

# Table of contents

 <https://doi.org/10.1075/la.9.toc>

Pages v–viii of

**The Rise of Functional Categories**


**Elly van Gelderen**

[Linguistik Aktuell/Linguistics Today, 9] 1993. x, 224 pp.

© John Benjamins Publishing Company

This electronic file may not be altered in any way. For any reuse of this material written permission should be obtained from the publishers or through the Copyright Clearance Center (for USA: [www.copyright.com](http://www.copyright.com)).

For further information, please contact [rights@benjamins.nl](mailto:rights@benjamins.nl) or consult our website at [benjamins.com/rights](http://benjamins.com/rights)



---

# Table of Contents

<b>Preface</b>	ix
<b>Introduction</b>	1
0.1 Word Order	1
0.2 Functional Categories	2
0.3 The Minimalist Framework	4
0.4 Outline	5
<b>1. The Functional Category T in English</b>	9
1.0 Outline	9
1.1 PS-rules	9
1.2 Splitting up the I-node	11
1.3 What occupies T in English	17
1.4 Evidence for TP	19
1.4.1 Right Node Raising and Conjunction	19
1.4.2 Adjunction	20
1.5 Conclusion	26
<b>2. Languages without a special Node for Tense</b>	27
2.0 Outline	27
2.1 PS rules for languages such as Dutch	27
2.1.1 Head-initial or head-final	27
2.1.2 The problem of Negation	31
2.2 What occupies the T-position in Dutch, Swedish, German and Old English	32
2.2.1 Dutch	32
2.2.2 Swedish	37
2.2.3 German	39
2.2.4 Old English	41

2.3	Lack of evidence for TP and for V-to-T movement	45
2.3.1	Problems	46
2.3.2	V-to-T and mixed categories	51
2.3.3	Right Node Raising and Coordination	56
2.4	Conclusion	58
<b>3.</b>	<b>The Introduction of a Tense Node</b>	<b>59</b>
3.0	Outline	59
3.1	The appearance of functional elements in T	59
3.2	The loss of V-2: tense from C to T	65
3.2.1	Verb-Second and its loss	65
3.2.2	Verb-movement and the loss of features	75
3.3	The optionality of that	79
3.4	Conclusion	83
<b>4.</b>	<b>Tense in Non-Finite Clauses</b>	<b>85</b>
4.0	Outline	85
4.1	<i>To</i> in <i>Katerine, Hali Meidenhad</i> and the <i>Wohunge</i> : a prefix or a complementizer?	86
4.2	<i>To</i> in <i>Caligula</i>	91
4.3	<i>Forto</i> in <i>Caligula</i> and <i>Otho</i>	96
4.4	Verb-Raising	100
4.5	Later Middle English	102
4.6	The infinitival ending	104
4.7	Conclusion	107
	Appendix	108
<b>5.</b>	<b>Feature Selection</b>	<b>109</b>
5.0	Outline	109
5.1	Introduction	110
5.1.1	Semantic and Syntactic Selection	110
5.1.2	Selection of maximal projections does not suffice	111
5.2	Feature Selection in English	111
5.2.1	[+fut] complements	112
5.2.1.1	Tenseless complements	112
5.2.1.2	Selection of [+fut] features	114

5.2.1.3 Tense anchoring	116
5.2.1.4 Hornstein (1990)	119
5.2.2 Verbs with [+tense] and TP complements	120
5.2.3 VP complements	123
5.3 Dutch	124
5.4 Old English and Middle English	131
5.5 Conclusion	137
Appendix	138
<b>6. AGRsP and Agreement Features</b>	<b>139</b>
6.0 Outline	139
6.1 Background	140
6.1.1 Agreement	140
6.1.2 VP Subjects	141
6.2 Modern English	143
6.2.1 English without AGRs	143
6.2.2 Which are the relevant features	146
6.3 Dutch	147
6.3.1 Evidence against additional functional categories	147
6.3.2 Double object verbs	149
6.3.3 Agreement features	151
6.3.4 Nominative in Dutch	153
6.3.5 Subject adjunction to VP	155
6.4 Old English and Middle English	156
6.4.1 Nominative and features	156
6.4.2 Double Negatives and the Nominative	157
6.5 Conclusion	163
<b>7. Functional Categories between Neg and VP</b>	<b>165</b>
7.0 Outline	165
7.1 AGRo in Modern English	166
7.2 AGRo in Dutch	168
7.3 The introduction of structural Case in Middle English: AGRo?	170
7.3.1 From inherent to structural Case	171
7.3.2 AGRo?	175
7.4 Conclusion	177

<b>8. Aspect</b>	179
8.0 Outline	179
8.1 The data and an analysis for Modern English	179
8.2 Dutch	181
8.3 Older stages of English	184
8.4 Changes and conclusion	187
<b>9. Conclusion</b>	189
9.1 Synchronic Observations	189
9.2 Diachronic Observations	190
<b>Notes</b>	193
<b>References</b>	207
<b>Reference Index</b>	215
<b>General Index</b>	219