

Table of contents

 <https://doi.org/10.1075/scl.46.toc>

Pages v–xii of

Structural Nativization in Indian English Lexicogrammar


Marco Schilk

[*Studies in Corpus Linguistics*, 46] 2011. xiii, 182 pp.

© John Benjamins Publishing Company

This electronic file may not be altered in any way. For any reuse of this material written permission should be obtained from the publishers or through the Copyright Clearance Center (for USA: www.copyright.com).

For further information, please contact rights@benjamins.nl or consult our website at benjamins.com/rights



To Mara Moësha

Table of contents

Tables and figures	X
Acknowledgements	XIII
CHAPTER 1	
Introduction and overview	1
1.1 Introduction	1
1.2 Structural nativization in Indian English lexicogrammar: Preview	2
CHAPTER 2	
Aspects of structural nativization	5
2.1 From English in India to Indian English	5
2.2 Models of World Englishes: The situation of Indian English today	7
2.2.1 Static and dynamic models of World Englishes	7
2.2.2 Indian English in the dynamic evolutionary model: Aspects of ongoing nativization and endonormative stabilization	11
2.3 Different influences on structural nativization	15
CHAPTER 3	
Aspects of lexicogrammar: Collocation and verb-complementation	17
3.1 Introduction – The interdependence of lexis and grammar	17
3.2 Collocation	19
3.2.1 Introduction	19
3.2.2 Quantitative approaches to collocations	19
3.2.3 Phraseological approaches to collocations	24
3.2.4 Collocations in Indian English	26
3.2.5 Collocation in the lexis-grammar continuum	27
3.3 Verb-complementation	27
3.3.1 Introduction	27
3.3.2 Verb-complementation in descriptive grammar (Quirk et al. 1985) and corpus-based descriptive grammar (Biber et al. 1999)	28
3.3.3 Verb-complementation in cognitive grammar and construction grammar	32
3.3.4 Verb-complementation in Indian English	36

CHAPTER 4

Methodology

41

- 4.1 Introduction: A corpus-based description of structural nativization in Indian English lexicogrammar 41
- 4.2 Corpora and tools 42
 - 4.2.1 The International Corpus of English – The British and Indian components (ICE-GB and ICE-India) 42
 - 4.2.2 The *Times of India* corpus 44
 - 4.2.3 Corpus analysis software: *WordSmith Tools V4.x* 48
- 4.3 Verb-complementational profiles 49
- 4.4 Collocational profiles 54
- 4.5 Verbs under scrutiny: GIVE, SEND and OFFER – A quantitative overview 57
- 4.6 Summary 62

CHAPTER 5

GIVE

63

- 5.1 Introduction: GIVE as a prototypical ditransitive verb 63
- 5.2 Distribution of complementation patterns in different text categories 66
- 5.3 Verb-complementational patterns of GIVE in spoken Indian and British English 69
- 5.4 Verb-complementational patterns of GIVE in written Indian and British English 76
- 5.5 Collocational profiles of GIVE in Indian and British English 84
- 5.6 GIVE: A brief summary 92

CHAPTER 6

SEND

95

- 6.1 Introduction: SEND as a less-prototypical ditransitive verb 95
- 6.2 An overview of the verb-complementation patterns of SEND in ICE-GB and ICE-India 97
- 6.3 Distribution of complementation patterns in different text categories 100
- 6.4 Verb-complementational patterns of SEND in spoken Indian and British English 102
- 6.5 Verb-complementational patterns of SEND in written Indian and British English 104
- 6.6 SEND in the International Corpus of English: A synopsis 109
- 6.7 Verb-complementational patterns of SEND in Indian and British newspaper English 110

6.8	Collocational profiles of SEND in Indian and British newspaper English	117
6.9	SEND in Indian and British newspaper English: A brief summary	128
CHAPTER 7		
	OFFER	129
7.1	Introduction: OFFER as a low-frequency ditransitive verb	129
7.2	Verb-complementational patterns of OFFER in spoken and written Indian and British English	131
7.3	OFFER in British and Indian newspaper English	132
7.4	Collocational profiles of OFFER in Indian and British newspaper English	133
7.5	OFFER: A brief summary	144
7.6	Analysis of GIVE, SEND and OFFER – Concluding remarks	146
CHAPTER 8		
	Evaluation and discussion	147
8.1	Introduction	147
8.2	Evaluation of the analysis	148
	8.2.1 Corpora and software	148
	8.2.2 Verb-complementational patterns	152
	8.2.3 Collocational profiles	155
8.3	Discussion	158
	8.3.1 Introduction	158
	8.3.2 O _d -collocate analysis and collostructional analysis	161
	8.3.3 Lexicogrammatical variation in varieties of English	161
8.4	Towards a model of lexicogrammatical nativization	163
CHAPTER 9		
	Conclusion and prospects for future research	171
9.1	Conclusion	171
9.2	Prospects for future research	173
	References	175
	Index	181

Tables and figures

Table 2-1.	The evolution of New Englishes as a cyclic process (Schneider 2003: 255)	10
Table 2-2.	Classifying Indian English today: Characteristics of stage 3: <i>Nativization</i> and stage 4: <i>Endonormative stabilization</i>	14
Table 3-1.	The lexis-grammar interface	18
Figure 3-1.	Expected and observed co-occurrences (Evert 2005: 76)	20
Figure 3-2.	Comparison of asymptotic hypothesis tests with exact testing (Evert 2005: 111)	23
Table 3-2.	Sub-categories of word-like combinations (cf. Cowie 1998: 7)	25
Table 3-3.	Degrees of schematicity (cf. Langacker 1999b: 122)	33
Figure 3-3.	Relations among constructions (Goldberg 1995: 91)	36
Table 4-1.	The International Corpus of English (cf. Nelson et al. 2002: 307–308)	43
Table 4-2.	Approaches to the use of the Internet as a linguistic corpus (cf. Thelwall 2005: 529)	45
Table 4-3.	Collostructional attraction (+) and repulsion (–) in ICE-GB (cf. Mukherjee 2009: 133)	51
Table 4-4.	Complementation patterns of ditransitive verbs (cf. Mukherjee & Hoffmann 2006: 172)	53
Table 4-5.	Frequency of the verbs under scrutiny as counted by WST 4.x / BNC-online	59
Table 4-6.	Distribution of GIVE, SEND and OFFER in the spoken and written sections of ICE-India and ICE-GB	60
Table 4-7.	Comparison of GIVE, SEND and OFFER across modes and varieties	61
Table 5-1.	Complementation of GIVE in ICE-India and ICE-GB (cf. Mukherjee & Hoffmann 2006: 172)	64
Table 5-2.	Complementation of GIVE in ICE-India and ICE-GB – focus on central patterns	65
Table 5-3.	Distributional differences of GIVE: A chi-square test	66
Table 5-4.	Distributional differences of GIVE according to text types	68
Table 5-5.	Complementation of GIVE in ICE-India and ICE-GB – spoken data	69

Table 5-6.	Pronominal O_i in spoken GIVE type-I patterns	72
Table 5-7.	Complementation of GIVE in ICE-India and ICE-GB – written data	77
Table 5-8.	Pronominal O_i in written GIVE type-I patterns	79
Figure 5-1.	Type-II pattern in ICE-India: W1A (student writing)	82
Figure 5-2.	Type-II pattern in ICE-GB: W1A (student writing)	82
Table 5-9.	O_d -collocates of GIVE in ICE-India	85
Table 5-10.	O_d -collocates of GIVE in ICE-GB	86
Table 5-11.	O_d -collocates of GIVE sorted by variety	87
Table 5-12.	Complementation patterns of GIVE (only significant collocations)	87
Table 5-13.	Frequent complementation of GIVE in correlation to O_d -collocates in ICE-India	88
Table 5-14.	GIVE in correlation to O_d -collocates in ICE-India (no specific trend)	89
Table 5-15.	GIVE in correlation to O_d -collocates in ICE-GB	90
Table 5-16.	Complementation patterns of GIVE (only shared O_d -collocates)	92
Table 6-1.	Complementation of SEND in ICE-India and ICE-GB (cf. Mukherjee & Hoffmann 2006: 173)	98
Table 6-2.	Complementation of SEND – focus on central patterns	99
Table 6-3.	Distributional differences of SEND: A chi-square test	99
Table 6-4.	Distributional differences of SEND according to text types	101
Table 6-5.	Complementation of SEND in $ToI_{1.75}$ and $BNC_{News.1.77}$	112
Table 6-6.	Distribution of SEND in ToI and BNC – focus on central patterns	113
Table 6-7.	SEND as a particle verb in ToI and BNC	116
Table 6-8.	O_d -collocates of SEND in the <i>Times of India</i> (9 million word subcorpus)	118
Table 6-9.	O_d -collocates of SEND in the BNC newspaper section	118
Table 6-10.	O_d -collocates of SEND sorted by variety	120
Table 6-11.	Verb-complementational distribution of SEND + O_d -collocates	121
Table 6-12.	SEND in correlation to O_d -collocates in <i>Times of India</i> _{9m} (type-II dominant)	122
Table 6-13.	SEND in correlation to O_d -collocates in <i>Times of India</i> _{9m} (type-III dominant)	123
Table 6-14.	SEND in correlation to O_d -collocates in <i>Times of India</i> _{9m} (no pattern bias)	124
Table 6-15.	SEND in correlation to O_d -collocates (BNC_{News})	125

Table 6-16. Verb-complementational profile of SEND + O _d -collocates (shared)	127
Table 7-1. Verb-complementational distribution of OFFER in ICE-India and ICE-GB	131
Table 7-2. Distribution of OFFER in ToI _{1.75} and BNC _{NEWS.1.77} – focus on central patterns	133
Table 7-3. O _d -collocates of OFFER in the <i>Times of India</i> (9 million word subcorpus)	134
Table 7-4. O _d -collocates of OFFER in the BNC _{NEWS}	135
Table 7-5. O _d -collocates of OFFER sorted by variety	136
Table 7-6. Verb-complementational profile of OFFER + O _d -collocates	136
Table 7-7. OFFER in correlation to O _d -collocates (type-III dominant)	137
Table 7-8. OFFER in correlation to O _d -collocates (type-II dominant)	139
Table 7-9. OFFER in correlation to O _d -collocates (type-I dominant)	139
Table 7-10. OFFER in correlation to O _d -collocates (no pattern bias)	140
Table 7-11. OFFER in correlation to O _d -collocates (type-III dominant)	141
Table 7-12. OFFER in correlation to O _d -collocates (type-I dominant)	143
Table 7-13. OFFER in correlation to O _d -collocates (no pattern bias)	143
Table 7-14. Verb-complementational profile of OFFER + O _d -collocates (shared)	144
Table 8-1. Degrees of schematicity (cf. Langacker 1999b: 122)	164
Figure 8-1. Lexicogrammatical nativization in CAUSE-RECEIVE processes	166