Contents

Acknowledgements — V

Abbreviations — XI

1 1.1	Introduction — 1 A brief overview of the issues touched upon in this book — 1						
2	Romanian direct objects. A comparative analysis with Spanish —— 13						
2.1	Introduction —— 13						
2.2	Specificity —— 15						
2.2.1	Cierto vs. cualquiera —— 16						
2.2.2							
2.2.3	Conclusions —— 25						
2.3	Scope —— 25						
2.3.1	Extensional QPs —— 25						
2.3.2	Intensional operators —— 28						
2.3.3	Indefinites and strong quantifiers — 33						
2.4	Some contexts which disallow DOMed DOs — 34						
2.5	Interim conclusions —— 37						
2.6	Syntactically triggered DOM —— 38						
2.7	Interim conclusions —— 47						
2.8	Reinforcing the conclusions: two experiments on Romanian DOs —— 49						
2.8.1	Scope dependencies with marked and unmarked DOs — 49						
2.8.2	An experiment on specificity with marked and unmarked DOs —— 57						
3	Linking syntactic structure to interpretation —— 85						
3.1	Analysing Spanish Data: DOMed DOs move, unmarked DOs do not —— 86						
3.2	Movement and DP internal structure — 92						
3.2.1	KPs vs. DPs —— 92						
3.2.2	Linking syntactic position and semantic composition —— 95						
3.2.3	Conclusions — 104						
3.3	On the differences between Spanish and Romanian DOs —— 104						
3.3.1	Specificity, wide scope and binding — 104						
3.3.2	Weak indefinites and bare nominals —— 107						

3.3.3	Conclusions —— 114					
3.4	On the featural make-up of KPs —— 115					
3.4.1	Sensitivity to animacy and definiteness —— 115					
3.4.2	Integrating animacy and definiteness into syntax —— 118					
3.5	Conclusion —— 129					
4	Some considerations on the role of the clitic as a movement					
	trigger — 131					
4.1	The pronominal clitic as a movement trigger —— 131					
4.1.1	Background. Evidence for movement —— 132					
4.1.2	An experiment on Subject -DO binding dependencies —— 138					
4.1.3	A theory of cliticisation —— 147					
4.1.4	Deriving clitic doubling structures —— 151					
4.2	Conclusion —— 155					
5	On the feature specification of indirect objects —— 157					
5.1	The featural make-up of the dative IO —— 157					
5.1.1	Background —— 157					
5.1.2	A [Person] feature for Goal DPs —— 161					
5.2	Conclusions —— 164					
6	Romanian ditransitive configurations —— 165					
6.1	Background —— 166					
6.1.1	Preliminaries — 166					
6.1.2	Motivation for the experiment and predictions —— 179					
6.2	Experimental data on Romanian ditransitives —— 181					
6.2.1	Quantificational dependencies with possessives —— 182					
6.2.2	Quantificational dependencies with anaphors —— 211					
6.3	A syntactic analysis of Romanian ditransitive					
	configurations —— 218					
6.3.1	Against two different configurations in Romanian					
	ditransitives —— 218					
6.3.2	Further arguments: semantic uniformity —— 219					
6.3.3	A low position for datives —— 222					
6.3.4	On [Person] and more —— 224					
6.3.5	One basic configuration —— 227					
6.3.6	A derivational analysis for Romanian ditransitives —— 234					
6.3.7	Experimental evidence in favor of the proposed syntactic					
	analysis —— 242					

- 6.3.8 Secondary objects 261
- 6.4 Conclusions **268**

7 General conclusions — 271

Appendices

Appendix 1 —— 279

Appendix 2 —— 281

Appendix 3 —— 282

Appendix 4 —— 283

Bibliography — 285

Index — 293