

# List of figures

 <https://doi.org/10.1075/la.163.01lis>

Pages xiii–xiv of

**Extraction Asymmetries: Experimental evidence from German**

**Tanja Kiziak**


[Linguistik Aktuell/Linguistics Today, 163]

2010. xvi, 273 pp.

© John Benjamins Publishing Company

This electronic file may not be altered in any way. For any reuse of this material written permission should be obtained from the publishers or through the Copyright Clearance Center (for USA: [www.copyright.com](http://www.copyright.com)).

For further information, please contact [rights@benjamins.nl](mailto:rights@benjamins.nl) or consult our website at [benjamins.com/rights](http://benjamins.com/rights)



## List of figures

2.1.	How to visually interpret judgement data	34
2.2.	Cardinal well-formedness scale	36
3.1.	Exp 1: long <i>wh</i> -movement – the basic subject/object asymmetry	52
3.2.	Exp 2: long <i>wh</i> -movement vs. long topicalization	58
3.3.	Exp 3: monoclausals and <i>dass</i> -extractions	65
3.4.	Exp 3: long extraction from <i>dass</i> -, <i>ob</i> -, <i>wann</i> -clauses	67
3.5.	Exp 3: complementizertype × movement type	69
3.6.	Exp 3: the full picture for long topicalization	71
3.7.	Exp 3: the full picture for long <i>wh</i> -movement	72
3.8.	Exp 2 revisited: adjunct extraction	78
4.1.	Exp 4: <i>dass</i> -extraction versus controversial construction	98
4.2.	Exp 5: <i>dass</i> -extraction versus controversial construction	102
4.3.	Exp 5: dependent V2-clauses versus controversial construction	104
4.4.	Exp 6: <i>dass</i> -extraction versus controversial construction	107
4.5.	Exp 6: post-subject V1-parentheticals versus controversial construction	108
4.6.	Exp 3 revisited: subject/object asymmetries with <i>wh</i> -questions	114
4.7.	Exp 3 revisited: subject/object asymmetries with declaratives	115
4.8.	Exp 3 revisited: comparing <i>wh</i> -questions and declaratives	116
5.1.	Exp 7: long movement of D-linked vs. pronominal <i>wh</i> -items	133
5.2.	Extractions from non-finite clauses	138
5.3.	Exp 8: <i>wh</i> -movement of case-ambiguous DPs	150
5.4.	Exp 3 revisited	150
5.5.	Exp 9: long extraction of case-ambiguous vs. case-unambiguous DPs I	154

5.6. Exp 9: long extraction of case-ambiguous vs. case-unambiguous DPs II	156
5.7. Figure 5.6 from experiment 9 repeated	167
6.1. Exp 10: the effect of a case-ambiguous DP in the <i>dass</i> -clause I	179
6.2. Exp 10: the effect of a case-ambiguous DP in the <i>dass</i> -clause II	180
6.3. Exp 11: subject/object asymmetries with DN- versus ND-verbs I	191
6.4. Exp 11: subject/object asymmetries with DN- versus ND-verbs II	193
6.5. Exp 3 revisited	200
6.6. Exp 12: subject/object asymmetries in the active/passive subexperiment I	201
6.7. Exp 12: subject/object asymmetries in the active/passive subexperiment II	202
6.8. Exp 12: subject/object asymmetries in the trans/unacc subexperiment I	203
6.9. Exp 12: comparing the two subexperiments	204
6.10. Exp 12: subject/object asymmetries in the trans/unacc subexperiment II	205
6.11. Exp 13: subject/object asymmetries in the second active/passive study I	210
6.12. Exp 13: subject/object asymmetries in the second active/passive study II	211
6.13. Exp 14: replication of the basic subject/object asymmetry?	220
6.14. Exp 14 vs Exp 2	221
6.15. Exp 14: the effect of scrambling	224