

doi https://doi.org/10.1075/cilt.206.03lis


Page xiv of A Theory of Syntax for Systemic Functional Linguistics Robin P. Fawcett [Current Issues in Linguistic Theory, 206]

© John Benjamins Publishing Company

2000. xxviii, 360 pp.

This electronic file may not be altered in any way. For any reuse of this material written permission should be obtained from the publishers or through the Copyright Clearance Center (for USA: www.copyright.com).

For further information, please contact rights@benjamins.nl or consult our website at benjamins.com/rights



## List of Figures

25

28

34

36

260

262

266

289

298

300

305

306

307

The representation of a clause in the "Categories" style

The representation of 'hypotaxis' in Halliday (1965/81)

The main components of a systemic functional grammar

A simplified model of any sign system

22 The complete syntactic analysis of a text-sentence

24 An example of complexity in the nominal group

Appendix B: The clause

25 The analysis of a sentence with an embedded clause

23 The discontinuity of a quality group that fills a modifier

Appendix A: 1 A highly simplified system network for 'thing' in English

Appendix A: 2 Some simplified realization rules for the 'thing' in English

Appendix B: The quality group, the quantity group and the genitive cluster

Appendix B: The nominal group, the prepositional group and the text

1

2

3

)	Realization as instantiation; the re-arrangement of Figure 4 that is	
	demanded by Halliday's second position on the grammar	62
6	An analysis by Halliday with an integrating syntax	72
7	A Sydney Grammar representation of a simple clause	112
8	The main components required in a systemic functional grammar	
	that provides for 'structure conflation'	125
9	Matthiessen & Bateman's "output structure of an example"	131
10	The Cardiff Grammar analysis of a simple clause	148
11	The realization operations in the Cardiff and Sydney Grammars	180
12	The relationships of logical form to semantics and syntax	210
13	The use of the concept of 'place' to handle 'raising' phenomena	225
14	Two notations for representing 'componence'	246
15	A 'box diagram' representation of componence (as used in <i>IFG</i> )	247
16	The notations for representing 'componence' and 'conflation'	250
17	The notation for representing 'filling' in drawn diagrams and in print	252
18	The notations for representing 'componence', 'conflation' and 'filling'	253
19	The notations for 'exponence' in drawn diagrams and in print	256
20	Variation in the depth of exponence of a quantifying determiner	257
21	The notations for 'componence, 'filling' conflation' and 'exponence'	260