

Contents

Acknowledgements — VII

List of Tables — XIII

Abbreviations — XV

Part I: A formal model of feature mismatches

1 Concerning hybrids — 3

- 1.1 Regular correspondences between form and meaning — 4
- 1.2 A deeper level of abstraction — 5
- 1.3 Hybrid nouns — 9
- 1.4 Back to coexisting sets of features? — 13
- 1.5 Structure of the book — 14

2 Theoretical preliminaries: A feature model for hybrid nouns? — 17

- 2.1 Hybrid Nouns — 17
 - 2.1.1 Collective Nouns in English — 18
 - 2.1.2 Making a success of Brexit — 20
 - 2.1.3 Profession Nouns in Russian — 22
 - 2.1.4 Hebrew *be'alim* — 24
 - 2.1.5 Chichewa Heroes — 25
- 2.2 Features within the Minimalist Programme — 26
 - 2.2.1 The Minimalist Programme and the role of features within — 26
 - 2.2.2 Features in Minimalism: the checking years — 30
 - 2.2.3 Features in Minimalism: valuation — 31
- 2.3 HPSG — 34
- 2.4 Monkey see, monkey do — 38
- 2.5 Summary — 44

Part II: Hybrid nouns and the syntax of agreement

3 Agreement in the Minimalist Programme — 47

- 3.1 Agreement — 47
- 3.2 The timing of Agree — 50

3.2.1	Closest conjunct agreement —	50
3.2.2	Alliterative concord and very late AGREE-COPY —	56
3.2.3	The case sensitivity of Agree —	59
3.2.4	Interim Summary —	65
3.3	The direction of valuation —	65
3.3.1	Niger-Congo verbal agreement: Baker (2008) —	66
3.3.2	Cyclic Agree: Béjar and Rezac (2009) —	67
3.3.3	Reverse Agree: Wurmbrand (2012c) —	71
3.3.4	Semantically Oriented Agreement: Zeijlstra (2012) —	73
3.3.5	Interim Summary —	76
3.4	Discussion and conclusions —	77
4	Agreement mismatches and the Agreement Hierarchy —	79
4.1	Restrictions on semantic agreement with CNPs —	80
4.1.1	Known limits on semantic agreement —	80
4.1.2	Restrictions with multiple targets —	82
4.2	Agreement Hierarchy —	83
4.2.1	Target level —	83
4.2.2	Corpus level —	84
4.2.3	Sentence level —	86
4.2.4	3/4 patterns and the Agreement Hierarchy —	92
4.2.5	Not all the same —	94
4.3	Deriving mismatches through timing —	96
4.3.1	Agreement Hierarchy compatible —	98
4.3.2	Agreement Hierarchy incompatible —	103
4.3.3	Accounting for the difference between Hebrew and Chichewa —	106
4.3.4	Hebrew —	110
4.3.5	Chichewa —	113
4.4	Prior Approaches —	114
4.4.1	Structural —	115
4.4.2	HPSG —	117
4.5	The deactivation of an <i>iF</i> —	121
4.6	A two-step model of Agree: Interim Summary —	126
5	Semantic agreement —	129
5.1	Not quite equals —	130
5.1.1	Further restrictions on semantic agreement with CNPs —	130
5.1.2	It's all about the structure —	132
5.1.3	Interim conclusion —	139

- 5.2 Restrictions on AGREE-COPY: why semantic agreement is restricted — **139**
- 5.2.1 Allowing for semantic agreement in a two-step model — **139**
- 5.2.2 Derivations — **142**
- 5.2.3 Against previous approaches — **146**
- 5.2.4 Further evidence: postverbal conjuncts in English — **149**
- 5.2.5 Interim Summary — **150**
- 5.3 Semantic agreement in Russian — **150**
- 5.3.1 Agreement in Russian: Looking everywhere — **150**
- 5.3.2 QNPs in Russian — **152**
- 5.3.3 The optionality of *iF* agreement, and why Russian might pose a problem — **156**
- 5.3.4 Russian does conform to LF-Visibility — **159**
- 5.4 Summary and Discussion — **167**

Part III: Mass Nouns

- 6 The mass-count distinction — 171**
- 6.1 Mass versus count: A general overview — **171**
- 6.2 Theories of mass versus count — **175**
- 6.2.1 Lattices and mass noun interpretation — **175**
- 6.2.2 Flexible roots: Mass and Count defined syntactically — **176**
- 7 Furniture-nouns in English — 183**
- 7.1 What are *furniture*-nouns? — **183**
- 7.1.1 The morphosyntax of *furniture*-nouns — **184**
- 7.1.2 The interpretation of *furniture*-nouns — **185**
- 7.1.3 How *furniture*-nouns have been incorporated into the theory of mass versus count — **186**
- 7.2 The mass properties of *furniture*-nouns come from elsewhere — **188**
- 7.2.1 Combination with numerals — **189**
- 7.3 Inherent number is the heart of the problem — **190**
- 7.3.1 The effect of inherent number — **190**
- 7.3.2 Count-mass nouns are imposters, masquerading as mass nouns — **193**
- 7.3.3 What about quantifiers? — **204**
- 7.3.4 *furniture*-nouns, woodchippers, and the Universal Grinder — **207**
- 7.4 Furniture nouns: Summary — **209**

8	Non-countable count nouns in Telugu — 211
8.1	Plural mass nouns — 211
8.1.1	Plurality leads to a non-transparent or additional meaning — 212
8.1.2	Plurals of abundance — 212
8.1.3	Derivational number — 213
8.1.4	Singulatives — 216
8.2	The mass/count distinction in Telugu — 217
8.2.1	The morphosyntax of the mass–count distinction in Telugu — 218
8.2.2	The semantic distinctions between mass nouns and count nouns in Telugu — 219
8.3	Milk and water: Plural mass nouns in Telugu — 221
8.3.1	Milk and Water — 221
8.3.2	Comparison with previous types of plural mass nouns — 223
8.3.3	Theoretical outlook: what does this plurality mean? — 224
8.3.4	Summary — 228
8.4	Quantifier allomorphy again — 229
8.4.1	A feature split approach to <i>niiLLu</i> and <i>paalu</i> — 229
8.5	Mass/count quantifiers as allomorphy — 231
8.5.1	Plural mass nouns in English — 232
8.6	Quantifier selection in Purépecha — 240
8.6.1	The mass–count distinction in Purépecha — 241
8.6.2	Nouns that lie in the middle — 242
8.7	The Typology of Inherent Features — 247
8.7.1	Divided noun combinations — 248
8.7.2	Non-divided noun combinations — 249
8.8	Conclusions on Mass–Count — 251
9	Concluding remarks — 253
9.1	Overall summary — 253
9.2	A close relationship of features — 253
9.3	The syntax of agreement — 254
9.4	Mass–count hybrids — 255
9.5	Open Issues — 256

Bibliography — 257

Index — 267