

Table of Contents

Preface	vii
Table of Contents	ix
Abbreviations	xv
1 Introduction	1
1.1 Introduction: the field of inquiry	1
1.2 Basic facts about Polish: the problems	2
1.2.1 The problem of the DP in Polish	3
1.2.2 The problem of the syntactic structure of a clause in Polish	6
1.2.3 The outline of a possible approach	9
1.3 Interaction between DPs and the clause structure: examples	9
1.3.1 Basic facts about the relevance of the word order for the interpretation of DP	10
1.3.2 Behavior of DPs in the clause	11
1.3.3 Possible accounts: two major approaches	15
1.3.3.1 Indefinites as variables (Heim 1982)	16
1.3.3.2 The Mapping Hypothesis (Diesing 1992)	19
1.4 The puzzles	21
1.4.1 The problem of subjects in negated sentences	21
1.4.2 'Genitive subjects' in existential sentences	24
1.4.2.1 The existential predicate hypothesis	24
1.4.2.2 Existential 'be' as a copula 'be'	25
1.4.2.3 A Heim-Diesing approach	27
1.4.3 The problem of n-words in Polish	28
1.5 Sketch of the analysis	29
1.6 The way in which this dissertation is organized	30
2 Structure of negative sentences	33
2.1 Introduction	33
2.2 Negative sentences from a typological point of view	34
2.2.1 Different ways of realization of negation	35
2.2.2 Different positions of negation in the clause	37
2.3 Approaches to negation	39
2.3.1 The opponents of the NegP	39

2.3.2	The advocates of the NegP	42
2.3.2.1	Status of a negative marker	44
2.3.2.2	Position of NegP in relation to other constituents	48
2.4	Negation in Polish	53
2.4.1	Realization of negation	54
2.4.1.1	Sentence negation versus constituent negation	55
2.4.1.1.1	Position of the negative marker	55
2.4.1.1.2	Genitive of Negation (GoN)	58
2.4.1.1.3	(Co-)Occurrence of n-words	59
2.4.1.2	Partial conclusions	59
2.4.2	Negation related phenomena: two diagnostics for sentential negation	60
2.4.2.1	Genitive of Negation (GoN)	60
2.4.2.1.1	Objects of negated transitive verbs	61
2.4.2.1.2	Internal arguments of negated unaccusative verbs	62
2.4.2.1.3	External arguments of negated verbs	63
2.4.2.1.4	Partial conclusions	64
2.4.2.2	Negative pronouns	65
2.4.3	Position of negation in Polish	71
2.4.3.1	Auxiliary verbs and the position of negation	72
2.4.3.1.1	The inventory of auxiliaries in Polish	72
2.4.3.1.2	Syntactic positions of the auxiliaries	78
2.4.3.1.3	Position of negation with respect to the auxiliaries	81
2.4.3.1.3.1	Negation in the periphrastic constructions	81
2.4.3.1.3.2	Negation and the conditional auxiliary	82
2.4.3.1.3.3	Negation and the subject agreement clitic	83
2.4.3.1.3.4	Partial conclusions: problem of the future auxiliary	83
2.4.3.2	Modal verbs and the position of negation	84
2.4.3.2.1	General remarks on the nature of modal verbs in Polish	84
2.4.3.2.2	Problem: position of modals and the future auxiliary	85
2.4.3.2.2.1	Arguments against assuming the same position for modals and the future auxiliary	86
2.4.3.2.2.1.1	No complementary distribution	86
2.4.3.2.2.1.2	Negation facts	86
2.4.3.2.2.2	Structure of modal clauses	90
2.4.3.2.2.2.1	Negative pronouns in modal clauses	90
2.4.3.2.2.2.2	Similarities with other infinitival constructions	92
2.4.3.2.2.2.3	Possible analysis of infinitival constructions	93
2.4.3.2.3	Partial conclusions: back to the problem of the future auxiliary	95
2.4.3.3	Conclusions	98

	2.4.3.4	Note on the relation between tense and sentential negation	102
2.5		Is there a NegP in Polish?	106
	2.5.1	Arguments against NegP or against the negative marker being the head of a NegP	108
	2.5.1.1	<i>Nie</i> as a prefix attached to the verb already in the lexicon	108
	2.5.1.1.1	Stress Shift	108
	2.5.1.1.2	Coordination	110
	2.5.1.1.3	Non-uniformity of negation (arbitrary gaps)	112
	2.5.1.2	<i>Nie</i> as a clitic, but not the head of a NegP	114
	2.5.2	Arguments in favor of NegP in Polish	117
	2.5.2.1	Problem of scope	117
	2.5.2.2	<i>Nie</i> as a clitic, but yet unlike other clitics	121
	2.5.2.3	Negation related word order facts	124
	2.5.2.4	<i>Nie</i> as the head of NegP	127
2.6		Structure of negative clause in Polish	130
2.7		Summary	130
3		N-words in Polish	133
3.1		Introduction	133
3.2		Etymology of n-words in Polish: crosslinguistic comparison	135
3.3		Distribution of n-words in Polish: crosslinguistic comparison	139
	3.3.1	Negative contexts/ Negative Concord: basic facts	139
	3.3.2	Problematic cases	140
	3.3.2.1	Contexts without an overt negative marker	140
	3.3.2.2	Expletive negation	142
	3.3.2.3	Lexical negation	145
	3.3.3	Locality of Negative Concord	146
	3.3.4	Non-negative contexts	150
	3.3.5	Comparison with n-words in other languages (Romance)	151
	3.3.5.1	Pre- vs. postverbal asymmetry	151
	3.3.5.2	Excursus	152
	3.3.5.3	Occurrence in non-negative contexts	155
	3.3.5.4	Licensing by higher clause negation	156
	3.3.5.5	Interpretational asymmetries	156
	3.3.5.6	Summary	159
3.4		Puzzles in the distribution of n-words: complementary distribution with non-n-words	159
3.5		Possible analyses of n-words	163
	3.5.1	The NEG-Criterion (movement)- analysis	164
	3.5.1.1	Arguments in favor of the analysis of n-words as negative universal quantifiers	164
	3.5.1.1.1	N-words as inherently negative elements	165
	3.5.1.1.1.1	The 'answer'-test	165

	3.5.1.1.1.2 Counterarguments	165
	3.5.1.1.1.3 Partial conclusions	169
	3.5.1.1.2 N-words as universal quantifiers	169
	3.5.1.1.2.1 Tests for the status of n-words as universal quantifiers	169
	3.5.1.1.2.2 Counterarguments	171
	3.5.1.1.2.3 Partial conclusions	173
	3.5.1.2 Movement and the NEG-Criterion	176
	3.5.1.2.1 Similarities between multiple n-words and multiple wh-movement	177
	3.5.1.2.2 Similarities between wh-absorption and 'negative absorption'	187
	3.5.1.2.2 Dissimilarities between wh-movement and n-word- licensing	192
	3.5.1.2.4 Further criticism of the NEG-Criterion analysis	197
	3.5.1.2.5 Partial conclusions	200
3.5.2	Negative Concord: excursus	201
	3.5.2.1 Problems for compositionality	202
	3.5.2.2 NEG-Criterion and its problems	208
	3.5.2.3 Problems for accounting for NC in terms of the Minimalist Program (feature checking)	209
	3.5.2.4 Compositional analysis of Negative Concord	211
	3.5.2.5 A novel analysis of Jespersen's cycle and NC (Rowlett 1997)	218
3.5.3	N-words as universal quantifiers sensitive to negative polarity and the logical subject of negative predications (Giannakidou 1998)	221
	3.5.3.1 N-words as universal quantifiers	222
	3.5.3.1.1 Tests for the status of n-words as universal quantifiers	222
	3.5.3.1.2 Differences between n-words and universal quantifiers	222
	3.5.3.1.3 Further problems for the analysis of n-words in terms of universal quantifiers	224
	3.5.3.1.4 Partial conclusions	227
	3.5.3.2 N-words as the logical subject of negative predications	227
	3.5.3.2.1 Counterarguments: interpretation of n-words	227
	3.5.3.2.2 Conclusions	230
	3.5.3.3 Note on the incompatibility of n-words with universal quantifiers in negated sentences	230
	3.5.4 N-words as indefinites	233
4	N-words as NPIs	237
4.1	Introduction	237
4.2	Arguments in favor of analyzing n-words as NPIs	238
	4.2.1 Obligatory co-occurrence with <i>nie</i>	238
	4.2.2 <i>Bez</i> as licenser of NPIs	240
	4.2.3 'Affirmative' meaning of n-words	242

4.2.4	'Indefinite nature' of n-words	243
4.3	Problems of the analysis of n-words in terms of NPIs	246
4.3.1	Problems of the n-word-subjects	246
4.3.1.1	Comparison with the licensing of <i>any</i> in the subject position	247
4.3.1.2	Further counterarguments: crosslinguistic comparison	253
4.3.1.3	Towards an explanation of the differences between English and Polish	257
4.3.2	Occurrence of n-words in isolation	265
4.3.3	Non-licensing of n-words in other Negative Polarity environments	267
4.3.3.1	A binding approach to Polarity	268
4.3.3.2	Arguments in favor of the binding approach to Polarity	271
4.3.3.3	Defining the 'binding domain' in Polish	275
4.3.3.4	Problems of the Binding Analysis	282
4.3.3.4.1	Potential antecedents and the Governing Category for polarity items	283
4.3.3.4.2	The Polarity Operator in Comp	286
4.3.3.4.3	The problem of <i>bez</i> 'without'	291
4.3.3.4.4	Licensing of lexical NPIs and other non-specific indefinites	293
4.4	Summary	296
4.5	Appendix: Focus particles and polarity contexts	297
5	Seeking the explanation of the complementary distribution of n-words and non-n-words	301
5.1	Introduction	301
5.2	Extending the analysis to other indefinite pronouns: distribution and feature specification	303
5.3	Negative Polarity environments as downward entailing (downward monotonic)	321
5.3.1	Problem of characterizing all Negative Polarity environments as DE	324
5.3.1.1	Not all negative polarity environments can be easily defined as DE	325
5.3.1.2	NPIs licensed in non-DE environments	327
5.3.1.3	NPIs not licensed despite the presence of a licensing (DE) context	327
5.3.1.4	Not all NPIs are licensed by all DE expressions	328
5.3.2	Possible solutions: readjustment of the notion 'downward entailing'	331
5.3.2.1	Case 1: NPIs licensed despite a (strictly speaking) not DE environment	331
5.3.2.2	Case 2: Failure of licensing despite a (strictly speaking) DE environment	337
5.3.3	Partial conclusions	339
5.4	The 'strength' hierarchy of downward entailing functions	340
5.4.1	Three different types of downward entailing functions (Zwarts 1993)	341
5.4.2	Negative Concord as restricted to anti-additive expressions	347
5.4.2.1	Problems	350

	5.4.2.2	Partial conclusions	360
5.5		Towards a solution	362
	5.5.1	Defining the semantic properties of the n-word-licensors: problems	362
	5.5.2	Excursus: antiveridicality as the relevant notion (Giannakidou 1997, 1998)	370
	5.5.3	Antimorphicity as the relevant notion	373
	5.5.4	Defining the Negative Polarity environments in terms of their monotonic properties	376
	5.5.4.1	N-words as subject to the strongest type of negation	379
		5.5.4.1.1 Superordinate negation	379
		5.5.4.1.2 Note on ‘expletive negation’	382
		5.5.4.1.3 Note on ‘lexical negation’	386
	5.5.4.2	Distribution of <i>-ś-</i> and <i>-kolwiek-</i> pronouns and semantic properties of contexts of their occurrence	389
	5.5.4.3	Problem of the complementary distribution	392
		5.5.4.3.1 Examples of existing solutions	393
		5.5.4.3.1.1 Non-n-words as bipolar items (van der Wouden 1997)	393
		5.5.4.3.1.2 An account in terms of ‘internal morphological structure of the polarity items’ (Uribe-Echevarria 1994)	399
		5.5.4.3.1.3 An account in terms of ‘morphological blocking’ (Pereltsvaig 1998)	400
		5.5.4.3.2 Yet another solution	401
		5.5.4.3.2.1 The use of <i>-kolwiek</i> pronouns in Free Choice contexts	404
		5.5.4.3.2.2 Puzzles in the distribution of <i>-kolwiek</i> pronouns	408
		5.5.4.3.2.3 Towards an analysis	410
		5.5.4.3.2.3.1 <i>-kolwiek</i> pronouns as <i>wh</i> -NPIs (Rullmann 1996)	410
		5.5.4.3.2.3.2 Etymology of <i>-kolwiek</i> pronouns	416
		5.5.4.3.2.3.3 Concession and disjunction: arbitrary choice	421
		5.5.4.3.2.4 Explaining the puzzles in the distribution of <i>-kolwiek</i> pronouns	425
		5.5.4.3.2.5 Summary	431
6		Summary and Open Ends	433
		References	439