

malities in the area of surgery. $N = 12,984$. Age 30–87 years, median 59. 53.4% women.

Pain intensity was reported using a 0–10 Numeric Rating Scale (0–10 NRS). Logistic regression was used to reveal any associations between pain and self-reported hyposensitivity, hypersensitivity and allodynia.

Results: 2316 individuals (17.8%) had surgery between 3 months and 3 years prior to the survey.

826 (40.4%) of the 2044 who answered a questionnaire on post-surgical pain, reported having some degree of pain in the area of surgery. Of these 826 individuals, 45.2% had pain, when at worst, of moderate or severe intensity, i.e. 0–10 NRS of 4 or higher.

The areas of surgery carrying the strongest association with persistent pain were (in descending order of frequency): (1) Shoulder/upper arm [74.5% (108/145)], (2) back [73.9% (65/88)], (3) lungs [66.7% (8/12)], (4) knee/lower leg [63.7% (179/281)], (5) hand [58.8% (90/153)], (6) hip/thigh [58.3% (74/127)] and (7) ankle/foot [58.7% (84/143)].

18.3% (413) had reduced sensitivity in the area near the surgical scar, while 10.6% (240) reported hypersensitivity and 5.6% (127) allodynia.

For those reporting hypoesthesia, the odds ratio (OR) for having pain was 2.71 (95% confidence interval 2.08–3.53), for those reporting hyperesthesia, OR was 4.82 (3.24–7.18) and for those with allodynia 5.83 (3.12–10.90).

Conclusions: 3 months or more after surgery, nearly half of the respondents report having pain in the area of surgery. In this survey, there is a strong association between persistent pain and the presence of both hyposensitivity, hypersensitivity and allodynia.

doi:10.1016/j.sjpain.2010.05.018

Cost-benefit of a 13-week multidisciplinary rehabilitation course for chronic non-malignant pain patients

Villy Meineche-Schmidt

Tværdfagligt SmerteTeam, Herlev, Denmark

Background: Economy is an important part of chronic pain.

Aim: To describe the economy in chronic non-malignant pain patients attending a 13-week Rehabilitation Program (RP).

Methods: All patients participating in the RP 2006–2008 were evaluated at baseline (BL) and at follow-up (FU) after an observation period of mean . . . month in relation to: (1) work-income (WI) or (2) transfer income (TI), comprised by: (a) sick-leave (SL), (b) sick pension (SP), (c) social benefit (SB) and (d) rehabilitation benefit (RB). The economic impact on state and county and the time to age pension was calculated.

Results: 117 patients attended the RP. At BL 23 patients had WI and 19 maintained this at FU (3 were on SP and 1 on RB). 90 patients were on TI at BL: 58 on SL at BL changed to 20 on SP+23 on WI+6 on SB+1 on RB+6 maintained SL, 12 on SB at BL changed to 6 on WI+1 on SP+5 still on SB, 7 on RB at BL changed to 6 on WI+1 on RB. The economic situation was concluded for 97 patients (83%). State expenses were increased by 540,000 Euro and county savings was 698,000 Euro. The societal savings were 158,000 Euro. The total costs for the RP was 421,000 Euro. Costs balanced savings after 2.7 years. The average time to age pension for the participating patients was 25 years. The potential accumulated savings thus amounted to 3.5 million Euros.

Conclusions: The 13-week Rehabilitation Program was highly cost effective: expenses for the program balanced savings after 3 years and the time to age pension for the participating patients was 25 years. The potential accumulated saving per patient was 30,000 Euro.

doi:10.1016/j.sjpain.2010.05.019

A novel and effective treatment modality for medically unexplained pain

Richard Evan Steele

Silkeborg, Denmark

Medically unexplained pain (MUP) confounds physicians, and the incidence and prevalence of these conditions is poorly documented. This is not least due to the complex nature of MUP and mimicry of morbidity with conditions that have common clinical assessment and treatment modalities, e.g. migraine headaches which stress headaches commonly are misdiagnosed as. Effective treatment modalities for MUP have been more or less non-existent. In the following we present a modality for the assessment, diagnosis and treatment of MUP that in our experience leads to cure, at least in better than half of these cases measured in terms of allowing the individual back to work. These results are at least twice as high as those described hitherto (see below), and yet, our treatment modality is beset with many obstacles, not the least of which is the intransigence of a system that will not and/or can not understand why this modality is so much better than what they are able to offer. The most important obstacle is financing, as this modality requires long term and committed financing to work. The economic implications of not dealing with these issues are described.

Keywords: Medically unexplained symptoms; Back to work

doi:10.1016/j.sjpain.2010.05.020

Somatocognitive therapy in the management of chronic gynaecological pain. A review of current approach and historical background

Gro Killi Haugstad, Unni Kirste, Siv Leganger, Elin Haakonsen og Tor S. Haugstad

Oslo University College, Department of Health Science, Norway

E-mail address: grokilli.haugstad@hf.hio.no (G.K. Haugstad).

Introduction: We have developed somatocognitive therapy as a hybrid of Mensendieck physiotherapy and cognitive psychotherapy. Women with chronic pelvic pain (CPP) and vulvodynia (chronic pain of the vulvae and vestibulum, VD) were recruited into two separate treatment protocols as described.

Methods: 60 patients with CPP were recruited from the Department of Gynaecology at the Oslo University Hospital into a randomized, controlled intervention study. The patients were randomized into three treatment groups, receiving (1) treatment as usual, (2) somatocognitive therapy, and (3) in addition receiving cognitive therapy. The patients were assessed by means of SMT, Visual Analogue Score of Pain (VAS), and General Health Questionnaire (GHQ-30) at baseline, after three months of out-patient therapy and at 1 year follow-up. 9 women with VD were treated in an outpatient setting by physiotherapy students under senior supervision, each receiving in all 16 therapy sessions over 8 weeks, and scored for motor patterns (SMT) and pain (VAS) before and after therapy.

Results: In the control group, no significant change was found. In the group receiving somatocognitive therapy, significant reduction in pain score and improvement in motor function were found the end of therapy, and the significant improvement continued through the follow-up period. GHQ scores were significantly improved for the scores representing level of anxiety and coping, and improved for depression. In the group receiving cognitive therapy in addition, the VAS scores were reduced to the same level as the group receiving only somatocognitive therapy, whereas the motor patterns showed slightly less improvement than for those women that did not receive cognitive intervention. In the women with