

List of Tables and Figures



<https://doi.org/10.1075/lald.22.01lis>


Pages ix–x of

The Acquisition of Direct Object Scrambling and Clitic Placement: Syntax and pragmatics

Jeannette Schaeffer

[*Language Acquisition and Language Disorders*, 22]

2000. xii, 187 pp.



© John Benjamins Publishing Company

This electronic file may not be altered in any way. For any reuse of this material written permission should be obtained from the publishers or through the Copyright Clearance Center (for USA: www.copyright.com).

For further information, please contact rights@benjamins.nl or consult our website at benjamins.com/rights

John Benjamins Publishing Company

List of Tables and Figures

Tables

Table 1:	Description of Dutch subjects	53
Table 2:	Description of Italian subjects	53
Table 3:	Types and tokens of sentences tested in Dutch experiment	56
Table 4:	Types and tokens of sentences tested in Italian experiment	64
Table 5:	Placement of definite DP and proper name objects with respect to negation	69
Table 6:	Placement of indefinite direct objects with respect to negation	71
Table 7:	Overall proportions of overt direct object clitics, omitted direct object clitics and full direct objects (in obligatory clitic contexts)	76
Table 8:	Proportions of full objects, demonstrative pronouns, strong personal pronouns and clitics in Dutch clitic scenarios	80
Table 9:	Proportions of pronominal object placement with respect to negation	82
Table 10:	Proportions of incorrect definite DPs used instead of indefinites in referential and non-referential contexts	91
Table 11:	Proportions of scrambled vs. non-scrambled definite DPs occurring instead of indefinites in referential and non-referential contexts	92
Table 12:	Proportions of overt direct object clitics with agreement errors and correct agreement in passato prossimo	95
Table 13:	Proportions of omitted direct object clitics with and without agreement in passato prossimo	96
Table 14:	Proportions of passato prossimo constructions with a full direct object and (non-)agreement on the past participle	98
Table 15:	Proportions of bare object nouns versus full DPs in Dutch scrambling experiments	104

Table 16:	Proportions of (non-)scrambling of bare nouns over negation	105
Table 17:	Proportions of full object scrambling over low adverbs	107
Table 18:	Proportions of full object scrambling over high adverbs	109
Table 19:	Proportions of pronominal object placement with respect to low adverbs	110
Table 20:	Proportions of pronominal objects preceding and following high adverbs	111
Table 21:	Proportions of overt clitics in simple present tense, passato prossimo and restructuring constructions	112
Table 22:	Overall proportions of overt direct object clitics in correct and incorrect position	114
Table 23:	Proportions of correct direct object clitics and contracted plural object clitics in passato prossimo	117

Figures

Figure 1:	Scrambling of definite DP and proper name objects over negation	70
Figure 2:	Scrambling of indefinite direct objects over negation	72
Figure 3:	Overall proportions of overt direct object clitics and full direct objects (in obligatory clitic contexts)	77
Figure 4:	Definite DP scrambling over negation in Dutch vs. overt clitic placement in Italian	79
Figure 5:	Object clitic realization in Dutch vs. Italian	80
Figure 6:	Pronominal object scrambling over negation	82
Figure 7:	Bare object nouns in Dutch scrambling experiments	104
Figure 8:	Non-scrambled bare nouns with respect to negation	105
Figure 9:	Scrambling of full objects over low adverbs	108
Figure 10:	Scrambling of full objects over high adverbs	109
Figure 11:	Scrambling of object pronouns over high adverbs	111
Figure 12:	Overt object clitics across constructions	113
Figure 13:	(Incorrectly) contracted plural object clitics in passato prossimo	118