Editors' Preface

Pages ix–x of
Speculative Grammar, Universal Grammar, Philosophical Analysis: Papers in the Philosophy of Language
Edited by Dino Buzzetti and Maurizio Ferriani
[**Studies in the History of the Language Sciences**, 42]
1987. x, 269 pp.

PREFACE

The present volume is the outcome of a seminar on « Speculative
Grammar, Universal Grammar, and Philosophical Analysis» held at the
University of Bologna, Department of Philosophy, in 1984. The seminar
was planned by a research group consisting of Dino Buzzetti, Maurizio
Ferriani, Eva Picardi and Giorgio Sandri, all members of the University
of Bologna, and of Mirella Capozzi and Massimo Mugnai, respectively
members of the University of Siena and the University of Florence.

The research aimed at considering various aspects of the interplay
between linguistic theories on the one hand, and theories of meaning
and logic on the other. The point of view was mainly historical, but a
theoretical approach was also considered relevant. Theories of grammar
and related topics were taken as a focal point of interest; their interac-
tion with philosophical reflections on language was examined in a series
of lectures, given both by linguists and philosophers. Each lecture was
about particular problems somehow connected with the main theme and
each one dealt with different authors and periods, ranging from the
Middle Ages to the present day. The resulting picture is understandably
very selective and cannot be in any way exhaustive; nevertheless, some
unifying patterns can be perceived among the various themes under dis-
cussion.

The survey is not confined, however, to a reflection on the most well-
known aspects or the most important period of speculative, philosophi-
cal or universal grammars in the history of Western thought. Thus, the
contribution of speculative grammarians is here related to that of medie-
val philosophers concerned with quidditative discourse (i.e. related to
the essence of things) as expressed especially by definition, while the
analysis of the syntactic, semantic and pragmatic aspects of Leibniz's
characteristica universalis helps in an examination of the relation be-
tween signs and concepts. Thus, alongside the specific traits of XVIIth
and XVIIIth century grammars most commonly considered, less fre-

quently examined aspects emerge: the impact of the evolution of word classes during the phase of the gradual formation of Enlightenment linguistic theories; the space assigned to the study of language factors by the *Encyclopédie*; the supremacy finally assigned to the French language or to the «situation language» as symptoms of the decline of that phase.

A central topic in this volume concerns the recurring polemic of certain logicians and philosophers towards traditional grammatical categories as having a misleading influence with regard to the possibility of establishing a universal grammar or a logic not conditioned by the structure of natural languages. Thus, for Kant, grammar is not *a priori*, since it cannot avoid lexical considerations, whereas logic is; Peirce draws inspiration from medieval speculative grammar to establish the semiotic, linguistic and logical categories indispensable to the construction of a universal grammar; Frege believes psychology to exercise a distorting influence through grammar, preventing a correct access to linguistic meaning. But even this topic is, from a certain point of view, reconsidered. From the essays presented here, it may be seen that: the strong links that Kant acknowledged to exist between thought and language imposed upon him the problem of the objective reference of words/concepts; Peirce does not neglect certain textbooks of historical and comparative linguistics; and Frege probably owes something to some logical and linguistic doctrines of the much blamed psychologists.

Moreover, the essays presented here do not fail to consider current discussions about problems emerging at the borderline between philosophical, logical and linguistic interest. Thus Husserl's philosophy of language is seen here as lying at the origin both of a grammatical theory (which has recently been further developed) based on a theory of dependence relations between parts of sentences, and of a view of meaning based on an analysis of features of certain mental acts and of their relationship with different uses of language. Finally, a comparison is also made between strategies applied in recent formal grammars to accommodate cases of divergence between syntactic and semantic properties connected with the very rich lexical apparatus of natural language, with specific regard to the role of meaning postulates in classical Montague Grammar.

Dino Buzzetti
Maurizio Ferriani