

Table of contents

 <https://doi.org/10.1075/ds.29.toc>

Pages v–x of

Epistemic Stance in Dialogue: Knowing, Unknowing, Believing

Andrzej Zuczkowski, Ramona Bongelli and Iliaria Riccioni

[*Dialogue Studies*, 29] 2017. xiii, 311 pp.

© John Benjamins Publishing Company

This electronic file may not be altered in any way. For any reuse of this material written permission should be obtained from the publishers or through the Copyright Clearance Center (for USA: www.copyright.com).

For further information, please contact rights@benjamins.nl or consult our website at benjamins.com/rights




Table of contents

Preface	XI
Introduction	1
Stance, stancetaking, epistemic stance	1
Knowing, Unknowing, Believing (KUB) positions	3
What is KUB for?	4
Plan of the book	5
Part 1. KUB as a theoretical model and a method of analysis	
CHAPTER 1	
Psychological background	11
1.1 Perception and cognition as rule-governed systems	12
1.2 Perceiving and cognising as processes	13
1.3 Experience as a verb and as a noun	14
1.4 Reality	15
1.4.1 The first and second meaning of reality: Physical reality vs. experiential reality	15
1.4.2 The third and fourth meaning of reality: Perceptual reality vs. cognitive reality	17
1.5 Degrees of experiential reality	19
1.6 Language and linguistic communication: The semantic relationship of reference	20
1.7 The twofold reference of language to experience	21
CHAPTER 2	
Linguistic background	23
2.1 The semantic interpretation of texts/dialogues	24
2.2 Natural and theoretical interpretation	24
2.3 Sense-interpretation as a natural process	25
2.4 Sense-interpretation as a theoretical process	25
2.5 Atomic Text	26
2.6 Linguistic features of performative, world-constitutive and descriptive propositions	28

- 2.7 Classification of world-constitutive verbs in relation to verb tenses in descriptive propositions 30
- 2.8 World-constitutive vs. descriptive use of world-constitutive verbs 31
- 2.9 The asymmetry of the world-constitutive and performative verbs 32
- 2.10 The theoretical definition of text 33
- 2.11 How we use Petőfi's Atomic Text in our research 34
- 2.12 Evidentiality 36
- 2.13 Epistemicity 37
- 2.14 How are certainty and uncertainty communicated? 38
- 2.15 Whose certainty/uncertainty? 39
- 2.16 Truth/falsehood 40
- 2.17 Correlate-interpretation as a natural process 41

CHAPTER 3

- Knowing, Unknowing, Believing positions: The KUB model 45**
- 3.1 Our study 2008 45
 - 3.1.1 Participants 45
 - 3.1.2 Materials 46
 - 3.1.3 Procedures 46
 - 3.1.4 Methodology used to analyse texts 47
 - 3.1.5 Corpus description 47
 - 3.1.6 The exemplary qualitative analysis of three texts from the corpus 48
- 3.2 Evidential and epistemic worlds or positions 59
 - 3.2.1 The world of the Known/Certain or the Knowing/Certain position 61
 - 3.2.2 The world of the Believed/Uncertain or the Believing/Uncertain position 64
 - 3.2.3 The world of the Unknown or the Unknowing position 66
 - 3.2.3.1 Questions 67
- 3.3 Markers of the Knowing/Certain position 70
- 3.4 Markers of the Unknowing position 72
- 3.5 Markers of the Believing/Uncertain position 73
 - 3.5.1 Lexical markers 74
 - 3.5.2 Morphosyntactic markers 77
- 3.6 Quantitative analysis 81

CHAPTER 4

Are certainty and uncertainty psychological realities? 85

- 4.1 Are certain and uncertain epistemic contraries? 85
 - 4.1.1 Graded or not graded nature of the poles certain and uncertain 87
 - 4.1.2 Unidimensionality or non-unidimensionality of the certain-uncertain 87
- 4.2 Study 1 88
 - 4.2.1 Aims 88
 - 4.2.2 Method 88
 - 4.2.3 Results 89
 - 4.2.4 Summary of the main results 96
- 4.3 Study 2 97
 - 4.3.1 Aims 97
 - 4.3.2 Method 98
 - 4.3.3 Results 99
 - 4.3.4 Summary of the main results 103
- 4.4 Conclusions 105
- Acknowledgement 106

Part 2. KUB in dialogues

Introduction 107

CHAPTER 5

“What should I do?” Epistemic positions and advice giving activity in troubles talk sequences 109

- 5.1 Advice as a particular directive speech act and as a social activity 110
- 5.2 Advice giving in troubles talk 112
 - 5.2.1 Epistemic positions and mitigation: A previous study 113
- 5.3 KUB model applied to advice giving activity in troubles talk 120
 - 5.3.1 Knowing position (confider) – Knowing position (confidant) 123
 - 5.3.2 Knowing position (confider) – Believing position (confidant) 126
 - 5.3.3 Believing position (confider) – Knowing position (confidant) 130
 - 5.3.4 Believing position (confider) – Believing position (confidant) 134
 - 5.3.5 Unknowing position (confider) – Knowing position (confidant) 137
 - 5.3.6 Believing position (confider) – Knowing + Believing position (confidant) 140
 - 5.3.7 Unknowing position (confider) – Unknowing + Knowing + Believing position (confidant) 143
- 5.4 Conclusions 146
- Appendix 147

CHAPTER 6

Confidence attitudes and epistemic management in the clairvoyant-journalist interviews: Extrasensory perception and epistemic authority 155

- 6.1 Introduction 155
- 6.2 Extrasensory perception as a mode of knowing 157
- 6.3 Epistemic status and epistemic stance 160
- 6.4 Aims and method 167
- 6.5 The first interview 168
 - 6.5.1 Qualitative analysis of the first excerpt 168
 - 6.5.2 Quantitative analysis of the first excerpt 176
 - 6.5.3 Qualitative analysis of the second excerpt 177
 - 6.5.4 Quantitative analysis of the second excerpt 183
- 6.6 The second interview 185
 - 6.6.1 Qualitative analysis of the third and fourth excerpt 185
 - 6.6.2 Quantitative analysis of the third and fourth excerpt 189
 - 6.6.3 Qualitative analysis of the fifth excerpt 193
 - 6.6.4 Quantitative analysis of the fifth excerpt 198
 - 6.6.5 Qualitative analysis of the sixth excerpt 200
 - 6.6.6 Quantitative analysis of the sixth excerpt 204
 - 6.6.7 Summarising the quantitative results of all the six excerpts 206
- 6.7 Conclusions 209

CHAPTER 7

“Who is the killer?” Epistemic positions in Italian crime case talk-shows 213

- 7.1 Introduction 213
- 7.2 Theoretical notes 215
- 7.3 Aims and method 216
- 7.4 The first proof: The phone records 217
 - 7.4.1 Qualitative analysis of the first excerpt 217
 - 7.4.2 Quantitative analysis of the first excerpt 221
 - 7.4.3 Qualitative analysis of the second excerpt 222
 - 7.4.4 Quantitative analysis of the second excerpt 226
- 7.5 The second proof: The camera’s images 228
 - 7.5.1 Qualitative analysis of the third excerpt 228
 - 7.5.2 Quantitative analysis of the third excerpt 236
 - 7.5.3 Qualitative analysis of the fourth excerpt 238
 - 7.5.4 Quantitative analysis of the fourth excerpt 241
 - 7.5.5 Qualitative analysis of the fifth excerpt 243
 - 7.5.6 Quantitative analysis of the fifth excerpt 247

7.6	The third proof: The car	249
7.6.1	Qualitative analysis of the sixth excerpt	249
7.6.2	Quantitative analysis of the sixth excerpt	251
7.6.3	Summarising the quantitative results of all the six excerpts	252
7.7	Conclusions	257
CHAPTER 8		
	Three epistemic models: A comparison	263
8.1	Akio Kamio: The theory of territories of information	263
8.2	John Heritage: The epistemic management of conversational interactions	271
8.2.1	Epistemic status and epistemic stance	271
8.2.2	Epistemic imbalance	272
8.2.3	Heritage's polar view on speakers' knowledge	274
CHAPTER 9		
	KUB, mind, brain, speech acts: Future perspectives	277
9.1	Psychological and linguistic levels of reality	277
9.2	KUB as a linguistic model	278
9.3	Between language and mind	279
9.4	From language to mind (and brain)	280
9.5	Back to language: KUB and speech acts	280
	References	287
	Transcription notes	305
	Index	307

