

Contents

<i>Notes on Contributors</i>	vii
<i>Acknowledgements</i>	ix
1. An Introduction to Force Short of War <i>Jai Galliot</i>	1
Part I: The Need for Recalibration	
2. Asymmetry in Modern Combat: Explaining the Inadequacy of <i>Jus ad bellum</i> and <i>Jus in bello</i> <i>Jai Galliot and Cassitie Galliot</i>	13
3. The Fog of War: Violence, Coercion and <i>Jus ad vim</i> <i>Danielle Lupton and Valerie Morkevičius</i>	36
4. The Responsibility to Protect and Uses of Force Short of War <i>Eamon Aloyo</i>	57
Part II: Options for Recalibration	
5. From <i>Jus ad bellum</i> to <i>Jus ad vim</i> : Recalibrating Our Understanding of the Moral Use of Force <i>Daniel Brunstetter and Megan Braun</i>	79
6. A Framework for an Ethics of <i>Jus ad vim</i> in the Context of Human Rights <i>Christopher Ketcham</i>	103
7. <i>Jus ad vim</i> : The Morality of Military and Police Use of Force in Armed Conflicts Short of War <i>Seumas Miller</i>	127

Force Short of War in Modern Conflict

8. Just War Theory, Armed Force Short of War and Escalation to War <i>John Lango</i>	148
9. <i>Jus ad vim</i> and the Question of How to do Just War Theory <i>Christian Braun and Jai Galliot</i>	170
Part III: Problems for Recalibration	
10. On the Redundancy of <i>Jus ad vim</i> : A Response to Daniel Brunstetter and Megan Braun <i>Helen Frowe</i>	197
11. Are Novel <i>Jus ad vim</i> Principles Needed to Judge Military Measures Short of War? <i>Shawn Kaplan</i>	213
12. Moral Injury, Mission-Drift and Limited War <i>James Gillcrist and Nick Lloyd</i>	238
13. Pacifism and Targeted Killing as Force Short of War <i>Nicholas Parkin</i>	262
14. In Defence of <i>Jus ad vim</i> : Why We Need a Moral Framework for the Use of Limited Force <i>Daniel Brunstetter</i>	284
<i>Index</i>	308