

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This project would not have been possible without the permission, support, guidance and sincere engagement of many doctors, nurses, social workers, and other health care providers and patients and families. To protect the confidentiality of research sites and of individual health professionals, I thank them here anonymously. They know who they are. Doctors and all health professionals are under enormous time and administrative pressures today, and I thank all who helped with this project for so graciously taking the time to answer our questions and for allowing us to be present for intimate and confidential discussions. I thank them also for candid conversations, whether in formal interviews or on-the-fly dialogue, about their own treatment dilemmas, the changes they have seen in patients and medical practice, and the host of problems generated by a fragmented health care delivery system. My deep appreciation goes to the patients and families who welcomed us into clinic exams and into their homes for long interview conversations about the course of illness and treatment and the qualms about what to do.

The National Institute on Aging, an agency of the National Institutes of Health, supported this project in full. I thank the anthropologists, sociologists, psychologists, physicians, and nurses who evaluated my proposals and offered suggestions for strengthening the project. Sidney Stahl, my project officer at the NIA, provided welcome advice and important guidance over many years. This research was funded in two phases, by NIA Grant #R01AG20962 and NIA Grant #R01AG28426.

Three research associates, Lakshmi Fjord, Ann Russ, and Janet Shim, participated in different phases of this research. I thank them for their enthusiastic commitment, astute observations, probing interviews, and important insights. Their work expanded the scope and depth of this project.

For critical conversations along the way about the practice of medicine, the ethics of medical research, the problems new technologies generate

for doctors and patients, the complexities of health care financing, and the vast reaches of the clinical research enterprise, I thank Joel Adelson, Scott Biggins, Alan Coleman, Sandra Del Gross, Monica Freeman, Sharon Friend, Muriel Gillick, Roy Gordon, David Hayes, Al Jonsen, Barry Landfield, MaryAnn Landfield, Paul Mueller, John Roberts, Peter Stock, Michael Thaler, Alan Venook, and Richard Wagner. Their vast experience helped me understand what is at stake in medicine today, and their insights about the conduct of medical practice and how it has changed over the years, what has become standard and how, and the relationship of biomedical research to clinical practice contributed to my argument.

My colleagues and students in anthropology and sociology at the University of California–San Francisco, the University of California–Berkeley, and beyond offered lively conversation, encouragement, generous invitations, moral support, and good ideas at all phases of this project. There are far too many to thank individually. I have relished and benefited from our stimulating discussions about bioscience, medicine, technology, and anthropology and about the challenges of ethnographic research and writing. Thanks also to participants in the Life and Death Potluck for rewarding dialogue over many years. The creative work of my colleagues, students, and former students has enriched my own tremendously, and I feel blessed to be part of such an intellectually vibrant extended community.

My deep gratitude goes to Vincanne Adams, Lawrence Cohen, Doris Francis, Gelya Frank, Deborah Gordon, Barbara Koenig, Tom Laqueur, Margaret Lock, and Guy Micco for their friendship, insights, challenges, and conversations over many years about medicine and anthropology, research and writing, and what it means to be an anthropologist today. Additionally this project has benefited from the good colleagueship, exchange of ideas, and the inspiring work of João Biehl, Charles Briggs, Adele Clarke, Megan Crowley-Matoka, Sandra Gilbert, Cori Hayden, Martha Holstein, Lochlann Jain, Julie Livingston, Anne Lovell, Lynn Morgan, Adriana Petryna, Paul Rabinow, Tobias Rees, Lorna Rhodes, Lesley Sharp, Nancy Scheper-Hughes, Janelle Taylor, and Kathy Woodward.

I presented aspects of this project in many workshops, seminars, special lectures, and other academic venues over the course of nearly a decade. I thank the hosts, colleagues, and students at the following institutions for their invitations to present my work, for their gracious welcome, and for dialogue that helped move this project forward: uc Santa

Cruz, The Institute for Advanced Feminist Research; uc Berkeley, The Center for Health Research, The Townsend Center for the Humanities, and the Anthropology Department; University of Chicago, the Practice, Medicine and the Body Interdisciplinary Workshop of the departments of anthropology, human development, and social welfare; University of Washington, The Critical Medical Humanities Group; Cambridge University, the Royal Anthropological Institute conference Social Bodies; the Johannes Guttenberg University conference Reflections on Old Age and Ageing; the Newcastle University conference Ageing, Embodiment and Subjectivity; the British Sociological Association conference Body Work; University of Copenhagen, Center for Healthy Aging and the Anthropology Department; University of London, the Economic and Social Research Council; University of Leiden, the Leyden Academy on Vitality and Ageing; McGill University, Department of Social Studies of Medicine; University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, the Center for Bioethics, Department of Social Medicine, and Department of Anthropology; and Stanford University, Medicine and Anthropology colloquium. I give special thanks to the organizers and participants in the 2011 Wenner-Gren Symposium, Potentiality and Humanness: Revisiting the Anthropological Object in Contemporary Biomedicine, for an especially rewarding conference. In 2009 I was honored to give the Benjamin Lieberman Memorial Lecture, sponsored by the University of California–San Francisco and the Mount Zion Center on Aging. The structure for this book began to take shape in that lecture.

Some of the material in this book first took shape in journal articles. I thank Lakshmi Fjord, Barbara Koenig, Wendy Max, Paul Mueller, Ann Russ, and Janet Shim for their support. Some of the ideas in chapters 1, 4, and 5 were initially developed in S. Kaufman, “Making Longevity in an Aging Society,” *Perspectives in Biology and Medicine* 53 (2010): 407–24; S. Kaufman and L. Fjord, “Medicare, Ethics and Reflexive Longevity: Governing Time and Treatment in an Aging Society,” *Medical Anthropology Quarterly* 25 (2011): 209–31; S. Kaufman and L. Fjord, “Making Longevity in an Aging Society: Linking Technology, Policy and Ethics,” *Medische Antropologie* 23 (2011): 119–38; and S. Kaufman and W. Max, “Medicare’s Embedded Ethics: The Challenge of Cost Control in an Aging Society,” *Health Affairs* Blog, March 28, 2011, <http://healthaffairs.org/blog/2011/03/28/medicares-embedded-ethics>.

Portions of chapter 5 have been significantly revised and updated from A. Russ, J. Shim, and S. Kaufman, “Is There Life on Dialysis?”

Time and Aging in a Clinically Sustained Existence,” *Medical Anthropology* 24 (2005): 297–324. Other portions of that chapter are drawn and elaborated from S. Kaufman, P. S. Mueller, A. L. Ottenberg, and B. A. Koenig, “Ironic Technology: Old Age and the Implantable Cardioverter Defibrillator in U.S. Health Care,” *Social Science and Medicine* 72 (2010): 6–14. An earlier version of chapter 6, in a different form, appeared as S. Kaufman, A. Russ, and J. Shim, “Aged Bodies and Kinship Matters: The Ethical Field of Kidney Transplant,” *American Ethnologist* 33.1 (2006): 81–99. An earlier version of chapter 8, in a different form, appeared as S. Kaufman, “Fairness and the Tyranny of Potential in Kidney Transplantation,” *Current Anthropology* 54, Suppl. 7 (2013): s56–66.

Patrick Fox and Wendy Max, codirectors of the Institute for Health and Aging, my home for many years at UCSF, have provided superb leadership in challenging times for public universities. I thank them for their steady support of my work and for important conversations about aging research and health care finance. Additional thanks goes to the talented staff at the Institute, especially Regina Gudelunas and Christie Chu, for their superb grants management and help with many things. They cheerfully and with great skill helped me navigate the always shifting bureaucracy of federal funding and university administration. Edwina Newsom fostered my work and life at IHA in ways large and small for many years. I am grateful to Lynn Watts for her perfect tape transcriptions and to Ann Oldervoll for her extensive Internet research and for compiling the bibliography. They were each a joy to work with.

I am lucky to have two wonderful academic homes at UCSF, the Department of Anthropology, History and Social Medicine in the School of Medicine and the Department of Social and Behavioral Sciences in the School of Nursing. I thank my colleagues and the staff in both places for their support of my work, for stimulating conversations about their own, and for their commitment to the importance of the social sciences on a health sciences campus.

Vincanne Adams, Tom Laqueur, Robert Martensen, and Stefan Timmermans carefully read an entire early draft of the manuscript and offered detailed comments. Their suggestions enabled me to clarify my argument and craft a better book. Jill Hannum’s outstanding editorial skills helped me write more clearly and organize the book into its final form. The book is better for their good advice. I am delighted that Vincanne Adams and João Biehl wanted my book for the new book series, Critical Global Health: Evidence, Efficacy, Ethnography, at Duke Univer-

sity Press. Two anonymous reviewers provided superb suggestions for polishing the manuscript, and Ken Wissoker, editorial director, shepherded this book smoothly through the publication process. I am grateful to Susan Albury, Judith Hoover, and Willa Armstrong for the attention and care they brought to the publication process.

The untimely death of two of my closest colleagues occurred while I was conducting this research. Well before the field of medical anthropology was formally established, the physician and anthropologist Cecil Helman knew that anthropology had a great deal to contribute to medicine. His global understanding of the relationships among culture, health, illness, medicine, and healing have done a great deal to inform the discipline of medical anthropology and inspire students to embark on this career path. Gay Becker's work, interrupted by her death, on insurance and the social safety net in health care, illness and people's lives, and her career-long commitment to the importance of anthropology in promoting social justice inspired the analysis I present here. I dedicate this book, in part, to their memory.

Finally, I offer my heartfelt thanks to my family, Seth, Sarah, Avrami, Jacob, and Benjamin, for their constancy and good humor. They are the lights of my life.

This page intentionally left blank

The United States allows, even encourages,
the health care system to play many roles
other than improver of health.

—Daniel Callahan, *What Price Better Health?*

For any way of thought to become dominant, a conceptual apparatus has to be advanced that appeals to our intuitions and instincts, to our values and our desires, as well as to the possibilities inherent in the social world we inhabit. If successful, this conceptual apparatus becomes so embedded in common sense as to be taken for granted and not open to question.

—David Harvey, *A Brief History of Neoliberalism*

This page intentionally left blank