

Table of contents

 <https://doi.org/10.1075/la.39.toc>

Pages v–viii of

A History of English Reflexive Pronouns: Person, Self, and Interpretability

Elly van Gelderen

[Linguistik Aktuell/Linguistics Today, 39]

2000. xiv, 277 pp.

© John Benjamins Publishing Company

This electronic file may not be altered in any way. For any reuse of this material written permission should be obtained from the publishers or through the Copyright Clearance Center (for USA: www.copyright.com).

For further information, please contact rights@benjamins.nl or consult our website at benjamins.com/rights




Table of contents

Preface	ix
List of tables	xi
Notes for the user and list of abbreviations	xiii
Introduction	1
0.1 Background on functional categories (FCs) and features	3
0.1.1 Functional categories and checking	4
0.1.2 Interpretability of features	7
0.2 Background on binding	12
0.3 Old English morphology and inherent case	20
0.4 The structure of pronouns	22
0.5 Justification of the texts used and outline of the book	24
 C 1	
Old English reflexives	27
1.1 General situation	28
1.2 Beowulf	33
1.2.1 Simple pronouns used as reflexives	33
1.2.2 'Self'	38
1.3 Junius and Exeter	43
1.3.1 Simple pronouns used as reflexives	44
1.3.2 'Self'	46
1.4 Alfred and Ælfric	50
1.4.1 Simple pronoun versus 'self' in Alfred	50
1.4.2 A person difference	52
1.4.3 More changes	54
1.5 Dialect differences	56
1.6 Account and conclusion	62

C	2	
		Reflexives in Middle and later English 63
2.1	Early Middle English	64
2.1.1	Grammaticalization: from adjective to noun	64
2.1.2	First and second person reflexives	67
2.1.3	Third person reflexives	71
2.1.4	Binding domain	74
2.1.5	Reflexives in other texts	76
2.2	Middle English: The 'Gawain' poet	79
2.3	Chaucer	86
2.4	Dialect considerations	91
2.5	Changing features and the grammaticalization of 'self'	95
2.5.1	Features and reflexives	95
2.5.2	The grammaticalization of 'himself'	102
2.6	Later developments: Strengthening of the reflexive and loss of interpretable features	109
2.6.1	Later reflexives	110
2.6.2	The loss of Interpretable features	114
2.7	Conclusion	119
C	3	
		Pro-drop and feature strength 121
3.1	Background on pro-drop	122
3.2	Old English	125
3.2.1	Pro-drop	125
3.2.2	Person split	132
3.3	Middle and Early Modern English	137
3.3.1	Early Middle English	137
3.3.2	Middle English and later	146
3.4	Object pro-drop	147
3.5	Conclusion	149

C 4

The loss of verbal agreement and verb-movement	151
4.1 Rich inflection and movement	152
4.2 Old English	157
4.2.1 First and second person plural	157
4.2.2 First and second person singular	163
4.2.3 Third person	167
4.2.4 The link to pro-drop	168
4.3 Middle and Early Modern English	170
4.3.1 Early Middle English	170
4.3.2 Middle English	175
4.3.3 Early Modern English	178
4.4 The trigger for C, AgrS, and T	180
4.5 Changes in verb-movement	185
4.6 Conclusion	194

C 5

The loss of inherent case	197
5.1 Inherent case in Old English	197
5.1.1 Background on inherent case	198
5.1.2 Accusative versus Dative forms: a person split	199
5.2 Use of inherent Case	205
5.3 The loss of inherent Case	211
5.3.1 The loss of morphological Case	211
5.3.2 Other evidence for the loss of inherent Case	214
5.4 Conclusion and further changes	221

C 6

Ergativity and the person split	223
6.1 Old English possessives and the person split	224
6.1.1 Possession	224
6.1.2 A person split	227
6.2 Impersonals and the person split	228
6.2.1 Impersonals	228
6.2.2 Person split: account and evidence	235
6.3 Early Middle English and the demise of the person split	239
6.4 Conclusion	246

C	7	
Conclusion		247
7.1	General conclusions	247
7.2	Text-by-text conclusions	248
7.2.1	Old English	248
7.2.2	Middle English	250
7.2.3	Early Modern and Modern English	251
7.3	Ramifications for theories of change	251
7.4	Further research	252
Appendix		255
References		257
Name Index		269
Subject Index		273