

List of tables

 <https://doi.org/10.1075/cilt.119.02lis>

Pages xii–xiii of

Language Ideology and Language Change in Early Modern German: A sociolinguistic study of the consonantal system of Nuremberg

Rosina L. Lippi-Green


[*Current Issues in Linguistic Theory*, 119]

1994. xiv, 150 pp.

© John Benjamins Publishing Company

This electronic file may not be altered in any way. For any reuse of this material written permission should be obtained from the publishers or through the Copyright Clearance Center (for USA: www.copyright.com).

For further information, please contact rights@benjamins.nl or consult our website at benjamins.com/rights



LIST OF TABLES

Table 1.1: Milroy's Belfast study: Contrasting patterns of distribution of two vowels involved in change, according to sex of speaker, relative frequency of innovatory variants and level of correlation with network strength.	13
Table 2.1: Middle High German consonant system (Moulton 1969)	23
Table 2.2: MHG and ENHG consonant systems (Penzl 1984)	23
Table 2.3: Rowley's consonant inventories for modern-day East Franconian and Bavarian	24
Table 3.1: Distribution of documents	43
Table 3.2: Raw observations, predicted consonants and variants observed for five writers	44
Table 3.3: Raw data distributed over etymology	44
Table 3.4: The determination of predicted values on etymological grounds	46
Table 3.5: Distributions for predicted and <w>	48
Table 3.6: Distributions for predicted <t> and <d>	52
Table 3.7: Predicted <d> and <t>, occurring in word initial position, but with conjunctions and articles excluded	52
Table 3.8: <d> <t> distribution, word final position	53
Table 3.9: Distributions for predicted <k> and <g>	54
Table 3.10: Etymology and conformity to prediction for predicted <k>	55
Table 3.11: Distributions for predicted <s> <sch> <z>	58
Table 3.12: Predicted <s> and <z> observations, word internal, by preceding and following segments	59
Table 3.13: Distribution of observed values for predicted <s> occurring before consonants in word, morpheme or syllable initial, and medial positions	60
Table 3.14: Distribution of observed <s> and <sch> for predicted <s> followed by nasal or liquid consonants	61
Table 4.1: The writers ranked for social quantification and orthographic congruity	65
Table 4.2: Predicted consonants and their conformity to prediction, refined data set, all writers	67

Table 4.3: Does the writer's orthographic conformity vary among predicted consonants?	68
Table 4.4: Fortis and lenis stops, by included environments	69
Table 4.5: Gamma figures for relationship between conformity to predicted graph, educational network integration and social network no.	73
Table 4.6: Predicted graph conformity distributions over document version (draft or final)	77
Table 4.7: Pirckheimer's overall conformity to prediction by draft or final version	78
Table 4.8: Pirckheimer's conformity to prediction by draft or final version for <t> and <d>	79
Table 4.9: Percent non-conformity, predicted <t> and <d>, for three authors, over document purpose	80
Table 4.10: Spengler's overall conformity to prediction, by draft or final version	81
Table 4.11: Predicted graph conformity distributions over document purpose (personal or professional)	83
Table 5.1: GLM for Conformity = SIN + CEN + Social Network by predicted consonant set	90
Table 5.2: GLM for Predicted <s>, six possible models	91
Table 5.3: Model <t>1: conformity = Word Category + Writer's Identity + Document Purpose + Intended for Oral Presentation	94
Table 5.4: Model <t> 2: conformity = Graph Position in Word + Word Category + Writer's Identity + Document Purpose	95
Table 5.5: Model <t>3: conformity = Graph Position in Word + Word Category + SIN Integration + Document Purpose	96
Table 5.6: Model <t> 4: conformity = Graph Position in Word + Word Category + SIN Integration + Document Purpose + Document Version	97
Table A.1: Census figures for 1450	107
Table A.2: Estimated population for 1500	108
Table A.3: Distributions over estimated population size	109