


Table of contents

 <https://doi.org/10.1075/la.139.toc>



Pages v–viii of

The Derivation of Anaphoric Relations

Glyn Hicks

[*Linguistik Aktuell/Linguistics Today*, 139]

2009. xii, 309 pp.

© John Benjamins Publishing Company

This electronic file may not be altered in any way. For any reuse of this material written permission should be obtained from the publishers or through the Copyright Clearance Center (for USA: www.copyright.com).

For further information, please contact rights@benjamins.nl or consult our website at benjamins.com/rights

Table of contents

Acknowledgments	IX
Notes for the reader	XI
CHAPTER 1	
Introduction	1
1.1 The classical binding theory	1
1.1.1 Referential properties of DPs	1
1.1.2 The distribution of anaphors and pronouns	3
1.1.3 The binding conditions	4
1.2 Theoretical context of the research	5
1.2.1 A brief history of the binding theory	5
1.2.2 Current theoretical challenges	6
1.3 Organisation of the book	8
CHAPTER 2	
Binding theory and the Minimalist programme	11
2.1 Introduction	11
2.2 Binding theory in Principles and Parameters	13
2.2.1 Chomsky (1973, 1976)	13
2.2.2 Chomsky (1980)	19
2.2.3 Chomsky (1981)	22
2.2.4 Chomsky (1986b)	31
2.2.5 Summary	35
2.3 The Minimalist programme	36
2.3.1 Core Minimalist assumptions	36
2.3.2 The <i>Derivation by Phase</i> framework	38
2.3.3 Theoretical problems for <i>Derivation by Phase</i>	44
2.3.4 Summary	55
2.4 Binding in Minimalism	55
2.4.1 Theoretical challenges	56
2.4.2 Chomsky (1993), Chomsky & Lasnik (1993)	58
2.4.3 Remaining problems for the Minimalist binding theory	60
2.5 Conclusion	63

CHAPTER 3

The binding theory does not apply at LF

65

- 3.1 Introduction 65
- 3.2 Evidence for a syntactic binding theory 67
 - 3.2.1 Theoretical arguments 67
 - 3.2.2 Empirical evidence for a syntactic Condition B 68
 - 3.2.3 Empirical evidence for a syntactic Condition A 76
- 3.3 Counter-evidence 1: Trapping effects 81
 - 3.3.1 Condition C interacting with anaphor binding 82
 - 3.3.2 Quantifier scope interacting with A-movement across an anaphor 85
- 3.4 A modification to the analysis of Condition A effects 86
- 3.5 Counter-evidence 2: Idiom interpretation 88
 - 3.5.1 Subjectless picture-DP idiom chunks 89
 - 3.5.2 Picture-DP idiom chunks containing subjects 91
- 3.6 Counter-evidence 3: Reconstruction with expletive associates 92
- 3.7 Counter-evidence 4: Reconstruction with bound pronouns 93
- 3.8 Conclusion 95

CHAPTER 4

Eliminating Condition A

97

- 4.1 Introduction 97
- 4.2 Encoding binding relations 99
 - 4.2.1 Binding relations derived by movement: Hornstein (2000) 99
 - 4.2.2 Binding relations derived by merger 101
 - 4.2.3 Binding relations derived by Agree 105
 - 4.2.4 Features involved in binding 107
- 4.3 Implications for the domain problem 124
 - 4.3.1 Phases as local binding domains 124
 - 4.3.2 Some preliminaries on probing 126
 - 4.3.3 Binding in finite clauses 128
 - 4.3.4 Binding in non-finite clauses 129
- 4.4 Binding at longer distance 135
 - 4.4.1 Teasing apart nonlocal and local binding configurations 135
 - 4.4.2 Complications with picture-DPs 140
 - 4.4.3 Non-binding-theoretic constraints on reflexives 141
- 4.5 Binding into picture-DPs 146
 - 4.5.1 Binding into a subjectless picture-DP within the same clause 147
 - 4.5.2 Are DPs (LF-)phases? 151

- 4.6 Anaphor connectivity 158
 - 4.6.1 The interaction of binding and A'-movement 159
 - 4.6.2 The interaction of binding and A-movement 160
- 4.7 Conclusion 164

CHAPTER 5

- Eliminating Condition B** 167
 - 5.1 Introduction 168
 - 5.2 Empirical evidence for the local domain 169
 - 5.2.1 ν P as the local domain 169
 - 5.2.2 DP/*n*P as a local domain 171
 - 5.2.3 PP as a local domain 175
 - 5.2.4 Implications for non-complementarity 180
 - 5.2.5 Is the PF-phase really relevant? 182
 - 5.3 Motivating Condition B effects 189
 - 5.3.1 Improving on the Minimalist Condition B 190
 - 5.3.2 Possibilities for a reanalysis of Condition B 192
 - 5.4 Condition B as an economy violation 199
 - 5.4.1 Why PF-phases are local domains 200
 - 5.4.2 Is Condition B derivable from Agree after all? 201
 - 5.4.3 Deriving Condition B from other principles 202
 - 5.4.4 Remaining issues 212
 - 5.4.5 Summary 214
 - 5.5 Conclusion 215

CHAPTER 6

- Extensions to other Germanic languages** 217
 - 6.1 Introduction 217
 - 6.2 Dutch 219
 - 6.2.1 Anaphors and pronouns in Dutch 219
 - 6.2.2 Analysis of Dutch anaphors and pronouns 222
 - 6.2.3 Explaining the distribution of SE and SELF anaphors 227
 - 6.2.4 Problems with *zich* 234
 - 6.2.5 Summary 244
 - 6.3 Norwegian 245
 - 6.3.1 Anaphors and pronouns in Norwegian 245
 - 6.3.2 The basic distribution of anaphors and pronouns 246
 - 6.3.3 Extended binding domains and orientation 255
 - 6.3.4 A note on reciprocals 263
 - 6.3.5 Summary 264

6.4 Icelandic	265
6.4.1 Anaphors and pronouns in Icelandic	265
6.4.2 The distribution of <i>sig</i>	267
6.4.3 Problems with pronouns	278
6.4.4 Summary	287
6.5 Conclusion	289

CHAPTER 7

Conclusions	291
7.1 Summary of the book	291
7.2 Final thoughts	293
 Bibliography	 295
Index	307