refers to the answer given in Note 248 (cf. *Ma`aser Šeni* 4:3 Note 66.)

251 Even though adding the fifth in general is a biblical obligation; *Lev.* 27:13,15,19.

252 Even though the obligation of adding a fifth to the redemption money (Mishnah *Ma`aser Šeni* 4:2) is not supported by a verse.

253 This is the appropriate answer to the question following Note 252. The Temple treasurer may attach the dedicator's property to collect the fifth; redemption of Second Tithe is a private matter.

(19a line 76) תַּנֵּי. הַהֶּקְדֵּישׁוֹת בִּשְׁלֹשָׁה. הָדָא דְתֵימֵר בְּמַקְדִּישׁ גּוּף הַשְּׁדֶה. אֲבָל אִם אָמֵר. הֲרִי מְנָּה לְהֶקְדֵּשׁ. נִישְׁמְעִינָהּ מֵהָדָא עֲרָכִים הַמִּישַּלְטְלִין בָּג'. וְבִי יֵשׁ עֲרָכִים שׁאֵין מִישּׁלְטְלִין. עָלִי מְנָה לְהֶקְדֵּשׁ. נִישְׁמְעִינָהּ מֵהָדָא עֲרָכִים הַמִּישַּלְטְלִין בָּג' וְבָא לְסְדְּרוֹ מִקּמְעֵן בַּר וְנָא בְשַׁכ רְבִּי חֲנִינָה. הָאוֹמֵר. עֶרְכִּי עֻלַי. וּבָא לְסְדְּרוֹ מִקּרְקע. שָׁמִין לוֹ בַּעֲשָׂרָה. מְמִּשֵּלְטְלִין בִּשְׁלֹשָׁה. הָאוֹמֵר עֶרְכִּי עֻלַי. אֵינוֹ כָאוֹמֵר. דְּמֵי שְׂדִי עְלַי. אֲבָל אִם אָמֵר. הֲרֵי עָלַי מְנָה לְהָקְדֵּשׁ. שָׁמִין לוֹ בִּשְׁלֹשָׁה. לְכְשָׁיִּעֲשִׁיר נִידּוֹן בְּהָשֵּׁג יָד. עְלַי. אֲבָל אִם אָמֵר. הַרֵי שִׁ שִּיון | מּ שִּיון | זּוֹא | מּ בא האומ' | מ באומ' ערכי | מ הרי ערכי | מּ מִיטלטלין בשלשה | מ מן המיטלטלין שמין לו בשלשה ערכי | מ הרי ערכי | מ מהר ערכי | מ מהר ממה

It was stated: "Temple dedications by three [appraisers]." That is, if one dedicated the body of a field. But if he said, I am vowing a mina for the Temple, ²⁵⁴let us hear from the following: "Appraisal of movables by three [appraisers]." Do there exist appraisals not of movables²⁵⁵? Rebbi Jacob bar Aha, Rebbi Simeon bar Abba in the name of Rebbi Ḥanina: If somebody says, I am vowing my appraisal, and comes to settle his debt by real estate,

one appraises it by ten [people], by movables by three²⁵⁷. Is one who says, I am vowing my appraisal not like one who says, I am vowing my field's value²⁵⁶? But if he said, I am vowing a mina for the Temple, one appraises him by three [people]. Should he become rich, he will be judged by what he can afford²⁵⁷.

254 From here to the end of the Halakhah there exists a copy in *Megillah* 4:4 (75b l. 16ff., **b**).

255 By definition, "appraisals" refers to the sums of money detailed in *Lev.* 27:1-8. Money always is movable.

256 Since the rules of appraisal are the same in both categories.

257 This is difficult to understand; since the text is confirmed by two copies, it cannot be emended. By biblical law, a reduction of payments for the poor is mandated only for appraisals (*Lev.* 27:8),

not for dedications of specified sums. The reduction, based on what he can afford, is determined by a committee of three which must include a Cohen. If the poor person paid only part of the reduced sum and then becomes rich, the original sum is reinstated as soon as he can afford it. If the poor person made his vow (in the *Megillah* version for 100 minas) in order to induce God to make him rich so he may fulfill his vow, it might be in the interest of the Temple to wait with the collection of the debt until the person became affluent.

(6 line 6) תַּנֵּי. הָעֲבָדִים וְהַשְּׁטָרוֹת וְהַמִּטֵּלְטְלִין אֵין לָהֶן אִינֶּרֶת בִּקּוֹרֶת. רְבִּי יוּדָן בַּר פָּזִי אָמָר. אַכְרָזָה. עוּלָה בַר יִשְׁמָעאל אָמֵר. עֲבָדִים שָׁלֹא יִבְּרְחוּ. הַשְּׁטְרוֹת וְהַמִּטַּלְטְלִין שָׁלֹא יִנְּנֵבוּ. אָמֵר הַשְּׁטְרוֹת וְהַמִּטַּלְטְלִין שָׁלֹא יִנְּנֵבוּ. אָמֵר הַיִּי בְּעָא קּוֹמֵי רְבִּי יוֹסֵי. לֵית הָדָא אֶמְרָה שְׁעֲבָדִים נִיפְדִּין בִּשְׁלֹשָׁה. אָמֵר לֵיהּ. אִנֵּין. אָדָם דְּכָּא בֶּן חוֹרִין אִין. וְהָתַנִּינֶן בַּקּרְקְעוֹת תִּשְׁעָה וְכֹהֵן וְאָדָם כִּיוֹצֵא בְהֶן. אָמֵר לֵיהּ. אָכֵין. אָדָם דְּכָּא בָּן חוֹרִין הוּא. חֲנַנְיָה בֶּן שֶׁלְמִיָּה אָמֵר בְּשִׁם רַב. אֲתָא עוֹבְדָא קּוֹמֵי רְבִּי וּבְעָא לְמֵיעֲבַד כְּרַבָּן, אֲמַר לֵיהּ רָבִי לְעָזָר בֶּן פְּרָטָא בָּן בְּן רְבִי לְעָזֶר בֶּן פְּרָטָא. רְבִּי. לֹא כֵן לִימִדְתָּנוּ מִשִּׁוּם וְקַינֶיִה. אָלֶּא אִם עשׁוּ אִינֵּרת. אָמֵר לִיהּ. אִין. וְחַזָּר וַעָבַד כַּרַבּּן נְּמַלִּאָל.

1 העבדים והשטרות והמטלטלין | α עבדים ושפחות ומיטלטלין | בקורת | ביקורת | - | α מהו איגרת ביקורת | ביקור | בי

²⁵⁸It was stated: One does not make public tender for slaves, securities, and movables. [What is public tender?] Rebbi Jehudah ben Pazi said, announcement. Ulla bar Ismael said, slaves lest they flee, securities and

movables lest they be stolen. Rebbi Abba bar Cohen asked before Rebbi Yose: Does this not imply that a slave be redeemed in front of three people? He answered him, yes. But did we not state: "Real estate nine and a Cohen. The same holds for humans"? He answered him, but the human here is a free person.

Hanania bar Šelemiah in the name of Rav: A case came before Rebbi who wanted to act following the rabbis. Rebbi Eleazar ben Proteus, the grandson of Rebbi Eleazar ben Proteus, said to him: Rebbi, did you not teach us in your grandfather's name, "except if he offered public tender"? He answered, yes, changed his mind, and acted following Rabban Gamliel.

258 The origin of these paragraphs is in *Ketubot* 11:6 (2), explained there in Notes 116-128. The final paragraph, while also found in *Megillah*, makes sense only in

Ketubot. The sentence in brackets is not in the text here, it is from *Ketubot*, but is necessary to provide continuity of the text.

(fol. 17d) **משנה ד**: דִּינֵי נְפָשׁוֹת בְּעֶשְׂרִים וּשְׁלשָׁה. הָרוֹבֵעַ וְהַנְּרְבָּע בְּעֶשְׂרִים וּשְׁלשָׁה שְׁנֶאֱמַר וְהַנְּרְבָּע בְּעֶשְׂרִים וּשְׁלשָׁה שְׁנָאֱמַר וְהַנְּקבָּע בְּעֶשְׂרִים וּשְׁלשָׁה שְׁהַרְגִּתְּ אֶת־הַבְּהֵמָה תְּהַרְגוּ. שׁוֹר הַנִּסְקֵל בְּעֶשְׂרִים וּשְׁלשָׁה שְׁנְתְּ לְּמִיתַת הַבְּעָלִים כְּךְּ מִיתַת הַשּׁוֹר. הַזְּאֵב וְהָאֲרִי הַדּוֹב וְהָאֲרִי הַדּוֹב וְהָאֲרִי הַדּוֹב וְהַבְּּרְדְּלָס וְהַבָּּחָשׁ מִיתָתוְ בְּעֶשְׂרִים וּשְׁלשָׁה. רַבִּי לִיעֶזֶר אוֹמֵר כָּל הַקּוֹדֵם לְהָרְגָן זָכָה. רַבִּי עַקִיבָּה אוֹמֵר מִיתָּתוְ בְּעֵשְׂרִים וּשְׁלשָׁה. צְקִיבָּה אוֹמֵר מִיתָתוְ בְּעֵשְׂרִים וּשְׁלשָׁה.

Mishnah 4: Capital crimes [are judged] by 23. The participants in active or passive bestiality²⁵⁹ [is judged] by 23, as it is said, *you shall slay the woman and the animal*²⁶⁰, and it says, *and you shall slay the animal*²⁶¹. The bull to be stoned²⁶² [is judged] by 23, as it is said, *the bull shall be stoned, also its owner shall die*²⁶³; like the owner's death sentence so is the ox's death sentence. The wolf and the lion, the bear, and the tiger, and the panther, and the snake are sentenced to death by 23²⁶⁴. Rebbi Eliezer says, anyone quick to kill them is meritorious²⁶⁵; Rebbi Aqiba says, they are sentenced by 23.

259 Whether human or animal; the additional statement is needed only for the animal.

260 Lev. 20:16. Since woman and animal are mentioned together, the animal can be condemned only by a court empowered to

judge the woman who had sex with the animal.

261 Lev. 20:15, about male bestiality.

262 Which had killed a human.

263 Ex. 21:29.

264 He does not need permission; it is no case for a court.

(19b line 15**) פסקא.** דִּינֵי נְפָשׁוֹת בְּעֶשְׂרִים וּשְׁלשֶׁה. רְבִּי אַבָּהוּ שָׁאַל. שׁוֹר הַנְּסְקֵל כְּרְבִּי מֵאִיר מָהוּ לִיתֵּן כֶּסֶף בִּשְׁלשָׁה וְיִסְקֵל בְּעֶשְׂרִים וּשְׁלשָׁה. אָמֵר לֵיהּ רְבִּי יוֹמֵי בֵּירְבִּי בּוּן. שׁוֹר הַנְּסְקֵל פּוּלוֹ ממוֹן הוּא וּגִזִירת הפתוּב שׁיּסִּקל.

Paragraph. "Capital crimes [are judged] by 23." ²⁶⁵Rebbi Abbahu asked: Following Rebbi Meïr, should an ox which is stoned have to pay damages by a court of three and be stoned by 23? Rebbi Yose ben Rebbi Abun told him, the rules of an ox which is stoned are all about money; it is a decision of the verse that it should be stoned.

265 End of Halakhah 1, Notes 103-106.

(19b line 18) אגנטוס הַגֶּמוֹן שָׁאַל לְרְבִּי יוֹחָנֶן בֶּן זַכַּאי. הַשּׁוֹר ֹיִפְּלֵּל וְגַם־בְּעָלָיֻו יוּמָת. אָמַר לֵיה. שׁוּתָף לֵיסְטֵיס כְּלֵיסְטֵיס. וּכְשָׁיָצָא אֶמְרוּ לוֹ תַּלְמִידָיו. רְבִּי. לָיָה דְחִיתָה בְּקָנֶה. לָנוּ מָה אַתְּר בִּישְׁר. בְּשִׁיב. אָמַר לָהָן. כָּתוּב הַשּׁוֹר יִפְּלֵּל וְגַם־בְּעָלָיִו יוּמֶת. כְּמִיתַת הַבְּעָלִים כָּךְּ מִיתַת הַשּׁוֹר. מַה מִיתַת הַבְּעָלִים בְּדְרִישָׁה וַחֲקִירָה בְּעָשְׂרִים וּשְׁלֹשָׁה. אַף הִיתַת הַשּׁוֹר בִּדְרִישָׁה וַחֲקִירָה בְּעָשְׂרִים וּשְׁלֹשָׁה. מִיתַת הַשּׁוֹר בִּדְרִישְׁה וַחֲקִירָה בְּעֵשְׂרִים וּשְׁלֹשָׁה. מִיתַת הַשּׁוֹר בִּדְרִישְׁה וַחֲקִירָה בְּעֵשְׂרִים וּשְׁלֹשָׁה.

General²⁶⁶ אגנטוס asked Rebbi²⁶⁷ Johanan ben Zakkai: *the bull shall be stoned, also its owner shall die*²⁶³? He answered, the robber's²⁶⁸ partner is like the robber. When he had left, his students asked him, rabbi, this one you pushed away with a cane²⁶⁹; what are you telling us? He said to them, it is written, *the bull shall be stoned, also its owner shall die,* like the owner's death sentence so is the ox's death sentence. Since the owner's death sentence would be by a court of 23, investigation²⁷⁰ and cross-examination²⁷¹, so the ox's death sentence is by a court of 23, investigation and cross-examination²⁷².

266 Greek ἡγεμῶν "leader, commander." In 19c l. 61 (Note 366) his name is Antoninus, in 19d l. 4 (Note 373) Antigonos. The story has many parallels in which various forms of the name appear.

Jastrow and Krauss think of Quietus, a general of Trajan not likely to have conversed with a person who died under Vespasian. A Genizah text shows that אגנטוס is the correct form. {Perhaps it is not

a name but a title, εὐγενής "noble, well-born."(E. G.)}

267 His title should be Rabban.

268 Greek, ληστής, ληϊστής.

269 Since the owner is not executed, the answer cannot be correct.

270 An exact investigation into the circumstances of the crime; the evaluation

of the evidence is within the purview of the

271 The determination when, where, and how the crime was committed. Discrepancies in testimony about these questions make prosecution impossible; cf. Mishnah 5:1-2.

272 Mekhilta dR. Simeon ben Iohai 21:29.

(fol. 17d) משנה ה: אֵין דָּנִין לֹא אֶת הַשֵּׁבֶט וְלֹא אֶת נְבִיא הַשְּׁקֶר וְלֹא אֶת כֹּהֵן הַנָּדוֹל אֶלָא עַל פִּי בִית דִּין שָׁל שִׁבְּעִים וְאֶחָד. וְאֵין מוֹצִיאִין לְמִלְחֶמת הֶרְשׁוּת אֶלָא עַל פִּי בִית דִּין שָׁל שִׁבְעִים וְאֶחָד. אֵין עוֹשִׁין עָל הָעִיר וְעַל הָעָזָרוֹת אֶלָא עַל פִּי בִית דִּין שֶׁל שִׁבְעִים וְאֶחָד. אֵין עוֹשִׁין עִיר הַנִּדְּחַת אֶלָא עַל פִּי סַּנְּהָדְרָיוֹת לַשְּׁבְטִים אֶלָא עַל פִּי בִית דִּין שֶׁל שִׁבְעִים וְאֶחָד. אֵין עוֹשִׁין עִיר הַנִּדְּחַת אֶלָא עַל פִּי בִּית דִּין שֵׁל שַׁבְעִים וְאֶחָד. וְאֵין עוֹשִׁין עִיר הַנָּדְחַת בַּפְּבְּר וְלֹא שֻׁלשׁ אֲבָל עוֹשִׁין אֵחָת אוֹ שְׁתַּים. בַּיּבְּרָ וְלֹא שֻׁלשׁ אֲבָל עוֹשִׁין אֲחַת אוֹ שִׁתִּים.

Mishnah 5: One judges neither a tribe²⁷³, nor a false prophet²⁷⁴, nor the High Priest²⁷⁵, except by the court of 71 [judges]. One may start a war of choice²⁷⁶ only by the court of 71 [judges]³³⁴. One may add to the City²⁷⁷ or to the Temple courtyards only by the court of 71 [judges]. One appoints high courts for the tribes²⁷⁸ only by the court of 71 [judges]. One declares a town as deviant²⁷⁹ only by the court of 71 [judges]; one does not declare a border town as deviant, nor three together, but one may declare one or two.

273 According to the Halakhah, either the head of the tribe or a case in which one of the parties is a tribe. The only court of 71 members was the Supreme Court, Mishnah 6.

274 Deut. 18:20.

275 Accused of a crime.

276 Any war except a defensive war started by an outside attacker.

277 The part of Jerusalem where sacrifices may legally be eaten. Cf. Mishnah Ševuot 2:2.

278 Required by Deut. 16:19.

279 A town collectively practicing idolatry, which must be destroyed, *Deut.* 13:13-19.

(19b line 24**) מתני'**. אֵין דָּנִין לֹא אֶת הַשֵּׁבֶּט כול'. אִיתָא חֲמִי. שְׁנַיִם אֵין דָּנִים לֹא כְּל־שְׁבֵּן שַׁבֶּט. אָמַר רִבִּי מַתַּנְייָה. בִּנְשִּׂיא שְׁבָטִים הִיא מַתְנִיתָא. אָמַר רִבִּי לִיעֶזֶר. בַּחוֹרֶשׁ שֶׁבֵּין שְׁנֵי שְׁבָטִים הִיא מַתִנִיתָא.

Mishnah: "One judges neither a tribe," etc. Come and look: One does not try two people together²⁸⁰, so much less an entire tribe? Rebbi Mattaniah said, the Mishnah speaks of the head of the tribe²⁸¹. Rebbi Eliezer²⁸² said, the Mishnah speaks about a forested area between two tribes²⁸³.

280 Mishnah 6:8.

282 Read: R. Eleazar.

281 A criminal trial. In the Babli, 15b, this is reported in the name of a Ray Mattanah.

283 A border dispute.

(19b line 26) אָמַר רְבִּי זֵירָא. נֶאֱמַר כָּאן זָדוֹן וְנָאֱמֵר לְהַלֶּן זָדוֹן בְּזָדוֹן דִּבְּרֵוֹ הַנְּבִּיא. מַה זָדוֹן שְׁנֶאֱמֵר לְהַלֶּן בִּיְבִיא הַשְּׁקֶר הַכָּתוּב מְדַבֵּר. אַף זָדוֹן שְׁנֶאֱמֵר כָּאן בִּנְבִיא הַשְּׁקֶר הַכָּתוּב מְדַבֵּר. אַף זָדוֹן שְׁנֶאֱמֵר כָּאן בִּנְבִיא הַשְּׁקֶר הַכָּתוּב מְדַבֵּר שְׁנֶאֱמֵר לְהַלָּן אֲשֶׁר יְדַבֵּר הַנְּבִיא הַשְּׁקֶר הַכָּתוּב מְדַבֵּר. אַף דִּיבֵּר שְׁנֶאֱמֵר כָּאן בִּנְבִיא הַשְּׁקֶר הַכָּתוּב מְדַבֵּר. אַף דִּיבֵר שְׁנֶאֱמֵר כָּאן בִּנְבִיא הַשְּׁקֶר הַכָּתוּב מְדַבֵּר.

Rebbi Ze'ira said, it says here "criminal" and it says there "criminal", the prophet said it criminally²⁸⁴. Since about "criminal" used there, the verse speaks about a false prophet, also about "criminal" used here, the verse speaks about a false prophet²⁸⁵. Rebbi Hizqiah said, it says here "spoke" and it says there, that the prophet would speak in the Eternal's name. Since about "spoke" used there, the verse speaks about a false prophet, also about "spoke" used here, the verse speaks about a false prophet.²⁸⁶

284 *Deut.* 18:22, the law of the false prophet. "Here" refers to the judge who refuses to obey the rulings of the Supreme Court, who acts criminally in his disobedience and is tried before the Supreme Court, *Deut.* 17:8-12.

285 The verse does not speak about a false prophet but about a lower court judge who refuses to accept the authority of the Supreme Court. What is meant is that the procedural details given for the treatment of the rebellious judge also apply to the

treatment of the false prophet. The argument is known as רְּשִׁשׁ "trap". If the same word is used in two contexts, details found in one context and left indeterminate in the other can be transferred from one to the other. As a hermeneutic principle, heaques should be used only if the word in question is not loaded with additional meaning. But in Sifry Deut. 178, the expression "criminal" is interpreted to mean that the false prophet may be prosecuted

only if criminal intent can be shown, not if he acted in error.

the name of R. Yose ben Hanina. 286 It seems that the *heqqeš* should not be about the verb דבר but the noun

In the Babli, 16a, the argument is in

"pronouncement", referring to the judgment of the Supreme Court in case of the rebellious judge, *Deut.* 17:10, and the false prophet who pronounces in the Eternal's name, *Deut.* 18:20.

(19b line 32) **מתני**. אֵין מוֹצִיאִין לְמִלְחֶמֶת הָרְשׁוּת אֶלָּא עַל פִּי בֵּית דִּין שֶׁל ע"א. רְבִּי יְהוּדָה אוֹמֵר. כַּתְּחִילָּה. וַיַּעַל דָּוִדֹ בִּדְבַר נְּדֹ זָה מֶלֶדְ וְנָבִיא. יֶּחֶל שְׁלמֹה לְבְנֵוֹת אֶת־בֵּית־יי אֱלֹהֵי יִשְׂרָאֵל בְּתַרְיִּה עֲלְהַי וְנָבָיא. יֶּחֶל שְׁלמֹה לְבְנֵוֹת אֶת־בֵּית־יי אֱלֹה יִשְׂרָאֵל בְּהַרֹ הַמְּוֹרְיָּה עֲשְׁרִים וְתוּמִּים. לְדָנִיד אָבֵיהוּ זֶה סַנְהַדְרִין. שְׁאֵל אָבִּידְ וְיִצֹּלְּדְדְּ וְלַאַמְרוּ לְדָּ, הַשִּׁיר וְיַבֶּלְדְּ אֲחֲרִיהֶם הְוֹשְׁעְלָה וַחֲצִי שְׁרֵי יְהוּדָה. תּוֹדוֹת וְאָצְמִידָה שְׁתִּי תוֹדוֹת בְּיִמִין מֵעֲל הַחוֹמָה לְשֻׁעֵר הָאַשְׁפְּת. אָמֵר רְבִּי שְׁמוּאֶל בַּר יוּדְן. מַה כָתוּב מהלכוֹת לא תהלוּחוֹת. אלא בניטלות על ידי אחר.

רַב הוּנָא בַּר חִייָה בְשֶׁם רַב מַיִּיְתֵּי לָהְּ דְּבַר תּוֹרָה. כְּכֹל אֲשֶׁר אֲנִי מֵרְאֶה אְוֹתְּדְּ אֵת תַּבְנִית הַמִּשְׁבָּן וְאֵת ... כָּל־בְּלֶיִוּ וְטֵּךְ הְּשָׁר בְּיִם רָב מַיִּיְתֵּי לָהְ וְבָּרִית. מֹשֶׁה זֶה מֶלֶךְ וְנָבִיא. אַהַרֹן אֵילוּ אוּרִים הַמִּשְׁבָּן וְאֵת ... כָּל־כֵּלְיִוּ וְכֵן תַּעֲשִׂוּ כֵּן תַּעֲשׁוּ לְדוֹרוֹת. מֹשֶׁה זֶה מֶלֶהְ וְנָבִּיא. אַהַרֹן אֵילוּ אוּרִים אִישׁ מִזּקְנֵי יִשְּׂרָאֵל זֶה סַנְּהָדְרִין. שְׁאַל אָבִיק וְנַבְּדְּ וּגוֹי. הַשִּׁיר וַיַּבֶּל אָבְים הְוֹשְׁעְלָה וְחֲבֶּל שְׁבִּי יְהוּדָה. תּוֹדוֹת וְאַצְאַמִיךָה שְׁתֵּיְ תוֹדוֹת נְדוֹלוֹת וְתַהֲלוּכוֹת לַיָּמִין מֵעֲל בַּר יוּדְן. מַה כָתוּב מְהַלְּכוֹת לֹא תַהֲלוּכוֹת. אֶלָא הַהַשִּׁלוֹת על ידי אחר.

Mishnah. "One may start a war of choice only by the court of 71 [judges]²⁸⁷. ²⁸⁸Rebbi Jehudah says, as at the start: ²⁸⁹David ascended following Gad's word, that is king and prophet. ²⁹⁰Solomon started to build the Temple of the Eternal, Israel's God, on Mount Moriah where He had appeared to him, there are Urim and Tummim. ²⁹⁰To his father David, this is the Synhedrion, ²⁹¹ask your father and he will tell you, your Elders and they will speak to you. Song, after them went Hoshaia and half the officers of Judea²⁹². Thanksgiving sacrifices, I put up two large thanksgiving sacrifices being in procession going to their right on the wall going to the dung gate²⁹³. Rebbi Samuel bar Yudan said, what is written, walking? No, being in procession, only being taken by others²⁹⁴.

Rav Huna bar Hiyya²⁹⁵ in the name of Rav quotes it from the words of the Torah. *As all that I am showing you, the shape of the building and the shape*.

. . of all its vessels, so you shall execute²⁹⁶. So you shall execute in all generations. Moses is king and prophet. Aaron represents Urim and

Tummim. Assemble for me seventy men from the Elders of Israel²⁹⁷, that is the Synhedrion; ask your father and he will tell you, etc. Song, after them went Hoshaia and half the officers of Judea. Thanksgiving sacrifices, I put up two large thanksgiving sacrifices being in procession going to their right on the wall going to the dung gate. Rebbi Samuel bar Yudan said, what is written, walking? No, being in procession, only being taken by others.

287 This probably should be deleted.

288 The following four paragraphs do not refer to the quote of the Mishnah but are a commentary to Mishnah Ševuot 2:2: "One only adds to the city and the Temple courtyards by king and prophet, Urim and Tummim, the Synhedrion of 71 members, two thanksgiving sacrifices, and song." Only the walled part of the city of Jerusalem counts as "before the Eternal", where family sacrifices may be consumed (Deut. 12:18,14:23); the suburbs are "countryside" which does not count for pilgrimage and sacrifice. Since there were no Urim and Tummim in the Second Temple, Pharisees did not consume sacrifices in the parts of Jerusalem which were added in Hasmonean and later times (Note 306).

In *Ševuot*, only beginning and end of the discussion here are quoted as a reference.

289 2S. 24:19, speaking of David going to buy the Temple area.

290 *2Chr.* 3:1. The verse is misquoted in several places.

291 *Deut.* 32:7. The persons one asks to get definitive answers to all questions of religion are the members of the High Court of 71 members.

292 *Neh.* 12:32. This refers to the members of the Synhedrion walking behind the thanksgiving sacrifices (*Ševuot* 15b); the musical part of the ceremony is described in verses 41,42.

293 Neh. 12:31.

294 In his opinion, the sacrifices were not walked on the wall but were carried. In the opinion of the Babli, *Ševuot* 15a and Tosephta *Sanhedrin* 3:4, not the animals were carried but the leavened bread required for a thanksgiving sacrifice (*Lev.* 7:13). It is possible that this is meant here.

295 In the Babli, *Sanhedrin* 16b, he is referred to as Rav Shimi bar Hiyya (in one ms. Shimi bar Ashi; this can be disregarded, replacing a *hapax* by a frequently quoted name).

296 Ex. 25:9. The final clause in the sentence seems to be redundant; it is explained as referring to future buildings.

297 Num. 11:16.

(19b line 46) כֵּיצַד הָיוּ מְהַלְּכוֹת. רְבִּי חִייָה רַבָּה וְרְבִּי שִׁמְעוֹן בְּרְבִּי. חַד אָמַר. זוֹ כְנֶגֶד זוֹ. וְחָרָנָא אָמָר. זוֹ אַחֵר זוֹ. וֹשְׁנֵיהֶן מִקְרָא אָחָד דּוֹּרְשִׁין. וְהַתּוֹדֶה הַשֵּׁנֵית הַהּוֹלֶכֶת לְמוֹל וַאֲנֵי אַחֲרֶיִה. מָאן דָּמַר. זוֹ אַחַר זוֹ. וּמְלַקָּ אֶת־רֹאשְׁוֹ מִמְּוֹל עָרְפְּוֹ. וּמָאן דָּמַר. זוֹ הָמֶלְקָ אֶת־רֹאשְׁוֹ מִמְּוֹל עָרְפְּוֹ. וּמָאן דַּמַר. זוֹ הָמֵצְא כַל־מַקוֹם וּמַקוֹם מִתְכַּפֵּר בְּתוֹדָה אַחַת. וּמֵאן דַּמַר. זוֹ אַחַר זוֹ.נְמִצְא

כָל־מָקוֹם וּמָקוֹם מִתְכַּפֵּר בִּשְׁתֵּי תוֹדוֹת. מָאן דָּמַר. זוֹ אַחַר זוֹ. יֵאוּת דְּתַנִּינֶן. הַפְּנִימִית נֶאֶכֶלֶת וְחַחִיצוֹנָה נִשְׂרְפָא. וּמָאן דָּמַר. זוֹ כְנֶנֶד זוֹ. אֵי זוֹ הִיא הַפְּנִימִית. זוֹ שֶׁסְמוּכָה לַבַּיִת. רְבִּי יָסָא בְשֵׁם רְבִּי יוּחָנָן. עַל פִּי נָבִיא נֶאֱכֶלֶת. אָמֵר רְבִּי זֵירָא. תַּנֵּיי תַמָּן. נָבִיא יֵשׁ כָּאן אוּרִים וְתוּמִים לִמָּה אֲנִי צַרִידָּ. אַשִּׁכַּח תַּנֵּי. רְבִּי יָהוּדָה אוֹמֵר. צרידְּ אוּרִים וִתוּמִים.

²⁹⁸How did the processions go? The elder Rebbi Hivva and Rebbi Simeon ben Rebbi. One said, one opposite the other; the other said, one after the other; both are explaining the same verse: The second thanksgiving sacrifice went למוּל and I after it²⁹⁹. He who said, one opposite the other, and he dwells opposite me³⁰⁰. But he who said, one after the other, he should break off its head behind its neck³⁰¹. For him who said, one opposite the other, it turns out that every place was purified by one thanksgiving sacrifice³⁰²; for him who said, one after the other, it turns out that every place was purified by two thanksgiving sacrifices³⁰³. For him who said, one after the other, this is correct following what he had stated: "the inner one is being eaten, the outer one is being burned. 304, For him who said, one opposite the other, what is the inner one? The one closer to the Temple. Rebbi Yasa in the name of Rebbi Johanan: It was eaten on the instruction of a prophet³⁰⁵. Rebbi Ze'ira said, they state there: Since a prophet is there, for what do I need Urim and Tummim³⁰⁶? It was found stated: Rebbi Jehudah says, Urim and Tummim are needed³⁰⁷.

298 Ševuot 15b, the entire paragraph.

299 Neh. 12:38, in MT למואל. According to Gesenius, one has to read מאל as contraction of מאַנו "not first, not with it". This justifies both meanings quoted here, "opposite" and "after".

300 Num. 22:5.

301 Lev. 5:8.

302 In principle, one sacrifice would suffice if the procession went around the entire wall.

303 Since we do not expect two sacrifices for the same purpose, one has to find differences of purpose for the two.

304 Tosephta 3:4, *Megillat Ta`anit* 6. The Babli, *loc. cit.* ²⁹⁹ suggests that the first makes sacrifices possible; therefore, it has to be burned outside the Temple. The second one then is a legitimate thanksgiving sacrifice, most of which has to be eaten by the priests as public well-being sacrifice (Mishnah *Zevahim* 5:5).

305 Who defined the meaning of "inner" and "outer"?

306 The nature of the Urim and Tummim oracle already was no longer known when the Second Temple was built; Nehemiah (7:65) uses the expression "the coming of a Cohen with Urim and Tummim" as

synonym with "the coming of the Messiah." The requirement of Urim and Tummim disqualifies all parts of Jerusalem not forming part of Solomon's city.

307 In the Babli, *Ševuot* 16a, it is a dispute among Babylonian Amoraïm whether Nehemiah's ceremony, without king and

oracle, was a valid dedication of the rebuilt city of Jerusalem or whether it was a token ceremony to re-establish the intrinsic sanctity of Jerusalem created by Solomon's Temple. The quote here, from an otherwise unknown *baraita*, qualifies the second opinion as minority opinion of a Tanna.

(196 line 56) אָמַר רְבִּי אַבָּהוּ. אִתְפַּלְּגוּן רְבִּי יוֹחָנֶן וְרֵישׁ לָקִישׁ. חַד אָמַר. בּוֹנִין וְאַחַר בָּדְּ מִקְדִּישִׁין. וְחָרָנָה אָמֵר. בְּוֹנִין וְאַחַר בָּדְּ בּוֹנִין. נְחָרָנָה אָמֵר. בּוֹנִין וְאַחַר בָּדְּ בּוֹנִין. מָאן דָּמֵר. בּוֹנִין וְאַחַר בָּדְּ מִקְדִּישִׁין. אֵין רוֹאִין אֶת הַמְּחִיצוֹת כְּאָלּוּ הֵן עוֹלוֹת. בִּיקְשׁוּ לְהוֹסִיף עֵל הֵיכֶל בַּמֶּה מוֹסִיפִּין. בִּשְׁתֵּי הַלֶּחֶם. וּמַקְדִּישִׁין בַּשְׁבָּת. אֶלֶא בְלְּלָיְה. וּמַקְדִּישִׁין בַּשְּׁבָּת. אֶלֶא בְלְּלָיְה. וּמַקְדִּישִׁין בַּשְּבָּת. אָמֶר רְבִּי יוֹסֵי בִּירְבִּי בּון. בְּשְׁתֵּי תוֹדוֹת הָבָּאוֹת מִנּוֹב בַּיְבִּי בּוֹן. בְּשְׁתֵּי תוֹדוֹת הָבָּאוֹת מִנּוֹב בִירְבִּי וֹחֵי בַּיִרבִּי בּוֹן. בִּשְׁתֵּי תוֹדוֹת הָבָּאוֹת מִנּוֹב נִוּבְי בֹּוֹן.

Rebbi Abbahu said, Rebbi Johanan and Rebbi Simeon ben Laqush disagreeed. One said, one builds and afterwards one dedicates³⁰⁸. The other one said, one dedicates and afterwards one builds³⁰⁹. For him who says, one builds and afterwards one dedicates, one does not say that the partitions are as if built up³¹⁰. If one desires to add to the Temple Hall, by which means does one add³¹¹? By the two loaves³¹². Does one dedicate on a holiday³¹³? Then by the Shew Bread. Does one dedicate on the Sabbath³¹⁴? Then in the night³¹⁵. Does one dedicate in the night³¹⁶? Rebbi Yose ben Rebbi Abun said, with a baked flour offering³¹⁷. One understands that on their return from the diaspora they sacrificed and then dedicated. When they entered the Land,³¹⁸ with what did they dedicate? Rebbi Yose ben Rebbi Abun said, with two thanksgiving sacrifices coming from Nob³¹⁹ and Gibeon.

308 One builds as if the buildings were profane. Only when the Temple enclosure is complete does one dedicate the Temple area, and when the walls of Jerusalem are rebuilt does one dedicate the city. During the building period one is not restricted by all the rules applying to the Temple area. This is possible since the dedication by

Solomon was annulled by the destruction of the Temple. One has to assume that it is possible to dedicate the area of the altar and its enclosure without dedicating the Temple, as done in the time of the first return from Babylonia under Cyrus, *Ezra* 3:3,6.

309 He holds that the dedication by Solomon is permanent. Therefore, any

building must be done under restrictive rules (but it can be built in stages, cf. Mishnah *Idiut* 8:6). If the outline of the Temple enclosure or the original city walls was still visible in Zerubabel's time, no rebuilding was necessary in order to restore the service of the altar.

310 They actually have to be restored before Temple service can be resumed whole or in part.

311 In imitation of the rules given for dedication of the city of Jerusalem under Nehemiah, any dedication must involve a sacrifice. The Babli, *loc. cit.* ²⁹⁹, insists that the sacrifice be used in the place to be dedicated. This leads to a catch-22 situation since a sacrifice can be dedicated only after the dedication of the holy place. There is no reason to assume that the Yerushalmi accepts this reasoning.

312 The two loaves of leavened bread dedicated on Pentecost to permit use of new wheat, *Lev.* 23:17. This is in imitation of the leavened bread used for the dedication of the city, cf. ²⁹⁵. A parallel discussion is in the Babli, *Ševuot* 15a/b.

313 Since the dedication has far-reaching legal consequences, it has the status of an acquisition, which is not acceptable on

Sabbath or holiday, *Is.* 58:13. In addition, the Babli points out that the dedication of the leavened breads is the slaughter of the accompanying well-being sacrifice; then the dedication would not be by bread.

314 The Shew Bread is removed from the sanctuary on the Sabbath, *Lev.* 24:8. It becomes available for secondary use on the Sabbath, when it cannot be so used.

315 The following evening, after the end of the Sabbath.

316 Ex. 30:2 prescribes that the Tabernacle be erected during daytime. This rule then is extended to include all building activity of the Temple.

317 The daily flour offering of the High Priest, *Lev.* 6:12-16.

318 When they entered the Land, they had the Tabernacle which was dedicated in the desert. What one asks here is, how was Solomon's Temple dedicated?

319 The priests' settlement at Nob already was destroyed at the time when the priests from Nob resided at Anatot. The active place of worship was Gibeon, but "Nob and Gibeon" is used as a legal term to indicate the status of holy places after the destruction of Shiloh, before the building of the Temple; cf. Mishnah Zevahim 14:7.

(196 line 64) אָבָּא שָׁאוּל אוֹמֵר. שְׁנֵי בָּצִים הָיוּ שָׁם. הַתַּחְתּוֹנָה וְהָעֶלְיוֹנָה אֵין קְדוּשְׁתָהּ גְּמוֹרָה. הַתַּחְתּוֹנָה נִתְקַדְּשָׁה בְּכוּלָּן. וְהָעֶלְיוֹנָה בַּצֻלִייָתָן מִן הַגּוֹלָה לֹא בְמֶלֶדְּ וְלֹא בְאוֹרִים וְתוּמִּים. לְפִיכָּדְּ הַתַּחְתּוֹנָה עַם הָאֶרֶץ אוֹרְלִין שָׁם קֵדְשִׁים קַלִין וּמֵעֲשֵׁר שֵׁינִי וְחֲבֵיִרם אוֹרְלִין שָׁם קֵדְשִׁים קַלִּין אֲבָל לֹא מֵעֲשֵׂר שֵׁינִי. וְהָעֶלְיוֹנָה עַם הָאֶרֶץ אוֹרְלִין שֶׁם קֵדְשִׁים קַלִּין אֲבָל לֹא מֵעֲשֵׂר שֵׁינִי. וְהָעֶלְיוֹנָה עַם הָאֶרֶץ אוֹרְלִין שֶׁם קֵדְשִׁים קַלִּין וּמָעֲשֵׂר שֵׁינִי. מִפְּנֵי מֵה לֹא קַדְּשׁוּהָ. מִפְּנֵי שֶׁהָיְתָה תּוֹרְפַת יִּרוֹשָׁה יִכוֹלָה לִּכְּבֵשׁ מִשְׁם.

³²⁰"Abba Shaul says, two subdivisions³²¹ were there, the lower and the upper, whose sanctification was not complete. The lower one was dedicated

with a full ceremony, but the upper one only when they came from the diaspora, without a king and without Urim and Tummim. Therefore, in the lower one the vulgar³²² eat family sacrifices and Second Tithe; Fellows eat there family sacrifices but not Second Tithe³²³. In the upper one, the vulgar eat family sacrifices but not Second Tithe³²⁴; Fellows eat there family sacrifices and Second Tithe³²⁵. Why did they not sanctify it? Because it was the vulnerable spot of Jerusalem; it could be conquered from there³²⁶."

320 This *baraita* exists in another three versions: Tosephta 3:4 (T), Babli *Ševuot* 15b (B), *Megillat Ta`anit* 6 (M). A detailed discussion of all four texts, to be used with due caution, is in Note 22 to volume 3 of H. Graetz's *Geschichte der Juden*.

321 ביצין corresponds to the readings of B,T, בצעין, ביצעין, ביצעין, ט by degeneration of the guttural. One has to accept Graetz's derivation from בצע "to split" against Rashi's *marais* "marsh." M has "places on the Mount of Olives", an emendation by a person who did not understand the text.

322 For the notions of "vulgar" and "Fellow", cf. Introduction to Tractate *Demay*, p. 349.

323 Since family sacrifices have more holiness than Second Tithe, one has to

accept the reading of M: "family sacrifices and certainly Second Tithe."

324 Because these were living quarters in the expanding city.

325 Read with B,T,M: "neither family sacrifices nor Second Tithe."

327 This defines the "upper subdivision" as the suburb between the second, Herodian wall and the incomplete third wall of Agrippas I. The lower subdivision then is the part of the old city between the Hasmonean and Herodian walls. Since the third wall could not be completed, the dedicated part of the city could not be expanded there. The subdivision is called *upper* because it slopes upwards from the Damascus gate to the North. The lower subdivision could have been dedicated by a ceremony imitating Nehemiah's.

(19 line אין עוּשִּׁין סַנְהֶדְרִיזֹת לַשְּבָטִים. תַּלְמוּד לוֹמֵר לִשְּבָטֶידְ וְשֵׁפְטִוּ אֶת־הָאֶם. אֵין עוֹשִׂין עִיר הַנִּדַּחַת. רְבִּי יוֹחָנָן בְּשֵׁם רְבִּי הוֹשַעְיָה. תְּלָתָא אֲמוֹרִין. חַד אָמַר. אַחַת עוֹשִׁין שְׁתַּיִם אֵין עוֹשִׁין. וְחָרָנָא אָמֵר. הַסְּמוּכוֹת עוֹשִׁין הַמְפוּזָרוֹת אין עוֹשִׂין. וְחָרָנָא אָמֵר.מְפוּזָרוֹת אֵין עוֹשִין כָּל־עִיקָר שֶׁמָא יָפוּצוּ גוֹיִים וְיָבוֹאוּ לְאֶרֶץ יִשְׂרָאֵל. וְאִית דְּבָעִי מֵימֵר. שְׁמָא יָפוּצוּ הָאוֹיִבִּים וְיָבוֹאוּ לִידֵי קָרְחָה.

"One only appoints high courts for the tribes." The verse says³²⁷, *for your tribes, they shall judge.*

"One only declares a town to be deviant." Rebbi Johanan in the name of Rebbi Hoshaia: ³²⁸Three Amoraïm, one says, one makes³²⁹ one but not two. The other said, clusters one makes³³⁰, dispersed ones one does not make. The other said, dispersed³³¹ one does not make at all, lest Gentiles spread and come to the Land of Israel; some want to say that enemies should not spread and lay waste.

327 *Deut.* 16:18. For the argument behind the quote, cf. *Makkot* 1:17 (Note 74), Babli 16b.

328 In the Babli, 16b, the first opinion is attributed to Rav, the converse of the second to R. Simeon ben Laqish, the third opinion to R. Johanan.

329 No court is empowered to declare more than one town as deviant at the same time.

330 Two neighboring towns can be considered as one. There is no need to emend the Yerushalmi to follow the Babli.

332 This should read, or be interpreted as, "border town" as in the Mishnah.

(fol. 18a) משנה וּ סַנְהֶדְרִין גְּדוֹלָה הַיְתָה שֶׁל שִׁבְעִים וְאֶחָד וּקְטַנָּה שֶׁל עֶשְׂרִים וּשְׁלשָׁה. וּמְנַיִין לָהוֹלָה שֶׁל שָׁה. וּמְנַיִין לְגִּדוֹלָה שֶׁהִיא שֶׁל שִׁבְעִים וְאֶחָד. שָׁנָאֶמֵר אֶסְפָה לִּי שִׁבְעִים אִישׁ מִזּקְנֵי יִשְרָאֵל וּמשָׁה עֵל גַּבֵּיהֶן הֵבִיי וְשְׁבְעִים וְאֶחָד. רְבִּי יְהוּדָה אוֹמֵר. שִׁבְעִים. וּמְנַיִין לַקְטַנָּה שֶׁהִיא שֶׁל עֶשְׂרִים וּשְׁלשָׁה. שְׁנָאֲמֵר וְשֵׁבְּטוּ הָעֵדָה וְהָצִּילוּ הָעָדָה עֵדָה שׁוֹפֶטֶת וְעֵדָה מַצֶּלֶת הֲרֵי כָּאן עֶשְׂרִים. וּמְנַיִין לְעָדָה שְׁנָאֲמֵר וְדִּ מָתַי לָעָדָה הַרְעָה הַזֹּאת נֵצְאוּ יְהוֹשָׁע וְכָלֶב.

Mishnah 6: The High Synhedrion³³² had 71 members and a lower one 23 members. From where that the High Court has 71 members? For it is said³³³: *Assemble for me 70 men of the Elders of Israel*, and Moses was presiding, that makes 71. Rebbi Jehudah says, 70³³⁴. From where that a lower court has 23 members? For it is said, *the congregation shall judge, the congregation shall save*³³⁵. One congregation judges, one congregation saves, this makes twenty. And from where that a congregation consists of ten persons? As it is said, *how long this evil congregation*³³⁶, without Joshua and Caleb.

332 As usual in rabbinic sources, the political institution of the Synhedrion is identified with the juridical of the High

Court, projecting Jabneh arrangements into Temple times.

333 Num. 11:16.

334 He is known for the correctness of his historical traditions. For the political functions of a Synhedrion, an even number of members is acceptable. The judicial functions were exercised by committees of

23 each.

335 *Num.* 35:24,25 speaking of the trial of the homicide; cf. *Sifry Num.* #160.

336 *Num.* 14:27, interpreted as speaking of the ten bad spies.

(2) מתני. סְנְהֶדְרִין גְּדוֹלָה כול. רְבִּי בָּא רְבִּי יָסָא בְשֵׁם רְבִּי יוֹחָנָן. נֶאֱמֵר כָּאן עִדְה וְנֶאֱמֵר לְהַלָּן עֲשָׁרָה אַף כָּאן עַשְׂרָה. אָמַר רְבִּי סִימוֹן. נֶאֱמֵר לְהַלָּן עִשְׂרָה אַף כָּאן עֲשָׂרָה. אָמַר רְבִּי סִימוֹן. נֶאֱמֵר כָּאן תּוֹדְ וְנֶאֱמֵר לְהַלָּן עֲשָׁרָה אַף כָּאן עֲשָׂרָה. אָמַר סִימוֹן. נֶאֱמֵר כָּאן תּוֹדְ וְנֶאֱמֵר לְהַלָּן עֲשָׁרָה אַף כָּאן עֲשָׂרָה. אָמַר כִּיה רְבִּי יֹסֵא בִּירבִי בּוּן. אִם מִתּוֹדְ אַתְּ יְלִיף לָה סַגִּין אִינּוּן. אֶלָּא נֶאֱמֵר כָּאן בְּנֵי יִשְׂרָאֵל וְנֶאֱמֵר לְהַלָּן צְשֶׁרָה אַף כָּאן עֲשֶׂרָה אַף כָּאן עֲשֶׂרָה לְהַלָּן בְּנֵי יִשְׂרָאֵל וַיָּבוֹאוּ בְּנֵי יִשְׁרָאֵל לִשְׁבָּר. מַה בְּנֵי יִשְׂרָאֵל שַׁנָּאֲמֵר לְהַלָּן עֲשֶׂרָה אַף כָּאן עֲשֶׂרָה.

Mishnah: "The High Synhedrion," etc. ³³⁷Rebbi Abba, Rebbi Yasa in the name of Rebbi Johanan: It says here "congregation", and it says there "congregation", *how long the evil congregation*. Since "congregation" there means ten, so here also ten.

Rebbi Simon said, it is said here "amid", and it is said there "amid". Since "amid" there means ten, so here also ten. Rebbi Yose ben Rebbi Abun told him, if you want to infer from "amid", there are too many. But it is said here "the sons of Israel", and it is said there, "the sons of Israel", the sons of Israel came to buy grain. Since "the sons of Israel" there were ten, so here also ten.

337 This is not a repetition of the Mishnah. The paragraph really does not refer to the qualifications of a court but to the requirement of having 10 adult males in attendance for religious observations of a

"congregation." The paragraph is an almost complete quote from *Berakhot* 7:3, Notes 106-108, is repeated in *Megillah* 4:4 (75b l. 7), Babli 23b, and is alluded to in *Sanhedrin* 4:10.

(fol. 18a) משנה זי וּמְנֵיִין לְהָבִיא עוֹד שְׁלשָׁה. מִפּוּשְׁמֵע שְׁנָּאֲמַר לֹא תִהְיָה אַחֲרִי רַבִּים לְרְעוֹת שׁוֹמֵע אֲנִי שְׁאָמֵר הֲיָה אָחֲרִי רְבִּים לְטוֹבָה. אִם כֵּן לְמָה נָאֲמֵר אַחֲרִי רַבִּים לְהַטוֹת. לֹא כְהַשִּׁיזֵוְדְּךְ לְטוֹבָה הַשְּׁיזֵוְדְּךְ לְטוֹבָה הַשְּׁיזֵוְדְּךְ לְעוֹבָה הַשְּׁיזֵוְדְּ לְרָעָה עַל פִּי וֹעִדְּ לְטוֹבָה עַל פִּי (עד) אֶחְד, הַשִּייֵתְדְּ לְרָעָה עַל פִּי שְׁנִים וְאֵין בֵּית דִּין שְׁקוּל מוֹסִיפִּין עֲלִיהָן עוֹד אֶחָד הֲרֵי כָאן עֶשְׂרִים וּשְׁלשָׁה. וְכַמָּה יְהֵא בְעִיר וּתְהֵא רְאוּיָה לְסֵנְהָרִין מֵאָה וְעֻשְׂרִים. רְבִּי נְחָמְיָה אוֹמֵר מָאתַיִם וּשְׁלשִׁים כְּדֵי שְׂרִי עֲשֶׂרוֹת.

65

Mishnah 7: From where that one adds another three³³⁸? From the interpretation of what is said³³⁹, *do not follow the majority to be unfavorable*, I understand that He said, follow them to be favorable. Then why was it said, *to bend after the majority*? Your bending to be favorable is not equal to your bending to be unfavorable. Your bending to be favorable shall be by one [vote] (witness), your bending to be unfavorable shall be by two. Since no court may be even-numbered, one adds another one to obtain 23. How many people shall live in a town that it may have a criminal court? 120. Rebbi Nehemiah said 230, that they might be commanders over tens³⁴⁰.

338 Since Mishnah 6 established that a criminal court must have 20 judges/jurors. 339 Ex. 23:2: Do not follow the majority to do evil; do not testify in a quarrel, to bend, to twist after the majority. This is read to mean that for acquittal one vote is enough but that for conviction one needs a qualified

majority even without the one judge who is voting. Cf. *Mekhilta dR. Ismael Mišpaţim* 20, *dR. Simeon ben Iohai* 23:2 (in the name of Rebbi.)

341 Since the verse requires that judges be outstanding personalities, *Ex.* 18:21, *Deut.* 1:15, each judge must be qualified at least to be the leader of ten men.

(19c line 9) **מתני**י. ומְנַיִין לָהַבִּיא עוֹד שׁלשַׁה כולי. אֲמֵר רַבַּן שָׁמְעוֹן בַּן גַּמְלִיאֵל. בַּרְאשׁוֹנָה לֹא היו חותמין על כתובת נשים כשירות אלא כהנים לויים וישראלים משיאין לכהונה. אַמֶּר רְבִּי יוֹסָי. בַּרָאשׁוֹנָה לֹא הַיִּתָה מָחַלוֹקָת בַּיִשֹּׁרָאֵל אֱלַא סַנָהַדְרִין שָׁלע"א הַיִּתָה יוֹשֶׁבֶת בְּלְשְׁכַּת הגזית. ושני בַתַּי דינין של שלשה שלשה היו יושבין אחד בּחַיל וְאַחַד בָּהַר הַבַּיָת. וּבַתַּי דִינִין שַׁלכ"ג הַיוּ יוֹשָׁבִין בָּכַל־אַייַרוֹת אֵרֵץ יִשְׂרָאֵל. צָרַדְּ אֵחָד מֵהֶן לִשְׁאוֹל דְּבַר הֲלָכָה הָיָה בָא וְשׁוֹאֵלָה בָּבֵית דִּין שֶׁבָּעִירוֹ. אָם שֲמְעוּ אֲמְרוּ לוֹ וְאִי לֹא הַיָּה הוּא וּמוּפָלֵא שֶׁלָהֵן בָּאִין וְשׁוֹאֵלִין אותה בָּבֵית דִּין הַסַּמוּדְּ לְעִירוֹ. אָם שַׁמָעוּ אַמְרוּ לַהֶן וָאִי לֹא הַנָה הוּא וּמוּפְלֵא שַׁלָּהֶן בָּאִין וָשׁוֹאֱלִין אוֹתַהַּ בָּבֵית דִּין שַׁבָּהַר הַבַּיַת. אָם שַׁמִעוּ אֲמָרוּ לָהַן וָאִי לֹא הַיָּה הוּא וּמוּפְלֶא שַׁלְהַן בָּאִין וְשׁוֹאֲלִין אוֹתָהּ בָּבַית דִּין שַבְּחֵיל. אם שַמעוּ אַמְרוּ לַהַן וָאָם לַאוֹ הַיוּ אֵילוּ וָאֵילוּ מְתְכַּנָּסִין לבֵית דִּין הַגָּדוֹל שַׁבִּלִשְׁכַּת הַגַּוִית שֵׁמִשַׁם תּוֹרָה יוֹצְאַה וְרוֹוַחַת לְכָל־יִשְׂרָאֵל. שַׁנָּאֵמַר מִן־הַמָּקְוֹם ָהַהֹּוּא אֲשֶׁר יִבְחַר יי וגו'. סַנָהָדְרִין שַׁבְּלִשַׁכַּת הַנַּזִית אַף עַל פִּי שַׁהַזְּתָה שֶׁלע"א לֹא הַיוּ פְּחוּתִין מכ"ג. צַרַדְ אַחַד מָהָן לַצַאת הַיָּה מֶסתּכּל. אָם יֵשׁ שֶׁם כ"ג הַיָּה יוֹצֵא וָאָם לָאו לֹא הַיָּה יוֹצֵא. וָהַיוּ יוֹשָׁבִין מְתַּמִיד שֶׁלְשַׁחַר עַד תַּמִיד שֶׁלְבֵין הַעַרְבַּיִם. וּבַשַּבַּתוֹת וּבָיָמִים טוֹבִים הָיוּ יוֹשְׁבִין ּבְבֵית הַמִּדְרָשׁ שֶׁבְּהַר הַבַּיִת. נִשְׁאֵלָה הֵלַכָה. אָם שַׁמְעוּ אֵמְרוּ לַהֵן וִאִי לֹא עוֹמִדְין עַל הַמְּנִייַן. רבוּ הַמְזַכִּין זִיכּוּ. רבוּ הַמְחַייִבִין חִייִבוּ. רבוּ הַמְטַהַרִין טִיהַרוּ. רבוּ הַמְטַמְאִין טִימָאוּ. שְׁמְשֶׁם תּוֹרָה יוֹצָאָה וְרוֹוַחַת לְכָל־יִשְׂרָאֵל. מְשֶׁרָבוּ תַלְמִידֵי שַׁמֵּי וְהָלֵּל שֵׁלֹא שִׁימִשׁוּ רַבִּיהֶן כְּצוֹרְכָן רָבוּ הַמַּחֲלוֹקוֹת בְּיִשְׂרָאֵל וְנָצְשׁוּ שְׁתֵּי תוֹרוֹת. וּמִשָּׁם הָיוּ שׁוֹלְחִין בְּכָל־צְייָרוֹת שֶׁבְּאֶרֶץ יִשְׂרָאֵל וְכָל־מִי שְׁהָיוּ מוֹצְאִין אוֹתוֹ חָכָם עָנִיו שְׁפוּי עִיִן טוֹבָה נֶפָשׁ שְׁפֵלָה רוּחַ נְמוּכָה לֵב טוֹב צֵצֶר טוֹב חֶלֶק טוֹב שְׁיִבִין אוֹתוּ בְּבֵית דִּין שֶׁבְּהַר הַבַּיִת וְאַחֵר כָּךְ בְּבֵית דִּין שֻׁבְּחֵיל וְאַחַר כָּךְ בְּבֵית דִּין הַגְּדוֹל שׁבּלשׁכּת הגּזִית.
שׁבּלשׁכּת הגּזִית.

Mishnah: "From where that one adds another three, etc. It was stated: ³⁴¹Rabban Simeon ben Gamliel said, in earlier times the *ketubah* of qualified women³⁴² was signed only by priests, Levites, or Israel whose daughters were qualified to marry into the priesthood.

³⁴³Rebbi Yose said, in earlier times there was no disagreement in Israel³⁴⁴ but the Synhedrion of 71 members were sitting in the free-stone hall³⁴⁵: two courts of three³⁴⁶ members each were sitting, one in the glacis³⁴⁷ and one on the Temple Mount³⁴⁸: and a court of 23 was sitting in every town of the Land of Israel. If somebody had a question of religious practice, he went and asked it from the court in his town. If they had heard [the answer], they told him; otherwise he and their distinguished member went and asked a court in their neighborhood. If these had heard [the answer], they told him; otherwise he and their distinguished member went and asked from the court on the Temple Mount. If these had heard [the answer], they told him; otherwise he and their distinguished member went and asked from the court on the glacis. If these had heard [the answer], they told him; otherwise they together entered the High Court in the free-stone hall since from there instruction came accepted in all of Israel, as it is said: From this place which the Eternal will choose³⁴⁹. Even though the Synhedrion in the free-stone hall had 71 members, those present never numbered less than 23. If one of them had to leave, he was looking around; if 23 others were present, he would leave, otherwise he would not leave³⁵⁰. They were in session from the daily morning sacrifice to the daily evening sacrifice. On Sabbaths and holidays, they were sitting in the study hall on the Temple Mount³⁴⁸. If a question of practice came up, if they had heard the answer, they told them; otherwise they prepared to vote. If a majority was for acquitting, they acquitted. If a majority³⁵¹ was for a guilty verdict, they rendered a verdict of guilty. If a majority was for declaring pure, they declared pure. If a majority was for declaring impure, they declared impure. From the time that there were many students of Shammai and Hillel who did not study enough under their teachers, many disagreements arose and two doctrines developed³⁵². From there³⁵³, they were sending to all towns in the Land of Israel; any time they found a person who was wise, meek, even-tempered, benevolent, pleasing, humble, good-hearted, with good intentions, and well endowed, they were placing him on the court of the Temple Mount³⁵⁴, from there to the court on the glacis, and from there to the High Court in the free-stone hall.

341 Tosephta 7:1, *Hagigah* 2:9.

342 Whose offspring were qualified to marry into the priesthood. In Temple times, this could serve as proof of qualification; cf. *Qiddušin* 4:4.

343 Most of the following text also is in the Babli, 88b. R. Yose, the collector of most of the material of *Seder 'Olam*, represents a very idealized past.

345 A patently incorrect statement. The ancient rabbinic disagreement about the treatment of private sacrifices on holidays is the topic of *Hagigah* 2; the statement declares all non-rabbinic sects as non-Jewish.

345 Adjacent to the Temple, built into the Herodian Temple wall, constructed from large rectangular stones without mortar.

346 Read: 23. However, A. Weiss (לשאלת טיב בית דין של שבעים ואחד, ספר היובל לכ' לוי אינצבורג, ע' קץ-רטז New York 1946) insists that the only courts of 23 in Jerusalem were committees of the court of 71.

347 The space between the Temple wall and a smaller wall surrounding the Temple areas.

348 Outside any enclosure.

349 *Deut.* 17:10. Disobedience of a High Court ruling is only a capital crime if the ruling was delivered from "the place", from a court sitting in a room partially on Temple grounds. When the High Court lost its standing as court of criminal appeals (Note 31) and left the free-stone hall, it also lost its ability to prescribe practice *ex cathedra*.

350 Babli Sotah 45a.

351 In his theory, on appeal only a simple majority is needed.

352 Babli Sotah 47b.

353 The free-stone hall.

354 In all three Babylonian texts, the person is appointed judge in his town and the appeals courts replenished from sitting judges.

(19c line 36) סַּנְהֶדְרִין הֵיְתָה כַּחֲצִי גוֹרֶן צֵגוּלָה וְהַנָּשִׂיא יוֹשֵׁב בָּאֶמְצֵע כְּדֵי שֶׁיְּהוּ רוֹאֵין אוֹתוֹ וְשׁוֹמְעִין קוֹלוֹ. אָמֵר רִבִּי לֵעְזָר בִּירִבִּי צָדוֹק. כְּשֶׁהָיָה רַבָּן גַּמְלִיאֵל יוֹשֵׁב בְּנַבְנָה הָיָה אַבָּא וְאָחִיו יוֹשָׁבִין מִימִינוֹ וּזְּקֵינִים מִשְּׁמֹאלוֹ מִפְּנֵי כְבוֹד הַזָּקֵן.

The Synhedrion was like a threshing floor in the shape of a semi-circle; the president sat in the middle so they might see him and hear his voice. Rebbi Eleazar ben Rebbi Sadoq said, when Rabban Gamliel was sitting at Jabneh, my father and his brother were sitting to his right and the Elders to his left, to honor the old man³⁵⁵.

355 The "old man" was R. Sadoq, a Zakkai's school in pre-war Jerusalem. member already of Rabban Johanan ben

(19c line 39) כַּמָּה הֵן שׁוֹפְטֵי יִשְׂרָאֵל. שָׁבַע רִיבּוֹא וּשְׁמוֹנַת אֲלָפִים וְשֵׁשׁ מֵאוֹת. שָׁרֵי אֲלָפִים שַׁשׁ מֵאוֹת. שָׁרֵי מֵאוֹת שֵׁשֶׁת אֲלָפִים. שֶׁרֵי חֲמִשִּׁים י"ב אֶלֶף. שֶׁרֵי עֲשֶׂרוֹת ס' אֶלֶף. נִמְצְאוּ שׁוֹפַטֵי יִשׂרָאַל. ז' רִיבּוֹא וּשׁמוֹנִת אַלפִים וִשִּׁשׁ מאוֹת.

מַה טַעֲמָא דְרָבִּי נְחֶמְיָה. וְהוּא שֶּׂיְהֵא שָׁם בֵּית דִּין שֶׁלְכ"ג. וְהַנִּידוֹנִין וְהָעֵדִים וְזוֹמְמֵיהֵן וְזוֹמְמֵי זוֹמְמֵיהֶן וְחַזָּן וְסוֹפְרֵיהֶן וְשַׁפָּשׁ. מַה טַעֲמָא דְרַבָּנֵן. וְהוּא שֶּׂיְהֵא שָׁם י"ב סַּנְהֶדְרִיּוֹת שֶׁל י"ב שִׁבַּטִים.

³⁵⁶How many were the judges of Israel³⁵⁷? 78'600. Appointed over a thousand, 600. Appointed over a hundred, 6'000. Appointed over fifty, 12'000. Appointed over ten, 60'000. It turns out that the judges of Israel were 78'600.

³⁵⁸What is the reason of Rebbi Nehemiah? That there should be a court of 23, and the parties, and the witnesses, and the witnesses for perjury, and the witnesses for perjury of the witnesses for perjury, and the rabbi, and the clerks of court, and the beadle. What is the reason of the rabbis? That there might be twelve courts for the twelve tribes.

356 Halakhah 10:2 Note 214. Babli 18a, *Tanhuma Mišpaţim* 6 (a *Šeïlta*).

357 Appointed by Moses. The numbers are inexact since in no census were there exactly 600'000 men.

358 This paragraph is thoroughly corrupt. In the Babli, 17b, a very forced count connects the 120 men required by the rabbis

to a court of 23 acting as a law school to $3 \cdot 23 = 69$ students. Cf. also Tosephta 3:9. If one adds to the 35 people enumerated for R. Nehemiah the 69 law students mentioned in Mishnah 4:10, one arrives at a minimum number attributed in the Tosephta to R. Jehudah; but no emendation can be trusted.

(46 line 46) וּמשֶׁה עַל גַּבִּיהֶן. דְּבַר תַּקֶּנָה עָשָׁה מֹשֶׁה. בְּשְׁעָה שָׁאָמֵר לוֹ חַקְּדוֹשׁ בָּרוּךְ הוּא פְּקוֹד כָּל־בְּכֵּר זָכָר בְּבְנִי יִשְׂרָאֵׁל אָמַר. אֵי זֶה מְקבֵּל עָלָיו לִיתֵּן חֲמֶשֶׁת שְׁקַלִים לַגּוּלְגוֹלֶת. מֶה עָשָׂה. נָטַל כ"ב אֶלֶף פִּיטְקִין וְכָתַב עֲלֵיהֶן בֶּן לֵוִי. וְרע"ג וְכָתַב עֲלֵיהֶן חֲמֶשֶׁת שְׁקַלִים. וְהִשִּילֶן לְקַלְפֵּי. אָמַר לָהֶן. בּוֹאוּ וּטְלוּ פִיטְקִיכֶם. כָּל־מִי שֶׁעֶלָה בְיָדוֹ בֶּן לֵוִי הָיָה אוֹמֵר לוֹ. כְּבָר פְּדָאַךְּ בֶּן לֵוּי. וְכָל־מִי שֶׁעֶלָה בְיָדוֹ חֲמֶשֶׁת שְׁקָלִים הָיָה אוֹמֵר לוֹ. מָה אֶעֱשֶׂה לְדְּ מִן הַשְּׁמִים הוּא. רְבִּי יְּהָרָדָּה וְרְבִּי יְּחֶמְיָה מְתִיב תַּנַּייָא לַחֲבֵרִייָא. אִילּוּ כְתַבְּתָּנִי לוֵי סִילְקָת. אֶלָא כָּךְּ עָשָׁה. נָטֵל כ"ב אֶלֶף פִּיּטְקִין וְכָתַב לוֵי וּמָאתִים וְשִׁבְּעִים וּשְׁלשָׁה כָתַב עֲלֵיהָן חֲמֶשֶׁת שְׁקָלִים וְהִטִּילָן לְקַלְפֵּי. אָמֵר לָהָן. בּוֹאוּ וּטְלוּ פִּיטְקִיכֶם. כָּל־מִי שֶׁעֶלָה בְיָדוֹ לוֵי אָמֵר לוֹ. כְּבֶר פְּדָאֲךְ בֶּן לוִי. וְכָל־שְׁעָלְה בְיָדוֹ חֲמֶשֶׁת שְׁקַלִּים הָיָה אוֹמֵר לוֹ. מָה אֶעֱשֶׂה מִן הַשְּׁמִים הוּא. מְתִיב תַּנִּייָא לְחֲברִייָא שְׁעָלוּ כוּלָם לוִי. אַמְרוּ לוֹ. מִעֲשֶׂה וֵס הָיָה וּמְסוּרְגִין עָלוּ. אָמֵר רְבִּי שְׁמוּאֵל. עַל הַעְתִיה דְּתַנַּייָא אֲעוֹלוּ כוּלְם לוִי. אַמְרוּ לוֹ. מִעֲשֶׂה דְּתַנִּייִא קַדְמִייָא אֵינוֹ מֵעֲשֶׂה נִיּסִין. עָלוּ. אַמְרוּ לִּהָּר בְּיִהָּי בְּתְבִּייָא מִעֲשֶׂה נִיּסִין. עָלוּ. לְיִה בּוּלְהוֹן מַעֲשֶׂה נִיּסִין הָיוּ וּמְסוּרְגִין עָלוּ.

"And Moses was presiding." ³⁵⁹Moses found a way to settle things. When the Holy One, praise to Him, told him, count all male firstborns among the Children of Israel³⁶⁰, he said, who will consent voluntarily to give five sheael per head? What did he do? He took 22'000 tickets³⁶¹ and wrote on them "Levite" and 273 on which he wrote "five shegel" and put all of them in an urn³⁶². He told them, come and take your ticket. To any one who drew a ticket saying "Levite" he said, a Levite already redeemed you. To anybody who drew a slip saying "five sheqel" he said, what can I do, it is from Heaven; Rebbi Jehudah³⁶³. But Rebbi Nehemiah the Tanna objected to the colleagues: If you had given me the possibility of a Levite, it would have come up for me.³⁶⁴ But the following is what he did. He took 22'000 tickets³⁶⁵ and wrote on them "Levite" and two hundred seventy three on which he wrote "five sheqel" and put all of them in an urn. He told them, come and take your ticket. To any one who drew a slip saying "Levite" he said, a Levite already redeemed you. The anybody who drew a ticket saying "five sheqel" he said, what can I do, it is from Heaven. The Tanna objected to the colleagues: Think of it, if all came up as "Levite" They told him, it was miraculous and they came up alternatingly. Rebbi Samuel said, in the opinion of the second Tanna, it was a miracle. In the opinion of the first Tanna, it was not a miracle³⁶⁷. They told him, it was a miracle in any case since they came up alternatingly.

359 Tanhuma Bemidbar 21, Tanhuma Buber Bemidbar 25, Num. rabba 4(9), shortened Babli 17a.

360 *Num.* 3:40. The reference should have been to vv. 46,47 where Moses was commanded to collect 5 *šeqel per person* from 273 of the 22'273 firstborn of the 11

tribes. The other 22'000 were redeemed by the service of 22'000 Levites. Since he was instructed to take the 5 *šeqel* from 273 persons, he could not simply collect 1'365 šeqel from 22'273 persons.

361 Greek πιττάκιον "ticket, label, etc."362 Greek κάλπη, "urn".

363 The Midrash sources make it clear that the preceding was R. Jehudah's opinion; the following is R. Nehemiah's. The Babli only mentions R. Jehudah's opinion.

364 Since there were only 22'273 tickets, it was a mathematical necessity that 273 people would have to pay. The distribution of these was a pure matter of probabilities; there is no reason to invoke Heaven in the matter.

365 The parallel sources, and the paragraph after the next, make it clear that one has to read: 22'273 tickets reading "Levite".

366 Assuming that the tickets in the urn are well mixed and all tickets have the same probability to be drawn, the probability that in 22'273 drawings of 22'546 tickets no slip of "5 *seqel" or that all of the 273 extra tickets would be drawn is practically zero. (The first probability starts with a string of 117 zeroes after the decimal point, the second with 639.) If all 273 extra tickets were actually drawn, it was a clear sign from Heaven.

367 While in the first scenario by necessity 273 tickets of "5 *šeqel*" were drawn, these slips were drawn with approximately constant frequency, about 122.6 per 10'000 draws, against the probabilistic frequency of 121.1 per 10'000. One cannot say that alternatingly "Levite" and "5 *šeqel*" were drawn since then the drawing would have ended after 546 draws.

(19c line 61) אַנְטוֹנִינוּס הֵגְמוֹן שָׁאַל אֶת רַבָּן יוֹחָנָן בֶּן זַכַּאי. בִּכְלָל חֲסֵירִין וּבִפְּרָט יְתֵירִין. אָמַר לֵיה. אוֹתֵן שָׁלשׁ מֵאוֹת יְתֵירִין בִּכוֹרֵי כָהוּנָּה הֵיוּ וָאֵין קַדוֹשׁ מוֹצִיא קַדוֹשׁ.

³⁶⁸General Antoninus³⁶⁹ asked Rabban Johanan ben Zakkai: The sum is deficient, the details are excessive. He told him, these 300 were firstborn of the priesthood; no holy one redeems a holy one³⁷⁰.

368 Babli *Bekhorot 5*a, *Num. rabba* 4(7). 369 In *Bekhorot* קונטרוקוס, in *Num. rabba* הונגטיס: cf. Note 267.

370 In the census reported in *Num*. 3, the families of the Levites were reported as Gershon 7'500, Qehat 8'600, Merari 6'200,

for a total of 22'300. But in the summation in v. 39 only 22'000 are reported and this number reappears as the number of Levites freeing firstborns from payment. Instead of "priesthood" one has to read "Levites".

(10 line 61) פְּיוֹצֵא בוֹ וַיּׂאמֶר יי אֶל־מֹשֶׁה אֱסְפָּה־פִּׁי שִׁבְעֵים אִישׁׁ מִזְּקְנֵי יִשְׂרָאֵלֵּ. אָמַר מֹשֶׁה אֵסְפָּה־פִּׁי שִׁבְּט מְקַבֵּל עָלִיו לְהְיוּת פָּגוּם. מֶה עָשָׂה אָם אֶטוֹל ו' מִכֶּל־שַׁבֶט הֲרִי ע"ב. י' מִו' וּב' מֵה' אֵי זָה שַׁבֶּט מְקַבֵּל עָלִיו לְהְיוּת פָּגוּם. מֶה עָשָׂה נָטֵל שִׁבְעִים פִּיטְקִין וְכָתַב עֲלֵיהֶן זָקַן וב' חָלֶק וְהִטִּילָן לְקַלְבֵּי. אָמֵר לָהֶן. בּוֹאוּ וּטְלוּ בִּיטְקִיכֶם. כָּל־ שֵׁעֻלָה בְּיָדוֹ זָקַן הָיָה אוֹמֵר לוֹ. מִינּוּךְ מִן הַשְּׁמִים. וְכָל־מִי שַׁעֻלֶה בְּיָדוֹ חָלֶק הָיָה אוֹמֵר לוֹ.

מָה אֶצֵשֶׂה וּמִן הַשְּׁמֵיִם הוּא.. רְבִּי יוּדָה וְרְבִּי נְּחֶמִיָה מְתִיב תַּנַייָא לַחֲבֵרייָא. אִילּוּ כְתַבְתָּנִי זְקֵן סָּלְקּת. אֶלָּא כָּדְּ עָשָׂה. נָטַל ע"ב פִּיטְקִין וְכָתַב עֲלֵיהֶן זָקֵן וּשְׁנַיִם חֲלָקִין וְהָטִילָן לְקַלְפֵּי. אָמֵר לָהָ. בּוֹאוּ וּטְלוּ פִּיטְקִיכָם. מִי שֶׁעֶלָה בְּיָדוֹ זָקֵן אוֹמֵר לוֹ. כְּבָר מִינּוּדְ מִן הַשְּׁמִיִם. וּמִי שֶׁעֶלָה בְּיָדוֹ חָלֵן אוֹמֵר לוֹ. וּמָה אֶצֵשֶׂה וּמִן הַשְּׁמִיִם הוּא. מְתִיב תַּנַיִּיא לַחֲבַרִייָא. הַגַּע עַצְמָדְ שְׁעָלוּ כוּלְם זָקוֹ. אֵמְרוּ לִיהּ. מֵעֲשֶׂה נִיסִין הָיָה וּמְסוּרְגִין עָלוּ. אָמֵר רְבִּי שְׁמוּאֵל. קּשִּׁתִיהּ קוֹמֵי רְבִּי אַמְרוּ לָיִהּ, בְּעִישְׁה נִיסִין הָיָה וּמְסוּרְגִין עָלוּ. אַבְּהוּ. עַל דְּעְתֵּיהּ דְּתַנֵּייָא אֲרוֹרִייָא מֵעֲשֶׂה נִיסִים. עַל דִּעְתֵּיהּ דְּתַנַיִיָּא אֵינוֹ מִעֲשֶׂה נִיסִים. אָמַר לֵיהּ. מַעֲשֶׂה נִיסִין הָיּוּ וּמְסוּרְגִין עָלוּ.

³⁷¹Similarly, the Eternal said to Moses, assemble for Me seventy men of the Elders of Israel³³⁴. Moses said, if I am taking six per tribe, there will be 72, ten of six each and two of five, which tribe will agree to be discriminated against? What did he do? He took 70 tickets and wrote on them "Elder", two he left blank, and put them in an urn. He told them, come and take your ticket. To any one who drew a slip saying "Elder" he said, from Heaven they appointed you. To anybody who drew a blank slip he said, what can I do, it is from Heaven; Rebbi Jehudah³⁶³. But Rebbi Nehemiah the Tanna objected to the colleagues: If you had given me the possibility of an Elder, it would have come up for me.³⁶⁴ But the following is what he did. He took 72 tickets and wrote on them "Elder" and two blank ones and put all of them in an urn. He told them, come and take your ticket. To any one who drew a slip saying "Elder" he said, from Heaven they appointed you. The anybody who drew a blank slip he said, what can I do, it is from Heaven. The Tanna objected to the colleagues: Think of it, if all came up as "Elder"? They told him, it was miraculous and they came up alternatingly³⁷³. Rebbi Samuel said, I objected before Rebbi Abbahu: in the opinion of the second Tanna, it was a miracle. In the opinion of the first Tanna, it was not a miracle. He told him, it was a miracle in any case since they came up alternatingly³⁷⁴.

371 Tanhuma Beha`alotekha 11, Tanhuma Buber Beha`alotekha 22, Sifry Num. 95, Num. rabba 15(14), Yalqut Šim`ony 736, Babli 17a.

372 From here on there exists a Genizah fragment (L. Ginzberg, *Yerushalmi Fragments from the Genizah*, New York 1909, p. 256 ff.) where, however, few lines are

complete. Its readings are noted G only in paragraphs where they are reasonably complete and mark a genuine difference in the text.

373 The probability of at least one of the blank tickets remaining in the urn, assuming uniform distribution (thorough mixing) of the tickets, was 0.14316.

374 I. e., about evenly spaced.

(3 line 3) שָׁאַל אַנְטִיגֵנֹס הֵגָמוֹן לְרַבָּן יוֹחָנָן בֶּן זַכַּאי. מֹשֶׁה רַבְּכֶם אוֹ גַנָּב הָיָה אוֹ לֹא הָיָה בָקִי בְחָשְׁבּוֹן. דְּכְתִיב בֻּקַע לַגַּלְגְּלֶת. אִין תַּעֲבִיד קַינְטֶרָא מֵאָה לִיטְרִין חַד מִן אִישְׁתָּא גָנַב. וְאִין תַּעֲבִיד קַינְטֶרָא מֵאָה לִיסְרִין חַד מִן אִישְׁתָּא גָנַב. וְאִין תַּעֲבִדִּינִיה שִׁיתִּין לִיטְרִין פַּלְגָּא גָנַב. אָמֵר לֵיהּ. מֹשֶׁה רַבָּן גִּזְבֶר נָאֱמָן וּבְּקִי בַחֶשְׁבּוֹן הָיָה. אָמֵר לֵיהּ. מְשָׁרִים בָּכֶּר. וְהַיִּיְדָא לוֹן סֵלְקִין וְשִׁית לִיטְרִין וְאִית עֲבִיד לֵיהּ. מִשִּׁהּ דְּלָא סְלִיק קֵינְטֶירָא. וְאִין וּשִׁית לִיטְרִין וְאִית עֲבִיד לֵיהּ. וְמִּשְׁרַ הַּמְּאוֹת וַחֲמִשְּׁה וְשְׁבְּעִים וְחַדְּא לוֹן סֵלְקִין שִׁבְּעִים וְחַד אָמָר לֵיהּ. וְמָבִיד לְיהּ בְּרוֹיְרוֹט. אָמֵר לֵיהּ. מִשִּׁהּם דְּלָא סְלִיק קַינְטֶירָא פַּלְגָּא גָנַב. אָמַר לֵיהּ. לִייְרִין וְאַתְּ צְבִיד לֵיהּ בְּרוֹיְרוֹט. אָמֵר לֵיהּ. מְשִּהֹם דְּלָא סְלִיק קִינְטֶירָא פַּלְגָּא גָנַב. אָמַר לֵיהּ. לִיְיְרִין וְאַתְּ צְבָיד לֵיה בְּרוֹיְרוֹט. אָמַר לֵיהּ. מְשִּׁרִם הְמִשְּׁה וְעֻשְׁרִים שְׁקִּלִים עֲשְׂרִים וֹחָמִשְּׁה וְשְלֵים הַמְשָּרִים שְׁקְלִים הְנִמְשְׁרִים שְׁקְלִים בְּרָוֹ שְׁלָבִים הָמִשְּרָים הְמָשְׁרָ הְיָרִים בְּנִים לְּמָבְי בְּחָשְׁרִים בְּרָוּ בְּרִיּ בְּחָיּב וֹם בְּמִשְׁרִּ לְיָרוֹם בְּמִשְׁרָ בְּיִרְים שְׁקְלִים בְּרָוּ בְּחִים בְּחִשְׁהֹ לְּנָם. בְּכִּלְּנִים בְּרָוֹי שְׁלִים בְּרִים בְּרָוּ בְּיִבְי בְחָשְׁרִים בְּרִי בְּשְׁרִים בְּרָים בְּרוּ בְּרִים בְּרִים בְּבִיוֹ בְּיִים בְּיִבְי בְּקִילִים בְּיִבְי בְחָשְׁרִים בְּיִבְי בְחָשְׁרִים בְּיִבְי בְּיִבְים בְּיִים בְּיִים בְּיִבְי בְּחָשְׁרִים בְּרִים בְּיִים בְּיִים בְּיִים בְּיִבְי בְּיִבּים בְּיִבְים בְּיִים בְּיִים בְּיִים בְּיִים בְּיִים בְּבִיוּ בְּיבְים בְּיִים בְּיִים בְּיִים בְיוֹם בְּיוֹים בְּיִים בְּיִים בְּיִּים בְּיִים בְּיִים בְּנְייִים בְּיִים בְּיִים בְּיִים בְּיִבְּיִים בְּיִים בְּיִים בְּיִים בְּיִים בְּיִים בְּיִים בְּיִים בְּיִבְּיִים בְּיִים בְּיִים בְּבְּיִים בְּיִּיבְייִים בְּיִים בְּיִיבְיִים בְּיִילְיִים בְּיִבְים בְּיבְּיבְיבְּיבְיּיִיבְיבְּיִיבְּיִים בְּיִים בְּיבְּיִים בְּי

ם בחשבונות G באולגולת. אין תעביד קנטירא G ואין תעביד קינטרא. G תעבדיניה שיתין G תעבדינה אישתין נאמן G לגולגולת. אין תעביד קנטירא G ואין תעביד קינטרא. G שבעים וגו' והיידא לון G והיידילון ושית G ווהיידא לון G קינטרא G קינטרא G קינטרא G קינטרא G קינטרא G קינטרא G שובעין וחָדָה G לה G לה G לה G לה (twice) קינטרא פלגא G קונטירא פלגא G פפול היה. אמ' ליה G ... כפול הוא אמר לה משה רבכון

Moses either was a thief or he did not know how to compute, for it is written: a beqa`per head⁸⁷⁷. If you make the centenarius 100 pounds,³⁷⁸ he stole one sixth. If you make it 60 pounds, he stole half. He answered him, our teacher Moses was a trustworthy treasurer expert in computations. He answered him, is it not written, they contributed bronze twenty talents³⁷⁹; for us that makes 96 pounds³⁸⁰ and he mentions them in detail. He answered back, because it does not add up to a centenarius; if you would say that it added up to a centenarius, he would have stolen half. He told him, but it is written 1'775, would that not make 71 pounds³⁸¹ and he mentions it in detail. He answered back, if you would say that it added up to a centenarius, he would have stolen half. He told him, but it is written, the sheqel is 20 gera, twenty sheqel, twenty-five sheqel, twenty-five sheqel, twenty-five sheqel, the maneh³⁸² shall be for you³⁸³; the talent of the Holy One, praise to Him, was double. He answered back, he³⁸⁴ was a trustworthy treasurer and expert in computations.

375 A different version is in the Babli, *Bekhorot* 5a.

376 In G, אגנטוס, cf. Note 267.

377 The discussion is about Ex. 38:25.26 (in the LXX, ed. A. Rahlfs, 39:2.3) where it is stated that the Temple tax of a bega', half a *šegel*, paid by 603'550 men came to 100 kikkar 1775 šegel. The LXX follows rabbinic tradition in identifying the bega', the Babylonian zuz, with the drachma, i. e., the *šegel* with the *dupondius*, and the *kikkar* (Accadic gaggarum "disk") with the talent of 60 minas or 6'000 drachmas, i. e. 3'000 šegel (cf. Oiddušin 1:1, Note 122.) But in Oiddušin 1:3 Note 339 it is declared that any šegel mentioned in the Torah is a Roman tetradrachma. Then the silver contributed should have been twice the recorded amount, 201 talents 550 šegel.

378 The *libra* weighing 100 *drachmae* is the natural weight equivalent of the Greek *mina* in coin. The *centenarius*,

"hundredweight", 100 pounds, is taken as the equivalent of the talent. But if by *seqel* a tetradrachma is meant, a talent should have been 120 *mina*, not 60 as usual, and not 100 as presumed here. Computed from above, one-sixth is missing in the latter, half in the former case.

379 A scribal error; in the verse (and G): 70 talents. The total recorded was 70 talents, 2'400 *šegel*.

380 Since 25 *tetradrachma* make a *mina*, 2'400 *drachma* are 96 *mina*. Nevertheless, the verse mentions *šeqel*, not *mina*.

381 @ 25 tetradrachma each.

382 The Babylonian mina.

382 Ez. 45:12; the correct text, intended here, is: 20 šeqel, 25 šeqel, 15 šeqel make a maneh, for a total of 60. Therefore, it is impossible to identify the Greek mina as 25 šeqel. Cf. Oiddušin 1:2 Note 204.

383 Read with G: "Your teacher Moses."