

List of figures

 <https://doi.org/10.1075/cilt.119.03lis>

Page xiv of

Language Ideology and Language Change in Early Modern German: A sociolinguistic study of the consonantal system of Nuremberg

Rosina L. Lippi-Green


[*Current Issues in Linguistic Theory*, 119]

1994. xiv, 150 pp.

© John Benjamins Publishing Company

This electronic file may not be altered in any way. For any reuse of this material written permission should be obtained from the publishers or through the Copyright Clearance Center (for USA: www.copyright.com).

For further information, please contact rights@benjamins.nl or consult our website at benjamins.com/rights



LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2.1: Population distribution over social class (compiled from Strauss 1966, Endres 1982, Toch 1978)	16
Figure 2.2: Nuremberg's taxpayers over highest tax brackets, 1500 (data compiled from Toch 1978)	18
Figure 2.3: Nuremberg in the transition zone between <i>Nordbairisch</i> and <i>Ostfränkisch</i> (from Wiesinger 1983:830)	21
Figure 2.4: Graphic representation of Toch's figures for average number of Nuremberg students per ten year period in the fifteenth century (1978:176) . .	28
Figure 3.1: Raw data distributed over document type	41
Figure 3.2: Raw data distributed over document purpose	42
Figure 3.3: Percentage of each document type, contributed by writer	42
Figure 3.4: All tokens, conforming or differing from prediction, over time	46
Figure 3.5: Etymology and conformity to prediction for predicted <k>, with Lambda figures	55
Figure 3.6: Comparison of raw and clean datasets by writer: Number of observations differing from prediction, in whole numbers and percents . .	63
Figure 3.7: Comparison of raw and clean datasets by graph: Number of observations differing from prediction, in whole numbers and percents	64
Figure 4.1: Overall non-conformity to prediction for five writers, in percents . .	66
Figure 4.2: Percentage of non-conforming graphs for lenis and fortis stops, by writer	69
Figure 4.3: Scheurl's use of final -e or null for first and third singular preterite subjunctive , over stylistic parameters (adapated from Fertig 1994:14)	71
Figure 4.4: Gamma distributions for predicted graphs	73
Figure 4.5: Gamma for relationship between conformity to prediction and ideology and social network integration, for predicted <t> and <d>	75
Figure 4.6: Percent overall non-conformity in draft and final documents for three writers	78
Figure 4.7: Pirckheimer's conformity to prediction for <t> and <d>, over document purpose and version	80
Figure 4.8: Percent overall non-conformity in personal and professional documents for five writers	83
Figure 5.1: GLM models for predicted <s>, R-square	91