

Table of contents

 <https://doi.org/10.1075/la.163.toc>

Pages v–xii of

Extraction Asymmetries: Experimental evidence from German

Tanja Kiziak


[Linguistik Aktuell/Linguistics Today, 163]

2010. xvi, 273 pp.

© John Benjamins Publishing Company

This electronic file may not be altered in any way. For any reuse of this material written permission should be obtained from the publishers or through the Copyright Clearance Center (for USA: www.copyright.com).

For further information, please contact rights@benjamins.nl or consult our website at benjamins.com/rights



John Benjamins Publishing Company

For Stephan

Table of contents

List of figures	XIII
Preface and acknowledgements	XV
CHAPTER 1	
An introduction to long extraction	1
1.1 The movement metaphor	2
1.2 Long extraction – four basic factors	3
1.3 The four factors in linguistic theory	5
1.3.1 Factor I: Mobility	7
1.3.1.1 Subject/object asymmetries	7
1.3.1.2 Adjunct/argument asymmetries	13
1.3.2 Factor II: Permeability	14
1.3.3 Factor III: Bridge quality	18
1.3.4 Factor IV: Movement type	20
1.4 The scope of this monograph	21
CHAPTER 2	
Judgement studies: Methodology and evaluation	27
2.1 Why judgement studies?	27
2.2 Collecting the data	30
2.2.1 Designing the materials for our experiments	30
2.2.2 Elicitation method	30
2.2.3 Experimental procedure	32
2.3 Evaluating the data	33
2.3.1 Visual inspection	34
2.3.2 Statistical analysis	35
2.4 Cardinal well-formedness values	36

CHAPTER 3

Subject/object asymmetries in German: Establishing the basic data pattern	41
3.1 Subject/object asymmetries in <i>dass</i> -extractions	42
3.1.1 Views from the literature	42
3.1.2 Investigating mobility: Subject/object asymmetries with dative extraction?	45
3.1.2.1 Exp 1: Design	46
3.1.2.2 Exp 1: Results and discussion	50
3.1.3 Investigating movement type: <i>Wh</i> -movement versus long topicalization	54
3.1.3.1 Exp 2: Design	56
3.1.3.2 Exp 2: Results	58
3.2 Subject/object asymmetries with <i>wh</i> -islands	60
3.2.1 Views from the literature	60
3.2.2 Exp 3: Design	62
3.2.3 Exp 3: Results and discussion	63
3.2.3.1 Floor effects	64
3.2.3.2 Mobility \times Movement type or: Word order preferences	64
3.2.3.3 Permeability or: The semantic heaviness of complementizers	66
3.2.3.4 Movement type \times Permeability or: How movement type interacts with complementizer type	69
3.2.3.5 Mobility \times Permeability for long topicalization	71
3.2.3.6 Mobility \times Permeability for long <i>wh</i> -movement	72
3.2.3.7 Summary	74
3.3 An excursus on adjunct/argument asymmetries	74
3.3.1 Experiment 2 revisited: Design	75
3.3.2 Experiment 2 revisited: Results	77
3.4 Conclusions	78

CHAPTER 4

A controversial case: Extraction from V2-clause or parenthetical construction?

81

- 4.1 Two competing analyses 82
- 4.2 Discussion in the literature 84
- 4.3 Evidence from predicate restrictions 94
 - 4.3.1 *dass*-extraction and controversial construction 95
 - 4.3.1.1 Exp 4: Design 96
 - 4.3.1.2 Exp 4: Results 98
 - 4.3.2 Open question I: Dependent V2-clauses 100
 - 4.3.2.1 Exp 5: Design 101
 - 4.3.2.2 Exp 5: Results 102
 - 4.3.3 Open question II: Other integrated parentheticals 105
 - 4.3.3.1 Exp 6: Design 105
 - 4.3.3.2 Exp 6: Results 107
 - 4.3.4 Discussion 109
- 4.4 Evidence from subject/object asymmetries 112
 - 4.4.1 Exp 3 revisited: Design 112
 - 4.4.2 Exp 3 revisited: Results 113
 - 4.4.3 Exp 3 revisited: Discussion 117
 - 4.4.4 Excursus: Featherston's interpretation of similar data 119
- 4.5 Conclusions 121

CHAPTER 5

Locating the explanation for the subject/object asymmetry in the matrix clause

123

- 5.1 The role of morphological case-marking 124
 - 5.1.1 The predictions of Andersson & Kvam (1984) 124
 - 5.1.2 Our experimental approach to the agreement clash hypothesis 127
- 5.2 The role of local ambiguity 129
 - 5.2.1 Fanselow & Frisch (2006) 129
 - 5.2.2 Subject/object asymmetries with interrogative pronouns 131
 - 5.2.2.1 Exp 7: Design 131
 - 5.2.2.2 Exp 7: Results and discussion 132
 - 5.2.3 Extraction from infinitival clauses as the source of local ambiguity 134
- 5.3 Case-ambiguous extracted DPs in self-paced reading studies 143
 - 5.3.1 Farke (1994) 143
 - 5.3.2 Contra Farke (1994) 146
- 5.4 Judgement studies on case-ambiguous extracted DPs 147
 - 5.4.1 A first study on case-ambiguous extracted elements 148
 - 5.4.1.1 Exp 8: Design 148
 - 5.4.1.2 Exp 8: Results and discussion 149
 - 5.4.2 Comparing case-ambiguous and case-unambiguous extracted DPs 152
 - 5.4.2.1 Exp 9: Design 152
 - 5.4.2.2 Exp 9: Results 154
 - 5.4.2.3 Exp 9: Discussion 158
 - 5.4.3 General remarks on testing case-ambiguous extracted DPs 160
- 5.5 Our extraction data and the 'good enough' approach 162
 - 5.5.1 A general outline of the 'good enough' approach 162
 - 5.5.2 Applying the 'good enough' approach to our extraction data in general 163
 - 5.5.3 Applying the 'good enough' approach to experiment 9 165
- 5.6 Conclusions 168

CHAPTER 6**Locating the explanation for the subject/object asymmetry
in the embedded clause****171**

- 6.1 Considering the constellation in the embedded clause 172
 - 6.1.1 Exp 10: Design 177
 - 6.1.2 Exp 10: Results 178
 - 6.1.3 The ‘good enough’ approach revisited 182
 - 6.1.4 Summary 183
- 6.2 Characteristics of the extraction site 184
- 6.3 Testing long extraction of ‘atypical’ subjects 187
 - 6.3.1 An experiment on ND- versus DN-verbs 188
 - 6.3.1.1 Exp 11: Design 189
 - 6.3.1.2 Exp 11: Results and discussion 191
 - 6.3.2 A study on long extraction in passive and unaccusative contexts 195
 - 6.3.2.1 Exp 12: Design 197
 - 6.3.2.2 Exp 12: Results 199
 - 6.3.3 A follow-up study on subject extraction in passive contexts 208
 - 6.3.3.1 Exp 13: Design 209
 - 6.3.3.2 Exp 13: Results 210
 - 6.3.4 Overall conclusion from experiment 12 and 13 214
- 6.4 Testing the interaction of scrambling and long extraction 216
 - 6.4.1 Exp 14: Design 217
 - 6.4.2 Exp 14: Results and discussion 220
 - 6.4.2.1 Replication of the basic subject/object asymmetry? 220
 - 6.4.2.2 The effect of scrambling 223
 - 6.4.3 Summary 225
- 6.5 The LA-account revisited 225
- 6.6 Conclusion 226

CHAPTER 7	
Conclusions	229
Bibliography	245
Appendix A: Experimental materials	255
Index	271