## **List of figures**



doi https://doi.org/10.1075/impact.19.01lis

Pages xi-xiv of

Language Standardization and Language Change: The dynamics of Cape Dutch

## **Ana Deumert**

[IMPACT: Studies in Language, Culture and Society, 19] 2004. xx, 361 pp.



This electronic file may not be altered in any way. For any reuse of this material written permission should be obtained from the publishers or through the Copyright Clearance Center (for USA: www.copyright.com).

For further information, please contact rights@benjamins.nl or consult our website at benjamins.com/rights



## List of figures

Figure 1.1	The chronology of language change at the Cape (adapted from				
	Raidt 1983:7, 28)				
Figure 1.2	Free population and slaves at the Cape, 1692–1793				
Figure 1.3	Historical demographics of a prototypical plantation society				
	(adapted from Bickerton 1995: 317)				
Figure 2.1	Publication of vernacular texts in newspapers and magazines in				
	the Cape Colony 1826–1899 (number of texts per year; based on				
	Nienaber 1966)				
Figure 3.1	Oral reading practice in the Cape Colony: an itinerant teacher				
	reads items from the Zuid-Afrikaan (drawing by C. Bells circa				
	1840; reproduced in Pells 1938)				
Figure 3.2	Temporal distribution of the sample texts 1880–1922 in the				
	Corpus of Cape Dutch Correspondence (number of texts per year)				
Figure 3.3	The distribution of social classes in the Corpus of Cape Dutch				
	Correspondence				
Figure 4.1	Position of cases 1–4 in the two-dimensional space				
Figure 4.2	Single linkage (adapted from Kaufman and Rousseeuw 1990: 47)				
Figure 4.3	Complete linkage (adapted from Kaufman and Rousseeuw				
	1990:47)				
Figure 4.4	Tree diagram for single linkage (Euclidean distance)				
Figure 4.5	Tree diagram for average linkage (Euclidean distance)				
Figure 4.6	Tree diagram Ward's method (Euclidean distance)				
Figure 4.7	Amalgamation graph (Euclidean distance, Ward's method)				
Figure 4.8	Shepard diagram				
Figure 4.9	Scatter plot (dimension 1 vs. dimension 2)				
Figure 4.10	Correlation between [NP/del.] and [P/del.] with a least squares fit				
	(regression line)				
Figure 4.11	Scree plot				
Figure 4.12	Scatter plot (based on component scores)				

- Figure 4.13 A schematic representation of diffusion, focus (language standards/incipient standard languages) and fixity (standard language; adapted from Smith 1996:66)
- Histogram summarizing the degree of inflectional loss (person Figure 5.1 and number distinction in the present tense) by individual writers (n = 136) (all verbs)
- Figure 5.2 Apocope of [t]according to phonological and grammatical constraints: change in real time 1712–1922
- Figure 5.3 Apocope of [t] according to phonological and grammatical constraints: Johanna Brümmer (born 1877)
- Apocope of [t] according to phonological and grammatical Figure 5.4 constraints: F. S. Malan (born 1871)
- Figure 5.5 Apocope of [t] according to phonological and grammatical constraints: P. van Huyssteen (born 1854)
- Figure 5.6 Apocope of [t] according to phonological and grammatical constraints: B. J. Brümmer (born 1841)
- Figure 5.7 Apocope of [t] according to phonological and grammatical constraints: Elisabeth Eksteen (born 1860)
- Figure 5.8 Apocope of [t] according to phonological and grammatical constraints: Louis Botha (born 1862)
- Apocope of -e(n) for plural verbs (present tense), infinitives and Figure 5.9 past participles change in real time, 1779–1922
- Figure 5.10 Possible lines of development for the regularization of the past participle of strong verbs
- Figure 5.11 Histogram summarizing the use of present perfect by individual writers (n = 100); main verbs only
- Figure 5.12 Histogram for summarizing the degree of gender regularization by individual writers (n = 60)
- Figure 5.13 Morphosyntactic regularization in the Corpus of Cape Dutch Correspondence
- Figure 6.1 Histogram summarizing the use of nominative ons by individual writers (n = 65)
- Histogram summarizing the use of *nie-2* by individual writers Figure 6.2 (n = 85)
- Generalization of the long infinitive with *om.* . . *te* by individual Figure 6.3 writers (n = 74)
- Diffusion of gender regularization and *nie-2* in the *Corpus of Cape* Figure 6.4 **Dutch Correspondence**

- Tree diagram (Ward's method, Euclidean distances) for the Figure 7.1 variables gender, verb, Inf, PP\_T, PP\_EN, TENSE, PRONOUN, OMTE, NIE2 and VIR Figure 7.2 Graph of amalgamation schedule for Ward's method Figure 7.3 Multidimensional scaling (Guttman Lingoes) of the distance matrix for cluster I-III; based on Euclidean distances Figure 7.4 Plot of means for the three cluster solutions Scree plot Figure 7.5 Figure 7.6 Multidimensional scaling (Guttman Lingoes) of the distance matrix for cluster I–III; based on Euclidean distances Multidimensional scaling (Guttman Lingoes) of the distance Figure 7.7 matrix for cluster I and III; based on Euclidean distances Distribution of Afrikaans forms across the three clusters Figure 7.8 Distribution of social groups across clusters: age Figure 7.9 Figure 7.10 Distribution of social groups across clusters: class Figure 7.11 Distribution of social groups across clusters: sex Figure 7.12 Multidimensional scaling (Guttman Lingoes); data basis: Corpus of Cape Dutch Correspondence and nine vernacular texts Figure 7.13 Multidimensional scaling (see Figure 7.3). Position of the bi-dialectal speakers F. S Malan (A[1], A[2]) and Wynanda Hoogenhout (B[1], B[2])Code choices in Johanna Brümmer's letters to F. S. Malan, Figure 8.1 1893-1904 Sociogram of the functional relations among 35 speakers/writers Figure 9.1 (Krackplot 2.0; multidimensional scaling) Figure 9.2 Cliques in the network Code choices in Francois Malan's letters to Johanna Brümmer,
- 1891-1920 Figure 9.4 Code choices in Wynanda Hoogenhout's letters, 1905–1921 Figure 9.5 Publication of Afrikaans books, 1861–1925 Figure 9.6 Percentage of Afrikaans forms in the Corpus of Cape Dutch Correspondence across time

Figure 9.3