

Illustrations

FIGURES

1. Percentage of residents who say homosexuality is *never* justified / 3
2. Laws regarding homosexuality throughout the world as of 2015 / 5
3. Marginal estimates for mean disapproval of homosexuality, by religious affiliation / 19
4. Standardized coefficients of individual characteristics for explaining disapproval of homosexuality / 26
5. Marginal estimates for individual disapproval of homosexuality, by nation's majority religious faith / 32
6. Influence of economic development on value orientations / 43
7. Scatter plot of relationship between GDP per capita and proportion of residents who report that homosexuality is *never* justified / 47
8. Scatter plot of relationship between GDP per capita and proportion of residents who report not wanting homosexual neighbors / 48
9. Marginal estimates of individual disapproval of homosexuality, by GDP / 49
10. Marginal estimate of individual disapproval of homosexuality, by three types of government / 51

- 11. Standardized coefficients for explaining country-level influences on the proportion of residents who disapprove of homosexuality / 57
- 12. Marginal estimates of individual disapproval of homosexuality, by GDP / 65
- 13. GDP and disapproving attitudes in the United States, South Africa, and Uganda / 74
- 14. Percentages of articles in which a homosexuality-related term is used in the United States, South Africa, and Uganda / 76
- 15. Weighted percentages of residents in Protestant nations who report that homosexuality is never justified / 83
- 16. Increases in Americans' support for homosexuality-related issues / 89
- 17. Percentage of Americans who reported knowing someone who is gay or lesbian / 91
- 18. Percentage of Americans who reported that homosexual relations are always wrong, by frequency of religious attendance / 96
- 19. GDP and disapproving attitudes in Indonesia, Malaysia, and Turkey / 105
- 20. Percentages of articles in which a homosexuality-related term is used in Indonesia, Malaysia, and Turkey / 108
- 21. Government regulation and favoritism of religion in Muslim- and Christian-majority countries / 110
- 22. Percentage of Protestant and Muslim nations who report that sex-related behaviors are morally unacceptable / 112
- 23. GDP and disapproving attitudes in Spain, Italy, and Brazil / 128
- 24. Percentage disapproving of homosexuality in Confucian and non-Confucian nations / 152
- 25. Amount of difference between Confucian and non-Confucian nations in standardized values / 162
- 26. Residents within Confucian societies who report that homosexuality is never justified / 171
- 27. Changes in the number of nations with legal or tacit bans on civil unions or marriage, 1996–2013 / 207

TABLES

- 1. Percentage of societies supportive of homosexuality, by the legal status of homosexuality / 4

2. Percentage of countries in each income bracket, by legal status of homosexuality / 46
3. Percentage of residents in Muslim-majority nations who report that homosexuality is never justified / 125
4. Percentage of residents in Catholic-majority nations who report that homosexuality is never justified / 130
5. HLM analysis of individual- and societal-level factors for explaining individuals' disapproval of homosexuality / 157
6. Percentage of residents in Eastern Orthodox-majority nations who report that homosexuality is never justified / 199
7. Countries included in WVS HLM analysis, by four types of homosexuality laws as of 2015 and the nation's dominant religion / 211
8. Hierarchical linear models for examining the influence of individual characteristics and religion, GDP, and democracy on homosexuality *never* being justified / 215
9. Hierarchical linear models for examining alternative macro-level influences and cross-level interactions for homosexuality *never* being justified / 217
10. Additional indicators considered for explaining the mean proportion of residents who report that homosexuality is never justified / 222
11. Inter-coder reliability and themes/associations and claimsmakers used in analysis by newspapers coming from Christian and Muslim nations / 229
12. Logistic regression of differences between Uganda, South Africa, and the United States on associations and claimsmakers in articles that mentioned homosexuality, 2008 / 230
13. Logistic regression of differences between Indonesia, Malaysia, and Turkey on associations and claimsmakers in articles that mentioned homosexuality, 2008 / 231

This page intentionally left blank