## CONTENTS

	Foreword	1				
TONE						
I.	The Dynamic Quality of Tone	11				
II.	The Pulse Theory	25				
III.	The System of Tones	32				
IV.	Associationism	41				
v.	The Three Components of Sense Perception	53				
VI.	The Dynamic Symbol	64				
MOTION						
	Prefatory Note	<b>7</b> 4				
VII.	The Paradox of Tonal Motion	<b>7</b> 5				
VIII.	The True Motion of Tones	88				
	Interval, 89 — Scale, 95 — Harmonic Cadence, 104					

IX.	The Continuity of Tonal Motion	117
	Philosophical Considerations, 123 — Psychological Considerations, 129 — Musical Considerations, 136	
X.	The "Third Stage"	142
	TIME	
XI.	Meter and Rhythm	151
	The Temporal Component of Music, 157 — Musical Meter, 160 — The Dynamic Quality of Meter, 169 — Polarity and Intensification, 174 — Clash with Philosophy, 180 — Projectionism, 185 — Rhythm as Experience of Time, 197	
XII.	The Musical Concept of Time	201
	Experienced Time, 202 — Time Producing Events, 203 — Time Knows No Equality of Parts, 208 — Digression 1: Repetition in Music, 212 — Time Knows Nothing of Transience, 223 — Digression II: Temporal Gestalt, 228 — Are There Two Times? 242	
XIII.	Tone as the Image of Time	248
	SPACE	
XIV.	The "Nonspatial" Art	267
XV.	Is Space Audible?	271

	CONTENTS	vii
XVI.	The Placeless, Flowing Space of Tones	282
XVII.	The Order of Auditory Space	293
	Triad, 295 — Scale, 308 — Octave, 321 — En- semble, 330	
XVIII.	Space as Place and Space as Force	336
XIX.	A Last Word on High and Low in Tones	349
XX.	Summary and Prospect	363
	List of Works Cited	<b>37</b> 9
	Index	387



## SOUND AND SYMBOL

## Music and the External World

FIRST and above all, an explanation must do justice to the thing that is to be explained, must not devaluate it, interpret it away, belittle it, or garble it, in order to make it easier to understand. The guestion is not "At what view of the phenomenon must we arrive in order to explain it in accordance with one or another philosophy?" but precisely the reverse: "What philosophy is requisite if we are to live up to the subject, be on a level with it?" The question is not how the phenomenon must be turned, twisted, narrowed, crippled so as to be explicable, at all costs, upon principles that we have once and for all resolved not to go beyond. The question is: "To what point must we enlarge our thought so that it shall be in proportion to the phenomenon . . ."

Schelling, Philosophie der Mythologie