

Table of contents



<https://doi.org/10.1075/la.179.toc>

Pages v–xii of

Causatives in Minimalism

Mercedes Tubino-Blanco


[Linguistik Aktuell/Linguistics Today, 179]

2011. xx, 294 pp.

© John Benjamins Publishing Company

This electronic file may not be altered in any way. For any reuse of this material written permission should be obtained from the publishers or through the Copyright Clearance Center (for USA: www.copyright.com).

For further information, please contact rights@benjamins.nl or consult our website at benjamins.com/rights



To Kip (twice)

Table of contents

Acknowledgements	XIII
Abbreviations and symbols	XV
Introduction	XVII
 CHAPTER 1	
Contrasts in causatives	1
1. Contrasts in causatives	1
2. Variation in lexical causatives: The causative-inchoative alternation	1
3. Variation in productive causatives	5
 CHAPTER 2	
Theoretical framework	11
1. Introduction	11
2. Introducing Pylkkänen (2002, 2008)	11
2.1 The data	11
2.2 The lexical vs. productive distinction	12
2.3 Causation and the causer	13
2.3.1 Causation: An argument increasing phenomenon?	14
2.3.2 The interpretation of the causer-less causatives	15
2.3.2.1 The adversity interpretation: Japanese	15
2.3.2.2 The desiderative interpretation: Finnish	16
2.3.3 Concluding, causers are not intrinsic to causation	21
3. Pylkkänen's pieces of causation	21
3.1 The syntax and semantics of Cause	21
3.1.1 Pylkkänen's Cause	22
3.1.2 Cause: A little history	23
3.1.2.1 Larson's VP-shells	23
3.1.2.2 Light verbs and complex predication	24
3.1.2.3 Light verbs with null phonological content	25
3.1.2.4 Different 'flavors' of <i>v</i>	26
3.1.3 Summary	28
3.2 Kratzer's (1994, 1996) Voice	29

4.	Pylkkänen's <i>Voice-bundling</i> parameter	33
4.1	Voice and Cause: Two different functional heads	33
4.2	The Voice-bundling parameter	34
5.	Selection	36
5.1	Cause is parameterized for selection	36
5.1.1	Marantz (1997): Acategorial roots	37
5.1.2	The Selection parameter	39
5.2	Summary	44
6.	Voice-bundling and selection working in tandem	44
6.1	Voice-bundling + Root selecting	45
6.2	Non-Voice-bundling Cause and embedded agents	47
6.3	Summary	48
6.4	Pylkkänen's predictions	49
7.	Discussion and conclusion	50

CHAPTER 3

Exploring Root causatives

53

1.	Introduction	53
2.	Hiaki lexical causatives	54
2.1	Causatives in Hiaki	54
2.2	Japanese	55
2.3	Hiaki lexical causatives	57
2.3.1	Hiaki lexical v_{CAUSE} : Root selecting	58
2.3.2	Hiaki is non-Voice-bundling	65
2.3.3	Summary	67
2.4	Hiaki and Japanese lexical causatives contrasted	67
2.4.1	Unergatives do not root-causativize in Hiaki	67
2.4.2	The adversity interpretation of transitive <i>die</i>	72
2.5	Other suppletive forms in Hiaki	78
2.5.1	A case study: <i>die</i> crosslinguistically	79
2.5.2	Suppletion in lexical causatives	80
2.5.3	<i>Kill</i> as a suppletive lexical causative	82
2.6	Summary	84
3.	Root causativization of unaccusatives and Voice-bundling	85
3.1	Suppletion cannot explain all cases	87
3.2	Testing unaccusativity	88
3.2.1	<i>break</i>	92
3.2.2	<i>arrive</i> and <i>appear</i>	93
3.2.3	<i>break</i> vs <i>{arrive/appear}</i>	94
3.3	The locative element	94
3.4	Word order and pro-loc in Romance	96

3.5	The deictic component and Voice-bundling	99
3.6	<i>There</i> -construction and locative inversion	103
3.7	Potential problems	105
3.7.1	<i>Leave</i> vs <i>arrive</i>	105
3.7.2	Japanese	109
3.8	Summary	111
4.	Conclusion	112
CHAPTER 4		
	English causatives with <i>make</i>: The role of agreement in causatives	115
1.	Introduction	115
2.	English productive causatives: <i>Make</i>	116
2.1	English v_{CAUSE} has different flavors	116
2.2	English <i>make</i> : Phase-selecting v_{CAUSE}	117
2.2.1	The concept of <i>phase</i> for Pylkkänen	117
2.2.2	On phases	118
2.2.3	VoiceP as a phase: Introductory ideas	119
2.3	Diagnostics	121
2.3.1	Internal VP modification	122
2.3.2	Intervening verbal morphology	123
2.3.3	Agentive modification under <i>make</i>	124
2.3.4	Intervening high applicative morphology	125
2.3.5	Causatives of unergatives and transitives	126
2.3.6	Unaccusative causatives	127
2.4	Summary	129
3.	VoiceP is a phase	129
3.1	Passives contain VoiceP	129
3.2	Actives contain VoiceP: Evidence from ellipsis	132
3.3	The complement of <i>make</i>	136
3.5	Summary	143
4.	Passive causatives with <i>make</i>	143
4.1	Passive <i>make</i>	144
4.2	A preliminary analysis	147
4.3	The nature of <i>to</i> in passive causatives	148
4.3.1	Hornstein et al. (2006)	148
4.3.2	Santorini & Heycock (1988) and Higginbotham (1983)	150
4.4	The role of T(ense) features in Agree relations:	
	Pesetsky & Torrego	154
4.4.1	The auxiliary <i>do</i> is an instance of T in C	154
4.4.2	<i>That</i> is an instance of T in C	155
4.4.3	Two types of T	156

4.5	<i>To</i> is an instance of T_0 raised to Voice	156
4.5.1	Asymmetries in passive causatives	156
4.5.2	Voice contains a uT feature	157
4.5.3	<i>To</i> is an instance of valued T on Voice	157
4.5.4	The valuation of uT on Voice by matrix T	158
4.5.5	The valuation of uT on Voice by matrix T_0	158
4.5.6	The valuation of uT on Voice by embedded T_0	159
4.5.7	Extending the proposal to other structures	160
4.6	Summary	161
4.7	Passive causatives without Voice	162
4.8	<i>Cause to</i>	164
5.	Summary and conclusion	165

CHAPTER 5

Productive causatives: Hiaki

167

1.	Introduction	167
2.	Hiaki productive causatives: The data	168
2.1	Morphological causatives	168
2.2	Types of verbs embedded by productive causatives	169
2.3	The arguments of Hiaki productive causatives	170
2.3.1	A contrast between <i>-tua</i> and <i>-tevo</i>	170
2.3.2	The causee argument	171
2.3.2.1	<i>-tua</i> requires an explicit causee	171
2.3.2.2	<i>-tevo</i> generally disallows an explicit causee	171
2.4	Summary	171
3.	The direct causative <i>-tua</i>	172
3.1	Productive <i>-tua</i>	172
3.1.1	Productive <i>-tua</i> selects VoiceP	172
3.1.2	Is <i>-tua</i> phase selecting?	173
3.1.3	Direct causative <i>-tua</i> is non Voice-bundling	176
3.1.4	<i>-tua</i> disallows passive complements	177
3.1.5	Summary	181
3.2	Other uses of productive <i>-tua</i>	182
3.2.1	<i>Pretend</i>	182
3.2.2	<i>Let</i>	182
3.2.3	Analysis	182
3.3	Nominal and Root complements of <i>tua</i>	183
3.3.1	Nominal complements of <i>-tua</i>	183
3.3.2	Root complements of <i>-tua</i>	185
3.3.3	Analysis	186

3.4	Two layers of <i>-tua</i>	189
3.4.1	The argument structure	190
3.4.2	A morphological restriction: Haplology	190
3.4.3	Analysis	191
3.5	Lexical <i>-tua</i> embedded by <i>-tevo</i>	192
3.6	Summary	193
4.	The syntax of <i>-tevo</i>	194
4.1	<i>-tevo</i> disallows embedded subjects (causees)	194
4.2	<i>-tevo</i> : An analysis	195
4.2.1	<i>-tevo</i> is non-Voice-bundling	195
4.2.2	<i>-tevo</i> is verb-selecting	196
4.3	No causee embedded under <i>-tevo</i> : Evidence	197
4.3.1	Subjects of causativized passives	197
4.3.2	Number agreement and suppletive verbs	198
5.	The <i>-tua-tevo</i> causative	200
5.1	The embedded subject in <i>-tua-tevo</i> combinations	201
5.2	In support of verb-selecting <i>-tevo</i> /non-Voice-bundling <i>-tua</i>	202
6.	Indirect causatives with seemingly overt causees	203
6.1	<i>-tevo</i> : An apparent optionality	203
6.2	Internal arguments of 'arrive' in <i>-tevo</i> causatives	206
6.3	Summary	210
7.	Conclusion	210

CHAPTER 6

Spanish productive causatives: <i>Hacer</i>		213
1.	Introduction	213
2.	Syntactic properties of <i>hacer</i>	213
2.1	FI versus FQ	214
2.2	The complement of FI versus the complement of FQ	217
2.2.1	Unergative, transitive and unaccusative complements of <i>hacer</i>	217
2.2.2	FI is more restricted than FQ	217
2.3	FI versus FP	219
2.4	Non-verbal complements of <i>hacer</i>	220
2.5	Unaccusative <i>hacer</i>	221
2.6	Summary	223
3.	<i>Hacer</i> may be functional or lexical	223
3.1	Italian <i>fare</i> : Folli & Harley (2003, 2007)	223
3.2	Lexical <i>hacer</i> : The FP construction	226
3.2.1	<i>hacer</i> in FP is V ⁰ DO	226

3.2.2	Passives of causatives	230
3.2.3	Restructuring and FP	232
3.3	Functional <i>hacer</i> : FQ	238
4.	Spanish FI	240
4.1	Proposal	240
4.2	Some previous accounts	242
4.2.1	Treviño (1994)	242
4.2.2	Recent proposals	246
4.3	FI in Italian: Folli & Harley (2003, 2007)	247
4.3.1	Basic analysis	247
4.3.2	The dative causee	248
4.4	Spanish applicatives	249
4.4.1	Cuervo's (2003) affected applicative	249
4.4.2	Productive <i>hacer</i> and applicative causees	250
4.4.3	Datives with FQ	254
4.5	The animacy of the causee	256
4.6	The clitic doubling of the dative causee	258
4.7	Agent-oriented verbal modifiers	259
4.8	External arguments and depictives	260
4.9	FI does not allow agentless complements	261
4.10	The dative causee may be a derived subject	262
4.11	Summary	263
5.	FN: <i>Hacer</i> with non-verbal complements	264
6.	Unaccusative <i>hacer</i>	267
6.1	Finite CP within unaccusative <i>hacer</i>	269
6.2	The matrix verb exhibits default (3s) agreement	271
6.3	Only datives are allowed in the desiderative construction	271
6.4	<i>Hacer</i> in weather/time constructions	271
6.5	Other instances of <i>hacer</i> with no external argument	273
7.	Conclusion	275
Concluding remarks		277
References		279
Index		289