

Table of contents

 <https://doi.org/10.1075/pbns.69.toc>

Pages v–x of

Metarepresentation: A relevance-theory approach


Eun-Ju Noh

[Pragmatics & Beyond New Series, 69] 2000. xii, 242 pp.

© John Benjamins Publishing Company

This electronic file may not be altered in any way. For any reuse of this material written permission should be obtained from the publishers or through the Copyright Clearance Center (for USA: www.copyright.com).

For further information, please contact rights@benjamins.nl or consult our website at benjamins.com/rights



In memory of my father
Yoon-Shik Noh

An earlier version of Chapter 4 first published in *Linguistics and Philosophy*, 21, 1998, pp. 603–628, “Echo questions: Metarepresentation and pragmatic enrichment,” Eun-Ju Noh. Copyright © 1998 by Kluwer Academic Publishers. Reprinted by kind permission from the publisher. An earlier version of Chapter 5 first published in *Current Issues in Relevance Theory*, edited by V. Rouchota and A. Jucker, 1998, pp.271–304, “A relevance-theoretic account of metarepresentative uses in conditionals,” Eun-Ju Noh. Copyright © 1998 by John Benjamins. Reprinted by kind permission of the publisher.

Table of Contents

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	xi
INTRODUCTION: METAREPRESENTATION IN COMMUNICATION	1
CHAPTER 1. ANALYSES OF QUOTATION	
1.1 Introduction	7
1.2 Varieties of Quotation	9
1.2.1 Pure quotation (Mention)	9
1.2.2 Reported speech and thought	13
1.2.3 Mixed quotation	18
1.3 Traditional Analyses of Quotation	21
1.3.1 Definitions of <i>mention</i> vs. <i>quotation</i>	21
1.3.2 Theories of the use-mention distinction	22
1.3.2.1 Quine (1965) and Garver (1965)	22
1.3.2.2 Davidson (1979)	24
1.3.3 Theories of reported speech and thought	27
1.3.3.1 <i>Accounts of reported speech in traditional grammar</i>	28
1.3.3.2 <i>Semantic accounts of indirect speech</i>	31
1.3.3.3 <i>Reported speech as a literary style in fiction</i>	36
1.4 Recent Analyses of Quotation	42
1.4.1 Cappelen and Lepore (1997a, b): The demonstrative theory	43
1.4.2 Saka (1998): The disambiguated ostension theory	48
1.4.3 Clark and Gerrig (1990): Quotations as demonstrations	52
1.5 Summary and Conclusion	60
CHAPTER 2. RELEVANCE THEORY AND METAREPRESENTATIONAL USE	
2.1 Introduction	61
2.2 Relevance Theory	62
2.2.1 Outline of relevance theory	62
2.2.2 Understanding utterances	67

2.3	Metarepresentational Use in Relevance Theory	72
2.3.1	Interpretive use	72
2.3.2	Metarepresentational use	74
2.3.3	Pragmatic enrichment of metarepresentations	78
2.4	Varieties of Linguistic Metarepresentation I: Quotations	80
2.4.1	Pure quotation: Metarepresentation of abstract linguistic expressions and propositions	80
2.4.2	Reported speech and thought: Metarepresentation of attributed utterances and thoughts	82
2.4.3	Mixed quotation: Metarepresentation of attributed expressions	85
2.4.4	A review of alternative approaches to quotations	88
2.5	Varieties of Linguistic Metarepresentation II: Non-Quotations	91
2.5.1	Echoic metarepresentation of utterances and thoughts	91
2.5.1.1	<i>Echoic use</i>	91
2.5.1.2	<i>Irony as implicit echoic use</i>	94
2.5.1.3	<i>Understanding echoic use</i>	97
2.5.2	Metarepresentation of desirable utterances and information	98
2.6	Summary and Conclusion	100

CHAPTER 3. METALINGUISTIC NEGATION

3.1	Introduction	103
3.2	Previous accounts of Metalinguistic Negation	105
3.2.1	Standard accounts of metalinguistic negation	105
3.2.1.1	<i>Horn (1985, 1989): Pragmatic ambiguity</i>	106
3.2.1.2	<i>Burton-Roberts (1989a): Semantic contradiction and pragmatic reanalysis</i>	113
3.2.1.3	<i>Problems with standard accounts</i>	116
3.2.2	Van der Sandt (1994): Denials and the echo operator	118
3.3	A Relevance-Theoretic Account of Metalinguistic Negation	125
3.3.1	Carston (1994/1996): Implicit echoic use	125
3.3.2	Metalinguistic negation without echoic use	129
3.4	Metarepresentational Use in Negation	131
3.4.1	Varieties of metarepresentational use in negation	131
3.4.2	The grounds for using metalinguistic negation	135
3.4.3	Truth-conditional content of metalinguistic negation	138
3.5	Summary and Conclusion	143

CHAPTER 4. ECHO QUESTIONS

4.1	Introduction	145
4.2	Standard Accounts of Echo Questions	145
4.3	Do Echo Questions Require a Prior Utterance?	147
4.3.1	Echo questions without a prior utterance	148
4.3.2	Echo questions reformulated	150
4.4	Are Echo Questions Cases of Metalinguistic Use?	152
4.4.1	Echo questions and metalinguistic negation	152
4.4.2	Horn (1989) and Cooper (1983)	155
4.4.3	Metarepresentational use in echo questions	157
4.5	A Relevance-Theoretic Account of Echo Questions	158
4.5.1	Blakemore (1994)	158
4.5.2	Echo questions as attributive metarepresentations	162
4.5.3	Echo questions as interrogatives	168
4.6	Summary and Conclusion	170

CHAPTER 5. METAREPRESENTATIONAL USES IN CONDITIONALS

5.1	Introduction	173
5.2	A Survey of Accounts of Conditionals	174
5.3	Metarepresentational Use of Antecedents	179
5.3.1	'Given' antecedents in the literature	179
5.3.1.1	<i>'Givenness' in general</i>	179
5.3.1.2	<i>Accounts of 'given' antecedents</i>	180
5.3.1.3	<i>'Given' antecedents and speaker's knowledge</i>	182
5.3.2	Metarepresentational antecedents	186
5.3.2.1	<i>Varieties of metarepresentational antecedents</i>	187
5.3.2.2	<i>Truth conditions of metarepresentational antecedents</i>	189
5.4	Metarepresentational Use of Consequents	191
5.4.1	Introduction	191
5.4.2	Speech-act accounts	191
5.4.2.1	<i>Speech-act conditionals</i>	191
5.4.2.2	<i>Metalinguistic conditionals</i>	195
5.4.2.3	<i>Some problems with speech-act accounts</i>	197
5.4.3	A metarepresentational account	199
5.4.3.1	<i>Metarepresentational consequents</i>	199
5.4.3.2	<i>Truth conditions of metarepresentational consequents</i>	202

5.5	Metarepresentational Use in Antecedent and Consequent	205
5.5.1	Metarepresentational uses in both clauses of a conditional	206
5.5.2	Counterfactual indicative conditionals	207
5.5.3	Horn's metalinguistic metaphorical conditionals	208
5.6	Summary and Conclusion	208
EPILOGUE		211
NOTES		215
REFERENCES		221
INDEX		237