Table of contents

doi https://doi.org/10.1075/la.189.toc

Pages v-x of Space in Tense: The interaction of tense, aspect, evidentiality and speech acts in Korean **Kyung-Sook Chung** [Linquistik Aktuell/Linquistics Today, 189] 2012. XVII, 292 pp.



© John Benjamins Publishing Company

This electronic file may not be altered in any way. For any reuse of this material written permission should be obtained from the publishers or through the Copyright Clearance Center (for USA: www.copyright.com).

For further information, please contact rights@benjamins.nl or consult our website at benjamins.com/rights

For Sangbaek and Chungwon

Table of contents

Ack	nowle	dgments	X	
List	of tabl	les	XII	
List	of figu	ires	xv	
Abb	reviati	ons	XVI	
	PTER 1			
	oducti		1	
1.1		s of the investigation 1		
1.2		retical assumptions concerning tense, aspect, and eventuality	2	
		Tense as deixis 2		
		The referential theory of tense 5		
		Reference time 6		
		Eventualities and the event argument 7		
		Aspect as operators 8		
		1.2.6 Perfect as an operator tense denoting anteriority 9		
-		Predicative suffixes in Korean 12 Organization of the book 16		
1.4	Organ	nization of the book 16		
СНА	PTER 2	1		
Dei	ctic an	d non-deictic tenses in Korean	2	
2.1	The si	imple form -ess 22		
	2.1.1	Previous analyses 22		
		2.1.1.1 Perfective analyses 22		
		2.1.1.2 Past tense approaches 29		
		2.1.1.3 Ambiguous between past and perfect 31		
	2.1.2	-Ess as an anterior (perfect) 32		
2.2		ouble form -essess 35		
	2.2.1	Previous analyses 36		
		2.2.1.1 Pluperfect approaches 36		
		2.2.1.2 Past tense plus experiential-contrastive aspect 38		
		2.2.1.3 Discontinuous past tense 40		
	2.2.2	-Essess as a past tense 42		

2.3	The semantics of -essess versus -ess: Deictic versus non-deictic 47			
-	Conclusion 54			
СНА	PTER 3			
Sem	antics and pragmatics of the perfect (anterior)	55		
3.1	Semantics of the perfect 55			
	3.1.1 Different readings of the perfect 56			
	3.1.2 The relation between the semantics of the perfect and the			
	present 62			
3.2	Pragmatics of the perfect 68			
	3.2.1 The perfect, discourse topic, and current relevance 68			
	3.2.2 Current relevance and the presupposition of the perfect 72			
3.3	The present perfect puzzle 77			
	3.3.1 Rethinking the P-Definiteness Constraint 80			
	3.3.2 Another puzzle: Exceptions to the Deictic			
	T-Adverbial Constraint 82			
3.4	Conclusion 86			
СНА	PTER 4			
Spat	tial deictic tense	89		
4.1	The suffix -te 90			
	4.1.1 Past imperfective approaches 91			
	4.1.2 Evidential approaches 95			
	4.1.2.1 Constraints on '-te' 95			
	4.1.2.2 The suffix '-te' is not an evidential marker 99			
	4.1.3 -Te as a spatial deictic tense 102			
	4.1.3.1 Faller's (2004) speaker's perceptual field			
	and spatio-temporal deictic tense 102			
	4.1.3.2 The speaker of '-te' is a passive perceiver 105			
	4.1.3.3 '- <i>Te</i> ' is the spatial deictic past tense 111			
4.2				
4.3	Conclusion 121			
СНА	PTER 5			
Evid	lentials in Korean	125		
5.1	Evidential typology 125			
5.2	True evidentiality and quasi-evidentiality 128			
5.3	The spatial deictic tense and evidentials 132			
	5.3.1 Evidentials: -ess, -keyss, and -Ø 133			
	5.3.1.1 Defining the evidential meanings 134			

		5.3.1.2	Implementing the evidential meanings 137	
		5.3.1.3	Presupposition of the evidentials 140	
	5.3.2	Modal	meanings of the inferential indirect evidentials 146	
		5.3.2.1	Indirect evidentials and epistemic modality 146	
		5.3.2.2	Izvorski's analysis of the indirect evidential 148	
		5.3.2.3	Semantics of the indirect evidential 153	
	5.3.3	Modali	ty in the definition of evidentials 157	
5.4	Repor	tative ev	ridentials 159	
	5.4.1 Reportative forms: -tanta (-tay) and -tatela (-tatey) 160			
		5.4.1.1	NK. Kim's (2000) analysis 160	
		5.4.1.2	Hearsay vs. Second-hand 162	
	5.4.2	Reporta	ative versus non-reportative evidentials 166	
	5.4.3	Reporta	ative evidentials are illocutionary operators 170	
		5.4.3.1	Interaction with propositional operators 171	
		5.4.3.2	(In)felicity if embedded proposition	
			is known to be false 173	
		5.4.3.3	(In)felicity if embedded proposition	
			is known to be true 176	
		5.4.3.4	Assent/dissent 178	
		5.4.3.5	Embedability 181	
		5.4.3.6	Readings in interrogatives 188	
5.5	Concl	usion	196	
	PTER 6			
Evid			evidential sentences	199
6.1			speech act of presentation 200	
6.2	Korean evidential sentences lack assertive points 202			
	•			
	Portner's (2006) presented set approach 216			
6.5	Korean evidentials and subjectivity 219			
6.6	Concl	usion	223	
СНА	PTER 7			
		s and fu	irther issues	227
7.1			tenses and world variables 230	/
7.2	Evidentiality and subjective epistemic modality 232			
7·2 7·3	Syntactic structures of evidential vs. non-evidential sentences 243			
7·3 7·4	•		ect 251	
, -1	7.4.1	Imperfe		
		•	ssive and resultative 257	

7.5	Tense interpretation in subordinate clauses 262			
	7.5.1	Imperfective and <i>de se</i> (simultaneous) interpretation 263		
	7.5.2	Deictic tense and the 'Sequence of Tense' phenomenon 265		
	7.5.3	Are there indexicals that can shift the context? 271		
7.6	6 Conclusion 274			
Bibl	iograp	hy	277	
Author index			285	
Language index			287	
Sub	iect ind	lex	280	