Table of contents



doi https://doi.org/10.1075/la.88.toc

Pages v-vii of **Clausal Architecture and Subject Positions: Impersonal** constructions in the Germanic languages Sabine Mohr [Linguistik Aktuell/Linguistics Today, 88] 2005. viii, 207 pp.

Linguistik Aktuell Linguistics Today 88 and Subject Positions Impersonal constructions in the Germanic languages John Benjamins Publishing Company

© John Benjamins Publishing Company

This electronic file may not be altered in any way. For any reuse of this material written permission should be obtained from the publishers or through the Copyright Clearance Center (for USA: www.copyright.com).

For further information, please contact rights@benjamins.nl or consult our website at benjamins.com/rights

Contents

| Ac | kno | wled | gement | S | viii |
|-----|-----|--|-------------------|--|------|
| I. | | roduc Intro | ction oduction | 1 | 1 3 |
| II. | Cla | ausal | archite | cture and the EPP | 9 |
| | 1. | Subject positions and the EPP: the evolution of the two concepts | | | 11 |
| | | 1.1 | | principle to feature – the history of the EPP in the | |
| | | | | s of Chomsky | 11 |
| | | 1.2 | | deconstruction to cartography – subject positions and | • • |
| | | 1.0 | | features | 20 |
| | | 1.3 | Unive | ersality reconsidered – the EPP as a parameter | 32 |
| | 2. | The | EPP an | nd the Extension Condition | 40 |
| | | 2.1 | The E | SPP-feature – the general idea | 40 |
| | | | 2.1.1 | | 40 |
| | | | 2.1.2 | Different ways of realising head-positions | 42 |
| | | 2.2 | Head- | -movement – is it syntactic or a PF-phenomenon or | |
| | | | even a | an illusion? | 44 |
| | | | 2.2.1 | The Extension Condition and Chomsky's objections | 44 |
| | | | | Head-movement and the interfaces | 45 |
| | | | | Does head-movement exist after all? | 48 |
| | | 2.3 | | -movement and the Extension Condition – how can | |
| | | | these | two be reconciled? | 50 |
| | 3 | Clar | ise stru | cture | 55 |
| | ٥. | 3.1 | | rojections of the C-system | 56 |
| | | 0.1 | | Sentence-initial XPs in V2 clauses and their positions | 56 |
| | | | | Locality and why the finite verb in Fin can only be | |
| | | | | preceded by one XP | 62 |
| | | 3.2 | The p | rojections of the I-system | 67 |
| | | | | Overview | 67 |
| | | | 3.2.2 | TopP, FocP and Scrambling | 68 |
| | | | | Two subject positions in the <i>Mittelfeld</i> | 70 |
| | | | | RefP and the EPP | 71 |
| | | 3.3 | The p | rojections of the V-system | 72 |

| 4. | Che | cking | 77 |
|---------|-------|--|-----|
| | 4.1 | Types of features | 77 |
| | 4.2 | Matching features | 78 |
| | 4.3 | Checking configurations | 79 |
| | | 4.3.1 Specifier-head configuration | 79 |
| | | 4.3.2 Checking in a head-head configuration and types | |
| | | of V-movement | 83 |
| | | A) no V-movement | 84 |
| | | B) short V-movement | 87 |
| | | C) long V-movement | 91 |
| | | D) morphologically triggered V-(stem-) | |
| | | movement | 93 |
| | | 4.3.3 Consequences of the restrictions on checking – | |
| | | Scrambling vs. Object Shift and a note on typology | 97 |
| 5. | The | 'universal EPP' on T | 102 |
| | 5.1 | Feature checking on T | 102 |
| | | 5.1.1 Nominative Case | 102 |
| | | 5.1.2 T and the New Extension Condition | 103 |
| | 5.2 | The EPP-feature as a subject-of-predication feature? | 106 |
| 6. | Sum | mary | 110 |
| III. Im | perso | nal constructions and subject positions | 113 |
| 7. | The | constructions to be discussed and previous accounts | 115 |
| | 7.1 | The data | 115 |
| | | 7.1.1 Presentational sentences | 115 |
| | | 7.1.2 Impersonal passives | 119 |
| | 7.2 | Expletives | 122 |
| | | 7.2.1 Expletives everywhere | 122 |
| | | 7.2.2 Against non-overt expletives | 125 |
| | 7.3 | Locatives in Small Clauses | 127 |
| | 7.4 | Positions and movements involved in the derivation of TECs | 129 |
| 8. | The | derivation of presentational sentences and impersonal passives | 134 |
| | 8.1 | Not all of the alleged expletives can be expletives | 134 |
| | 8.2 | Event arguments | 136 |
| | 8.3 | Comparing German and Dutch | 137 |
| | | 8.3.1 Presentational sentences and impersonal passives as | |
| | | expletive constructions – German | 137 |

| | • | • |
|---|---|---|
| V | 1 | 1 |

| | | 8.3.2 | Event arguments mistaken for expletives – Dutch | 143 | | | |
|--------------|---|---|--|-----|--|--|--|
| | | 8.3.3 | Some remarks on German 'da' | 146 | | | |
| | 8.4 | Afrika | aans | 149 | | | |
| | 8.5 Yiddish | | | 153 | | | |
| | 8.6 Icelandic8.7 Mainland Scandinavian | | 155 | | | | |
| | | | 159 | | | | |
| | 8.8 English | | | | | | |
| | | 8.8.1 | The 'there'-construction as a focus construction | 163 | | | |
| | | 8.8.2 | The Case of the subject DP | 167 | | | |
| | | 8.8.3 | Impersonal passives | 170 | | | |
| | | 8.8.4 | Locative Inversion | 171 | | | |
| 9. | Con | onstructions involving quasi-arguments (or not) | | | | | |
| | | | Veather verbs | | | | |
| | | 9.1.1 | German, Dutch, Afrikaans, MSc and English | 175 | | | |
| | | 9.1.2 | Icelandic | 177 | | | |
| | | 9.1.3 | Yiddish | 179 | | | |
| | 9.2 | Imper | sonal psych verbs | 183 | | | |
| 10 | . Sum | mary | | 189 | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| IV. Co | onclus | ion | | 193 | | | |
| 11 | . Con | clusion | | 195 | | | |
| 5 . 0 | | | | 199 | | | |
| | References | | | | | | |
| Index | ndex | | | | | | |